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## What is testing about?

- Gain confidence in the correctness of your program.
- Show that common cases work correctly.
- Show that corner cases work correctly.
- Testing cannot prove the absence of bugs.


## Correctness

- When is a program correct?


## Correctness

- When is a program correct?
-What is a specification?
- How to establish a relation between the specification and the implementation?
- What about bugs in the specification?


## This lecture

- Equational reasoning with Haskell programs
- QuickCheck, an automated testing library/tool for Haskell


## Goals

- Understand how to prove simple properties using equational reasoning.
- Understand how to define QuickCheck properties and how to use QuickCheck.
- Understand how QuickCheck works and how to make QuickCheck usable for your own larger programs.


### 3.1 Equational reasoning

- "Equals can be substituted for equals"
- In other words: if an expression has a value in a context, we can replace it with any other expression that has the same value in the context without affecting the meaning of the program.


## Referential transparency (contd.)

SML is (like most languages) not referentially transparent:

```
let val x = ref 0
    fun f n = (x:= !x + n; !x)
in f 1 +f 2
end
```

The expression evaluates to 4 .

SML is (like most languages) not referentially transparent:

```
let val }x=ref 
    fun f n = (x:= !x + n; !x)
in f 1+f 2
end
```

The expression evaluates to 4 . The value of f 1 is 1 . But

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { let val } x & =\text { ref } 0 \\
\text { fun } f n & =(x:=!x+n ;!x)
\end{aligned}
$$

in $1+\mathrm{f} 2$
end
evaluates to 3 .

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let val } x=\operatorname{ref} 0 \\
& \text { fun } f n=(x:=!x+n ;!x) \\
& \text { in } f 1+f 1
\end{aligned}
$$

cannot be replaced by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { let val } x=\text { ref } 0 \\
& \quad \text { fun } f n=(x:=!x+n ;!x) \\
& \quad \text { val } r=f 1 \\
& \text { in } r+r
\end{aligned}
$$

## Referential transparency in Haskell

- Haskell is referentially transparent.
- The SML example breaks down because Haskell has no untracked side-effects.

```
do
x}\leftarrow\mathrm{ newlORef 0
let f n = do modifyIORef x (+n); readIORef x
r}\leftarrow\textrm{f}
s}\leftarrow\textrm{f}
return (r r s)
```

The type of f is $\operatorname{Int} \rightarrow$ IO Int, not Int $\rightarrow$ Int as in SML.

- Because of referential transparency, the definitions of functions give us rules for reasoning about Haskell programs.
- Properties regarding datatypes can be proved using induction:
| data [a] = [] | a : [a]
To prove $\forall(x s::[a]) . P$ xs, we prove
- P []
- $\forall(x:: a)(x s::[a]) . P \times s \rightarrow P(x: x s)$


## Equational reasoning example

length :: [a] $\rightarrow$ Int
length [] $=0$
length $(x: x s)=1+$ length $x s$
isort :: Ord $\mathrm{a} \Rightarrow$ [a] $\rightarrow$ [a]
isort [] = []
isort (x: xs) $=$ insert $\times$ (isort xs)
insert :: Ord $\mathrm{a} \Rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow$ [a] $\rightarrow$ [a]
insert $\times[]=[x]$
insert $\times$ ( $y$ : ys)
$\mid x \leqslant y \quad=x: y: y s$
$\mid$ otherwise $=y$ : insert $\times$ ys
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length :: [a] $\rightarrow$ Int
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isort [] = []
isort (x:xs) $=$ insert $\times$ (isort xs)
insert :: Ord $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow[a] \rightarrow[a]$
insert $\times[]=[x]$
insert $\times$ ( y : ys)
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$\mid$ otherwise $=y$ : insert $\times$ ys

Theorem (Sorting preserves length)
$\forall(x s::$ [a]).length (isort $x s) \equiv$ length $x s$

## Equational reasoning example

length :: [a] $\rightarrow$ Int
length [] $=0$
length $(x: x s)=1+$ length $x s$
isort :: Ord $\mathrm{a} \Rightarrow$ [a] $\rightarrow$ [a]
isort [] = []
isort (x:xs) $=$ insert $\times$ (isort xs)
insert :: Ord $a \Rightarrow a \rightarrow[a] \rightarrow[a]$
insert $\times[] \quad=[x]$
insert $\times$ ( $y$ : ys)
$x \leqslant y \quad=x: y: y s$
| otherwise $=\mathrm{y}$ : insert x ys

Theorem (Sorting preserves length)
$\forall(x s::[a])$.length (isort $x s) \equiv$ length $x s$
Lemma
$\forall(x:: a)(y s::[a])$.length (insert $x y s) \equiv 1+$ length $y s$

## Proof of the Lemma

## Lemma

$\forall(x::$ a) $(y s::$ [a]).length (insert $x y s) \equiv 1+$ length $y s$
Proof by induction on the list.
Case []:
length (insert x [])
$\equiv \quad\{$ Definition of insert $\}$ length [ x ]
$\equiv \quad\{$ Definition of length $\}$ $1+$ length []

## Proof of the Lemma (contd.)

Lemma
$\forall(x:: a)(y s::[a])$.length (insert $x y s) \equiv 1+$ length $y s$
Case $y$ : ys, case $x \leqslant y$ :
length (insert x (y : ys))
$\equiv \quad\{$ Definition of insert $\}$
length ( $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{y}$ : ys )
$\equiv \quad\{$ Definition of length $\}$
$1+$ length ( $\mathrm{y}: \mathrm{ys}$ )

## Proof of the Lemma (contd.)

Lemma
$\forall(x:: a)$ ( $y s::[a]$ ).length (insert $x y s) \equiv 1+$ length $y s$
Case y : ys, case $\mathrm{x}>\mathrm{y}$ :

> length (insert x (y : ys))
$\equiv$ \{Definition of insert \} length ( y : insert x ys)
$\equiv$ \{ Definition of length \}
$1+$ length (insert x ys)
$\equiv$ \{ Induction hypothesis \} $1+(1+$ length ys $)$
$\equiv$ \{ Definition of length \} $1+$ length ( y : ys)

## Proof of the Theorem

Theorem
$\forall(x s::$ [a]).length (isort $x s) \equiv$ length $x s$
Proof by induction on the list.
Case []:
$\begin{aligned} & \text { length (isort []) } \\ & \text { \{ Definition of isort \} } \\ & \text { length [] }\end{aligned}$

## Proof of the Theorem (contd.)

Theorem
$\forall(x s::$ [a]).length (isort $x s) \equiv$ length $x s$
Case x:xs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\text { length (isort }(x: x s)) \\
& \equiv\{\text { Definition of isort }\} \\
& \text { length (insert } \times \text { (isort xs)) } \\
& \equiv\{\text { Lemma }\} \\
& 1+\text { length (isort xs) } \\
& \equiv \quad\{\text { Induction hypothesis }\} \\
& 1+\text { Iength xs } \\
& \equiv\{\text { Definition of length }\} \\
& \text { length }(x: x s)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Equational reasoning summary

- Equational reasoning can be an elegant way to prove properties of a program.
- Equational reasoning can be used to establish a relation between an "obivously correct" Haskell program (a specification) and an efficient Haskell program.
- Equational reasoning is usually quite lengthy.
- Careful with special cases (laziness):
- undefined values;
- infinite values
- It is infeasible to prove properties about every Haskell program using equational reasoning.


## Other proof methods

- Type systems.
- Proof assistants.


### 3.2 QuickCheck

## QuickCheck

- QuickCheck is a Haskell library developed by Koen Claessen and John Hughes.
- An embedded domain-specific language (EDSL) for defining properties.
- Automatic datatype-driven generation of random test data.
- Extensible by the user.
- Shrinks failing test cases.


## Using QuickCheck

To use QuickCheck in your program:
import Test.QuickCheck
The simplest interface is to use
quickCheck $::$ Testable prop $\Rightarrow$ prop $\rightarrow$ IO ()
class Testable prop where property :: prop $\rightarrow$ Property
instance Testable Bool instance (Arbitrary a, Show a, Testable prop) $\Rightarrow$ Testable (a $\rightarrow$ prop)

- Classes declare predicates on types.
class Testable prop where property :: prop $\rightarrow$ Property

Here, any type can either be Testable or not.

- If a predicate holds for a type, this implies that the class methods are supported by the type.
For any type prop such that Testable prop, there is a method property :: prop $\rightarrow$ Property.
Outside of a class declaration, Haskell denotes this type as
property :: Testable prop $\Rightarrow$ prop $\rightarrow$ Property
- Instances declare which types belong to a predicate.

```
instance Testable Bool
instance (Arbitrary a, Show a, Testable prop) }
    Testable (a }->\mathrm{ prop)
```

Booleans are in Testable.
Functions a $\rightarrow$ prop are in Testable if prop is Testable and a is in Arbitrary and in Show.

- Instance declarations have to provide implementations of the class methods (in this case, of property), as a proof that the predicate does indeed hold for the type.
- Other functions that use class methods inherit the class constraints:
| quickCheck :: Testable prop $\Rightarrow$ prop $\rightarrow$ IO ()


## instance Testable Bool

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { sortAscending :: Bool } \\
& \text { sortAscending }=\text { sort }[2,1]==[1,2] \\
& \text { sortDescending }:: \text { Bool } \\
& \text { sortDescending }=\text { sort }[2,1]==[2,1]
\end{aligned}
$$

Running QuickCheck:
Main〉 quickCheck sortAscending +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

Main〉 quickCheck sortDescending *** Failed! Falsifiable (after 1 test):

## Nullary properties (contd.)

- Nullary properties are static properties.
- QuickCheck can be used for unit testing.
- By default, QuickCheck tests 100 times (which is wasteful for static properties, but configurable).
instance (Arbitrary a, Show a, Testable prop) $\Rightarrow$ Testable (a $\rightarrow$ prop)
sortPreservesLength :: $([\operatorname{lnt}] \rightarrow[\operatorname{lnt}]) \rightarrow[\operatorname{lnt}] \rightarrow$ Bool sortPreservesLength isort $x s=$ length (isort $x s)==$ length $x s$

Main〉 quickCheck (sortPreservesLength isort) +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

Read parameterized properties as universally quantified. QuickCheck automatically generates lists of integers.

## Another sorting function

import Data.Set<br>setSort $=$ toList $\circ$ fromList

## Another sorting function

import Data.Set<br>setSort $=$ toList $\circ$ fromList

Main〉 quickCheck (sortPreservesLength setSort) *** Failed! Falsifiable (after 6 tests and 2 shrinks): $[1,1]$

## Another sorting function

import Data.Set
setSort $=$ toList $\circ$ fromList

Main〉 quickCheck (sortPreservesLength setSort) *** Failed! Falsifiable (after 6 tests and 2 shrinks): $[1,1]$

- The function setSort eliminates duplicate elements, therefore a list with duplicate elements causes the test to fail.
- QuickCheck shows evidence of the failure, and tries to present minimal test cases that fail (shrinking).


## How to fully specify sorting

## Property 1

A sorted list should be ordered:
sortOrders :: [Int] $\rightarrow$ Bool
sortOrders xs = ordered (sort xs)
ordered :: Ord a $\Rightarrow$ [a] $\rightarrow$ Bool
ordered [] = True
ordered $[\mathrm{x}]=$ True
ordered ( $\mathrm{x}: \mathrm{y}: \mathrm{ys}$ ) $=\mathrm{x} \leqslant \mathrm{y} \wedge$ ordered $(\mathrm{y}: \mathrm{ys})$

## How to fully specify sorting (contd.)

## Property 2

A sorted list should have the same elements as the original list:

> sortPreservesElements :: [Int] $\rightarrow$ Bool sortPreservesElements $x s=$ sameElements $\times s$ (sort xs) sameElements :: Eq a $\Rightarrow[\mathrm{a}] \rightarrow[\mathrm{a}] \rightarrow$ Bool sameElements $x s$ ys $=$ null $(x s \backslash$ ys $) \wedge$ null $($ ys $\backslash$ xs)

## More information about test data

| collect :: (Testable prop, Show a) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow$ prop $\rightarrow$ Property
The function collect gathers statistics about test cases. This information is displayed when a test passes:
collect $::$ (Testable prop, Show a) $\Rightarrow$ a $\rightarrow$ prop $\rightarrow$ Property
The function collect gathers statistics about test cases. This information is displayed when a test passes:

Main〉 let $\mathrm{p}=$ sortPreservesLength isort
Main〉 quickCheck ( $\lambda \times s \rightarrow$ collect (null $x s$ ) ( $p \times s$ ))
+++ OK, passed 100 tests:
92\% False
8\% True

## More information about test data (contd.)

Main〉 quickCheck ( $\lambda \times s \rightarrow$ collect (length xs 'div' 10) (p xs)) +++ OK, passed 100 tests:
31\% 0
24\% 1
16\% 2
9\% 4
9\% 3
4\% 8
4\% 6
2\% 5
1\% 7

## More information about test data (contd.)

In the extreme case, we can show the actual data that is tested:

```
Main\rangle quickCheck ( }\lambda\timess->\mathrm{ collect xs (p xs))
+++ OK, passed 100 tests:
6% []
1% [9, 4, -6, 7]
1% [9, -1, 0, -22, 25,32,32, 0, 9,\ldots.
```


## Question

Why is it important to have access to the test data?

## Implications

The function insert preserves an ordered list:
$\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { implies :: Bool } \rightarrow \text { Bool } \rightarrow \text { Bool } \\ & \text { implies } x y=\neg x \vee y\end{aligned}\right.$
Problematic:
insertPreservesOrdered :: Int $\rightarrow$ [Int] $\rightarrow$ Bool insertPreservesOrdered $\times$ xs $=$ ordered xs 'implies' ordered (insert x xs)

# Main〉 quickCheck insertPreservesOrdered +++ OK, passed 100 tests. 

## Implications（contd．）

Main〉 quickCheck insertPreservesOrdered ＋＋＋OK，passed 100 tests．

## But：

Main〉 let iPO＝insertPreservesOrdered
Main〉 quickCheck（ $\lambda \times \mathrm{xs} \rightarrow$ collect（ordered xs ）（iPO $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{s}$ ）） ＋＋＋OK，passed 100 tests．
88\％False
12\％True
Only 12 lists have really been tested！

## Implications (contd.)

The solution is to use the QuickCheck implication operator:
$(\Longrightarrow)::$ (Testable prop) $\Rightarrow$ Bool $\rightarrow$ prop $\rightarrow$ Property instance Testable Property

The type Property allows to encode not only True or False, but also to reject the test case.
iPO :: Int $\rightarrow$ [Int] $\rightarrow$ Property
iPO x xs $=$ ordered $\mathrm{xs} \Longrightarrow$ ordered (insert $\times \mathrm{xs}$ )
Now we get:
Main〉 quickCheck ( $\lambda \times \mathrm{xs} \rightarrow$ collect (ordered xs ) (iPO $\mathrm{x} \times \mathrm{s}$ )) *** Gave up! Passed only 43 tests ( $100 \%$ True).

## Configuring QuickCheck

```
data Args = Args {
    replay :: Maybe (StdGen, Int)
    maxSuccess :: Int
    maxDiscard :: Int
    maxSize :: Int
    }
stdArgs :: Args
stdArgs = Args {replay = Nothing,
    maxSuccess = 100,
    maxDiscard = 500,
    maxSize = 100}
```

quickCheckWith : : Testable prop $=>$ Args $->$ prop $->$

Increasing the number of discarded tests may help.
Better solution: use a custom generator (discussed next)


## Generators

- Generators belong to an abstract data type Gen. Think of Gen as a restricted version of IO. The only effect available to us is access to random numbers.
- We can define our own generators using another domain-specific language. We can define default generators for new datatypes by defining instances of class Arbitrary:
class Arbitrary a where arbitrary :: Gen a shrink : : a $\rightarrow$ [a]


## Combinators for generators

```
choose }\quad::\mathrm{ Random a }=>(a,a)->\mathrm{ Gen a
oneof }\quad::[Gen a] -> Gen a
frequency :: [(Int, Gen a)] }->\mathrm{ Gen a
elements :: [a] }->\mathrm{ Gen a
sized }\quad::(\mathrm{ Int }->\mathrm{ Gen a) }->\mathrm{ Gen a
```


## Simple generators

```
instance Arbitrary Bool where
        arbitrary = choose (False, True)
instance (Arbitrary a, Arbitrary b) => Arbitrary (a, b) where
        arbitrary = do
                                    x}\leftarrow\mathrm{ arbitrary
                                    y}\leftarrow\mathrm{ arbitrary
return (x, y)
data Dir = North | East | South | West
instance Arbitrary Dir where
    arbitrary = elements [North, East, South, West]
```


## Generating numbers

- A simple possibility:
instance Arbitrary Int where arbitrary $=$ choose $(-20,20)$
- Better:
instance Arbitrary Int where arbitrary $=\operatorname{sized}(\lambda n \rightarrow$ choose $(-n, n))$
- QuickCheck automatically increases the size gradually, up to the configured maximum value.


## Generating trees

A bad approach to generating more complex values is a frequency table:
data Tree $a=$ Leaf a $\mid$ Node (Tree a) (Tree a)
instance Arbitrary a $\Rightarrow$ Arbitrary (Tree a) where arbitrary = frequency [(1, liftM Leaf arbitrary),
(2, liftM2 Node arbitrary arbitrary)]
Here:
liftM $::(\mathrm{a} \rightarrow \mathrm{b}) \quad \rightarrow$ Gen $\mathrm{a} \rightarrow$ Gen b
liftM2 $::(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow$ Gen $a \rightarrow$ Gen $b \rightarrow$ Gen $c$

## Generating trees

A bad approach to generating more complex values is a frequency table:
data Tree $a=$ Leaf $a \mid \operatorname{Node}($ Tree a) (Tree a)
instance Arbitrary a $\Rightarrow$ Arbitrary (Tree a) where arbitrary = frequency [(1, liftM Leaf arbitrary),
(2, liftM2 Node arbitrary arbitrary)]
Here:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { liftM }::(a \rightarrow b) \\
\text { liftM2 }::(a \rightarrow b \rightarrow c) \rightarrow \text { Gen } a \rightarrow \text { Gen } b \\
\text { Termination is unlikely! }
\end{array} \\
& \text { Te Gen } b \rightarrow \text { Gen } \mathrm{c} \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## Generating trees (contd.)

```
instance Arbitrary a }=>\mathrm{ Arbitrary (Tree a) where arbitrary \(=\) sized arbitraryTree arbitraryTree :: Arbitrary a \(\Rightarrow\) Int \(\rightarrow\) Gen (Tree a) arbitraryTree \(0=\) liftM Leaf arbitrary arbitraryTree \(\mathrm{n}=\) frequency \([(1\), liftM Leaf arbitrary \()\), (4, liftM2 Node \(t\) t)]
```

where $t=$ arbitraryTree ( $n$ 'div' 2 )
Why a non-zero probability for Leaf in the second case of arbitraryTree?

## Shrinking

The other method in Arbitrary is
| shrink :: (Arbitrary a) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{a} \rightarrow$ [a]

- Maps each value to a number of structurally smaller values.
- Default definition returns [] and is always safe.
- When a failing test case is discovered, shrink is applied repeatedly until no smaller failing test case can be obtained.


## Defining Arbitrary generically

- Both arbitrary and shrink are examples of datatype-generic functions - they can be defined for (almost) any Haskell datatype in a systematic way.
- Haskell does not provide any way to write down such an algorithm.
- Many extensions and tools do (cf. course on Generic Programming in block 4).


## GHCi pitfall

All lists are ordered?

> Main〉 quickCheck ordered +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

## GHCi pitfall

All lists are ordered?
Main〉 quickCheck ordered +++ OK, passed 100 tests.

## Use type signatures in GHCi to make sure a sensible type is used!

Main〉 quickCheck (ordered $::[\operatorname{lnt}] \rightarrow$ Bool) *** Failed! Falsifiable (after 3 tests and 2 shrinks): [0, -1]

- Haskell can deal with infinite values, and so can QuickCheck. However, properties must not inspect infinitely many values. For instance, we cannot compare two infinite values for equality and still expect tests to terminate. Solution: Only inspect finite parts.
- Haskell can deal with infinite values, and so can QuickCheck. However, properties must not inspect infinitely many values. For instance, we cannot compare two infinite values for equality and still expect tests to terminate. Solution: Only inspect finite parts.
- QuickCheck can generate functional values automatically, but this requires defining an instance of another class CoArbitrary. Also, showing functional values is problematic.
- Haskell can deal with infinite values, and so can QuickCheck. However, properties must not inspect infinitely many values. For instance, we cannot compare two infinite values for equality and still expect tests to terminate. Solution: Only inspect finite parts.
- QuickCheck can generate functional values automatically, but this requires defining an instance of another class CoArbitrary. Also, showing functional values is problematic.
- QuickCheck has facilities for testing properties that involve IO, but this is more difficult than testing pure properties.


### 4.1 Haskell Program Coverage
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## Reachable uncovered code

Program code can be classified:

- unreachable code: code that simply is not used by the program, usually library code
- reachable code: code that can in principle be executed by the program
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Reachable code can be classified further:

- covered code: code that is actually executed during a number of program executions (for instance, tests)
- uncovered code: code that is not executed during testing


## Reachable uncovered code

Program code can be classified:

- unreachable code: code that simply is not used by the program, usually library code
- reachable code: code that can in principle be executed by the program

Reachable code can be classified further:

- covered code: code that is actually executed during a number of program executions (for instance, tests)
- uncovered code: code that is not executed during testing

Uncovered code is untested code - it could be executed, and it could do anything!

## Introducing HPC

- HPC (Haskell Program Coverage) is a tool - integrated into GHC - that can identify uncovered code.
- Using HPC is extremely simple:
- Compile your program with the flag -fhpc.
- Run your program, possibly multiple times.
- Run hpc report for a short coverage summary.
- Run hpc markup to generate an annotated HTML version of your source code.
- HPC can present your program source code in a color-coded fashion.
- Yellow code is uncovered code.
- Uncovered code is discovered down to the level of subexpressions! (Most tools for imperative language only give you line-based coverage analyis.)
- HPC also analyzes boolean expressions:
- Boolean expressions that have always been True are displayed in green.
- Boolean expressions that have always been False are displayed in red.


## QuickCheck and HPC

## QuickCheck and HPC interact well!

- Use HPC to discover code that is not covered by your tests.
- Define new test properties such that more code is covered.
- Reaching $100 \%$ can be really difficult (why?), but strive for as much coverage as you can get.

