

Programming Language Technology

Exam, 24 August 2017 at 14.00–18.00 in M

Course codes: Chalmers DAT151, GU DIT231. As re-exam, also DAT150, DIT229/230, and TIN321.

Exam supervision: Andreas Abel (+46 31 772 1731), visits at 15:00 and 17:00.

Grading scale: Max = 60p, VG = 5 = 48p, 4 = 36p, G = 3 = 24p.

Allowed aid: an English dictionary.

Exam review: Tuesday 12 September 2017 at 13.30 in room EDIT 8103 (past the CSE lunchroom).

Please answer the questions in English.

Question 1 (Grammars): Write a labelled BNF grammar that covers the following constructs of a C-like imperative language: A program is a list of statements. Types are `int` and `bool`. Statement constructs are:

- variable declarations (e.g. `int x;`), not multiple variables, no initial value
- expression statements ($E;$)
- `while` loops
- blocks: (possibly empty) lists of statements enclosed in braces

Expression constructs are:

- identifiers/variables
- integer literals
- post-increments of *identifiers* ($x++$)
- less-or-equal-than comparisons ($E \leq E'$)
- assignments of identifiers ($x = E$)

Less-or-equal is non-associative and binds stronger than assignment. Parentheses around and expression are allowed and have the usual meaning. An example program would be:

```
int x; x = 0; while (x++ <= 9) {}
```

You can use the standard BNFC categories `Integer` and `Ident` as well as list short-hands, and `terminator`, `separator`, and `coercions` rules. (10p)

Question 2 (Type checking and evaluation):

1. Write syntax-directed *type checking* rules for the *statement* forms and lists of Question 1. The typing environment must be made explicit. You can assume a type-checking judgement for expressions.

Alternatively, you can write the type-checker in pseudo code or Haskell.

Please pay attention to scoping details; in particular, the program

```
while (0 <= 1) int x; x = 0;
```

should not pass your type checker! (5p)

2. Write syntax-directed *interpretation* rules for the *expression* forms of Question 1. The environment must be made explicit, as well as all possible side effects.

Alternatively, you maybe write an interpreter in pseudo code or Haskell. (5p)

Question 3 (Compilation):

1. Write compilation schemes in pseudo code for each of the *expression* constructions in Question 1 generating JVM (i.e. Jasmin assembler). It is not necessary to remember exactly the names of the instructions – only what arguments they take and how they work. (6p)
2. Give the small-step semantics of the JVM instructions you used in the compilation schemes in part 1. Write the semantics in the form

$$i : (P, V, S) \longrightarrow (P', V', S')$$

where (P, V, S) are the program counter, variable store, and stack before execution of instruction i , and (P', V', S') are the respective values after the execution. For adjusting the program counter, you can assume that each instruction has size 1. (6p)

Question 4 (Regular Languages): Company *SaniSol* develops showers and has bought a water-proof robot from company *RoboCRP* for testing its newest shower models. The testing environment consists of two adjacent square rooms separated by a swing door. Room 1 is empty, except for the swing door to room 2. Room 2 contains the shower (and of course the swing door back to room 1). *RoboCRP* has programmed the test robot with two actions.

- a *Move forward through the swing door and spin by 180°.* This action can be carried out whenever the robot faces a door into another room.
- b *Take a shower, spinning by 360°.* This action can be carried out whenever the robot is in a room with a shower.

If the robot is asked to perform an action it cannot carry out, it will explode according to the *RoboCRP SelfDestruct* (®) mechanism.

In the beginning, the robot is in room 1 facing the swing door to room 2. A *valid action sequence* is a non-empty sequence of *a* and/or *b* actions that does not make the robot explode and returns it to room 1 in the end. For example, the sequences *abbba* and *aaabbaaba* are valid and *aaa*, *ab*, and *ba* are invalid.

1. Give a regular expression for valid action sequences. Demonstrate that your regular expression accepts the two valid examples and rejects the three invalid ones. (5p)
2. Give a deterministic or non-deterministic automaton for recognizing valid action sequences. Demonstrate that your automaton accepts the two valid examples and rejects the three invalid ones. (5p)

Question 5 (Parsing): Consider the following LBNF-Grammar for arithmetical expressions (written in `bnfc`). The starting non-terminal is `S`.

```

Plus.      S ::= S "+" P      ; -- Sums
Product.   S ::= P            ;

Times.     P ::= P "*" A      ; -- Products
Atom.      P ::= A            ;

X.         A ::= "x"          ; -- Atoms
Y.         A ::= "y"          ;
Z.         A ::= "z"          ;
Parens.    A ::= "(" S ")"    ;

```

Step by step, trace the LR-parsing of the expression

`x + y * z`

showing how the stack and the input evolves and which actions are performed. For each reduce action, mention the grammar rule used to reduce the stack. (8p)

Question 6 (Functional languages):

1. For lambda-calculus expressions we use the abstract grammar

$$e ::= n \mid x \mid \lambda x \rightarrow e \mid e e$$

and for simple types $t ::= \mathbb{N} \mid t \rightarrow t$. Non-terminal x ranges over variable names and n over non-negative integer constants 0, 1, etc.

For the following typing judgements $\Gamma \vdash e : t$, decide whether they are valid or not. Your answer should be just “valid” or “not valid”.

- (a) $y : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}, f : \mathbb{N} \vdash f y : \mathbb{N}$.
- (b) $y : (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \vdash y (\lambda x \rightarrow 1) : \mathbb{N}$.
- (c) $f : (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}) \vdash (\lambda x \rightarrow f (x x)) (\lambda x \rightarrow f (x x)) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.
- (d) $\vdash \lambda x \rightarrow \lambda y \rightarrow (f x) y : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N})$.
- (e) $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \vdash \lambda x \rightarrow f (f x) : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$.

The usual rules for multiple-choice questions apply: For a correct answer you get 1 point, for a wrong answer -1 points. If you choose not to give an answer for a judgement, you get 0 points for that judgement. Your final score will be between 0 and 5 points, a negative sum is rounded up to 0. (5p)

2. Write a call-by-value interpreter for above lambda-calculus either with inference rules, or in pseudo-code or Haskell. (5p)

Good luck!