



Packages, exceptions, assertions & testing

Lecture 13 of TDA 540 (Objektorienterad Programmering)

Carlo A. Furia Alex Gerdes

Chalmers University of Technology – Gothenburg University

Fall 2016

Pop quiz!

1. Go to kahoot.it
2. Enter **PIN** shown on **projector screen**
3. Pick a **nickname** and go!

Static members

Attributes and methods declared using the keyword **static** relate to the whole **class** where they are declared, as opposed to each instance (object) independently from the others. Thus, **static members** behave very differently from the **instance (non-static)** members we have seen in this class so far.

- a **static attribute** is a state component shared by every object of the class where it is declared
- a **static method** can only reference static members, as well as its local variables and arguments
- **static** members are accessed using their class name instead of an object reference; thus, they are accessed without creating objects of their enclosing class

When to use static members?

In **object-oriented** programming:

- **instance** members are the **norm**
- static members are used only for **special cases**

Instance members capture the **state** of and **operations** on **objects**:

| OPERATION | INSTANCE |
|----------------------------|--|
| create object: | <code>Account a = new Account();</code> |
| modify object state: | <code>a.deposit(100);</code> |
| read current object state: | <code>if (a.balance() > 100) ...</code> |

Static members capture **global** operations and state that are available independent of the created objects:

| ITEM | STATIC |
|-----------------|--|
| constant: | <code>double angle = Math.PI/4.0;</code> |
| math operation: | <code>double cathetus = hypotenuse * Math.cos(angle);</code> |
| global state: | <code>double interest = BankAccount.interest;</code> |

Static or instance?

Rule of thumb to **choose** whether member m should be static:

Does it make sense to call (method) or access (attribute)
 m **independent of** specific **objects** of its class?

1. If the answer is **yes**, then you probably need a static member;
2. If the answer is **no**, then you should go with an instance member.

In **most** cases, the answer should be **no**!

Static or instance: examples

```
class BankAccount {  
  
    private int balance;  
  
    public  
    void withdraw(int amount) {  
        balance = balance - amount;  
    }  
  
    public  
    void deposit(int amount) {  
        balance = balance + amount;  
    }  
}
```

Both `withdraw` and `deposit` modify the state (attribute `balance`) of the current **object**: they must be instance methods.

Static or instance: examples

```
class BankAccount {  
  
    private static final double interest = 0.02;  
  
    public static double interest() {  
        return interest;  
    }  
  
    public static int percentInterest() {  
        return (int) (interest() * 100);  
    }  
}
```

Both `interest` and `percentInterest` are **independent of** specific instances of class `BankAccount`, as they both depend on constant `interest`, which is shared by all instances of `BankAccount`: they must be static methods.

Static or instance: examples

```
public class Car extends Vehicle {  
  
    String factoryId() {  
        return "Car-" + super.id();  
    }  
  
}
```

Method `factoryId` depends on `super`, which is a reference to an `object` of the superclass `Vehicle`: it must be an instance member, otherwise `super` may not be defined.

Static or instance: examples

```
public class X extends Y {  
  
    @Override  
    public int z() {  
        return 42;  
    }  
}
```

Method `z` overrides a method with the same signature in the superclass `Y` of `X`. **Overriding** only applies to instance methods, and hence `z` cannot be static.

Static or instance: examples

```
public class Car {  
    public static int addInterest(BankAccount account,  
                                  double interest) {  
        double withInterest = account.balance() * interest;  
        account.deposit((int) withInterest);  
    }  
}
```

The operation `addInterest` is independent of objects of class `Car`, as it only operates on objects of class `account`. Therefore, it is technically OK that it is a static method; however, it probably indicates **questionable design**: `addInterest` should probably be an **instance method of class `BankAccount`**.

Packages

Packages provide a **hierarchical naming** mechanism for classes

- A package is a **group** of classes (and other packages)
- Packages can be **nested** inside other packages
- The package structure maps to the **directory structure**
 - one package per directory
 - one top-level public class (or interface) per file
- Unlike classes, packages are **just a naming** mechanism: you do not instantiate a package

```
// file Car.java
```

```
package vehicles.cars;
```

```
public class Car
```

```
{ /* ... */ }
```

```
// file Convertible.java
```

```
package vehicles.cars;
```

```
public class Convertible
```

```
    extends Car
```

```
{ /* ... */ }
```

```
class Sedan extends Car
```

```
{ /* ... */ }
```

Declaring packages

Writing **package P** at the beginning of a file `F.java` declares that the entities (classes, interfaces, etc.) in file `F.java` belong to package **P**.

- The **fully qualified name** of any entity `C` declared in file `F.java` is **P.C**
- The fully qualified name **unambiguously identifies** any top-level entity in a Java program
- A package `N` **nested** inside another package `P` is declared as **package P.N**
- If file `F.java` declares no package, its entities are part of an implicit **anonymous package** shared by all files in the same directory
 - It is good practice to declare a package explicitly for all programs but the simplest ones

Using packages

```
// file Vehicle.java  
package vehicles;  
public interface Vehicle  
{ /* ... */ }
```

```
// file Car.java  
package vehicles.cars;  
import vehicles.*;  
  
public class Car implements Vehicle  
{ /* ... */ }  
class Convertible extends Car  
{ /* ... */ }
```

```
// file Main.java  
package retailer;  
import vehicles.*;  
// Vehicle imported by vehicles.*  
Vehicle car, conv;  
car = new vehicles.cars.Car(); // OK: fully-qualified name  
// error: Convertible not visible here  
conv = new Convertible();
```

Using packages

Writing `import P.C` makes entity `C` in package `P` available in the current file (as if it were declared here)

- If another entity **also named** `C` already exists in the importer's package, it **shadows** `P.C`
 - use the **fully-qualified name** to access `P.C`
- `import P.*` makes all entities in package `P` available, but **not** those in `P`'s **subpackages**.
- entities in system package `java.lang` are **implicitly imported** anywhere

```
package foo.bar.baz;  
import java.util.Set;    // import interface Set from java.util  
import java.awt.*;      // import all entities in java.awt  
import java.awt.event.*; // import all entities in java.awt.event
```

Static imports

Writing `import static P.C.S` makes `static` entity `S` in class `P.C` available in the current file (as if it were declared here)

```
import static java.lang.Math.PI; //  $\pi = 3.1415...$   
import static java.lang.Math.cos; // COS function  
// ...  
double len = cos(PI/2.0);
```

Guidelines for using packages

When **importing** from existing packages:

- use fully-qualified names if you only refer to an entity **once or twice**
- prefer fully-qualified names if **traceability** (where was this declared?) is important
- consider fully-qualified names to **distinguish** between entities with similar names in different packages
- use **import P.*** if you use several entities from the same package
- trade-off between **clarity** and **readability**

When **declaring** new packages:

- group **coupled** classes in the same package
- use packages in combination with **default package visibility**
- do **not overuse** hierarchical packages: packages should roughly be one order of magnitude fewer than classes

Core packages in Java

- `java.lang`: basic language functionality, fundamental types
- `java.util`: collection framework
- `java.io` and `java.nio`: old and new file input/output
- `java.math`: multi-precision arithmetic
- `java.net`: networking
- `java.security`: cryptography
- `java.sql`: database access
- `java.awt`: native GUI components
- `javax.swing`: platform-independent GUI components

Exceptional behavior

Sometimes things do **not go as planned** during the execution of a program:


- the user provides invalid input
- the program runs out of memory
- a network connection cannot be established because a website is down
- ...

To make the program more robust about such **exceptional events**, we would like to define two separate behaviors:

1. **normal** behavior: what we have seen so far
2. **exceptional behavior**: using exceptions and exception handling

Exception objects

Exception handling in Java uses **exception objects**, which are instances of **exception classes**



Programming with exceptions

There are two sides to programming with exceptions:

suppliers **throw** (raise) exception objects to signal to clients that an exceptional event has occurred

clients **catch** (handle) exception objects and take counter-measures to work around the exceptional event

Programs with exception-handling have two **control flows**:

1. **normal** control flow: no exception occurs, exception-handling code is not executed
2. **exceptional** control flow: exceptions occur, exception-handling code is executed

Throwing exceptions

How to **signal exceptional behavior**:

- create an object `ex` of **type** that inherits from **Throwable**
 - one of the standard exception types in `java.lang`
 - or one new exception class (must be a heir of `Throwable`)
- **raise the exception** and pass control to the caller with **throw** `ex`

```
// parse nonnegative integer string
```

```
int stringToInt(String str) {  
    int result;  
    if (str == null) throw new NullPointerException();  
    for (int i = 0; i < str.length; i++) {  
        if (!Character.isDigit(str.charAt(i)))  
            throw new NumberFormatException(str + " is not an integer!");  
        // ... normal behavior ...  
    }  
    return result;  
}
```

Catching exceptions

Exception-handling code uses the **try/catch/finally** statements.

| BLOCK | BEHAVIOR |
|-----------------------------|--|
| try { ... } | execute the code in the block monitoring for raised exceptions |
| catch (ET e) { ... } | if an exception of type ET is raised while executing the corresponding try block, execute the code in the catch block, where e points to the raised exception object |
| finally { ... } | after executing the corresponding try block, and possibly after executing any catch block, execute the code in the finally block |

A **try** block determines a “**client**” that may receive exception objects, and the two corresponding control flows (normal and exceptional).

A **finally** block is normally used to **close/deallocate resources** (such as files) regardless of whether an exception is thrown or not.

Exception handlers

Every **try** block is followed by zero or more **catch** blocks, zero or one **finally** block, or both. At least one **catch** block or one **finally** block is required (otherwise the **try** would be useless).

```
// parse nonnegative integer string
Integer stringToInteger(String str) {
    Integer result = null;
    try {
        result = Integer.parseInt(str);
    } catch (NumberFormatException e) {
        // if parseInt throws a NumberFormatException
        // print this error message:
        System.out.println(str + " is not a valid nonnegative int");
    }
    // returning -1 means that parsing a nonnegative integer failed
    if (result != null && result >= 0) return result else return -1;
}
```

Exception handlers: catch blocks

Catch blocks use types to handle specific kinds of exceptions:

```
catch (ET e) { /* handler code */ }
```

- handle exceptions whose type is a **subtype of** ET
- ET must be a subtype of **Throwable**
- e behaves like a **local variable** inside the handler block
- if multiple catch blocks are defined, execute the **first** block whose type matches the raised exception's type

Multi-catch blocks:

```
catch (ET1 | ET2 | ET3 e) { /* handler code */ }
```

- handle exceptions whose type is a **subtype of** ET1, of ET2, or of ET3
- ET1, ET2, and ET3 must not be related by inheritance
- e behaves like a **constant** inside the handler block

Nested exception handling blocks

When an **exception of type E is thrown** while executing the code inside a **try** block:

1. the first (in textual order) **catch** block whose type is a supertype of E executes
2. then, the **finally** block executes (if it exists)
3. then, execution continues after the **try** block

If **no suitable catch block** exists, or if a catch block raises an exception:

1. the **finally** block executes (if it exists)
2. then, the exception **propagates** to the next enclosing handler

If **no enclosing handler** exists:

1. the exception propagates to the `main` method
2. the program forcefully **terminates**

Catch, handle, and rethrow: example

Read an n -digit integer from a file with name fn :

```
int readNum(String fn, int n)
```

Exceptions to handle many things that can go **wrong**:

- a file with name fn doesn't exist
- the file exists but it cannot be opened
- the file's content is not a valid integer
- the file's content is an integer with fewer than n digits

Read n-digit integer from file


```
int readNum(String fn, int n) {
    int result;
    BufferedReader br = null;
    try {
        br = new BufferedReader(new FileReader(fn));
        String str = br.readLine();
        if (str.length < n)
            // throw too few digits exception
            throw new TooFewDigitsException(str.length);
        result = Integer.parseInt(str);
    } catch (FileNotFoundException e) { throw e; } // propagate except.
    catch (IOException e) { throw e; } // propagate exception
    catch (NumberFormatException e) { result = 0; } // handle excepti
    finally { if (br != null) br.close(); } // close file
            // even if an exception is propagated!
    return result;
}
```

Client code of readNum

```
int x;
final String filename = "iamalldigits.txt";
try {
    // try to read 7-digit number
    x = readNum(filename, 7);
} catch (TooFewDigitsException e) {
    // try again, with number of characters read
    try { x = readNum(filename, e.numRead); }
    catch (Exception e)
    { System.out.println("No valid integer could be read"); }
} catch (Exception e) {
    System.out.println("Some IO error occurred"); }
}
```

Checked vs. unchecked exceptions

Java exception classes are partitioned in **checked** and **unchecked**



Checked vs. unchecked exceptions

Java exception classes are partitioned in **checked** and **unchecked**

CHECKED

declared in method signatures with **throws**

clients of methods using checked exceptions **have to** handle the exceptions, or declare that they may propagate them

the compiler checks that exceptions are handled

UNCHECKED

not declared explicitly (but normally still in documentation)

clients may or may not handle the exceptions

if unhandled exceptions occurs, the program terminates

Checked exceptions

Checked exceptions **must be handled or declared** with **throws**. For example, constructor of class `java.io.FileReader` declares checked exception `FileNotFoundException`.

Declare checked exception:

```
void printFile(String filename)
    throws FileNotFoundException
{
    FileReader fr;
    // may throw exception,
    // which is propagated
    fr = new FileReader(filename);
    // ...
}
```

Handle checked exception:

```
void printFile(String filename)
{
    FileReader fr;
    try {
        fr = new FileReader(filename);
    } // handle exception if thrown
    catch (FileNotFoundException e) {
        System.out.println("Fail!");
    }
}
```

Exceptions: checked or unchecked?

Java tends to **prefer checked** exceptions:

- unchecked exceptions are behavior that is not explicit (in the method signature)
- clients can be prepared to deal with checked exceptions

However, checked exceptions have their own **disadvantages**:

- proliferation of exception-handling code
- complex logic to decide which exceptions to propagate and which to handle
- changing exceptions may change the public interface of methods

How to **choose in practice** between checked and unchecked exceptions?

- use a checked exception if the client can **do something to recover** from the exception
- **document** the usage of unchecked exceptions too
- usually prefer checked exceptions to **error codes**

Writing correct programs

Programming means writing instructions that achieve a certain **functionality**. How do we know if a program is **correct**? And what does it even mean that a program is correct?

To this end, we distinguish between **implementation** and **specification**:

- The **implementation** consists of the actual code that is written, compiled, and executed
- The **specification** is a description of what the program should do, usually more abstract than the implementation

Implementation:

```
int sum(int[] a)
{ int sum = 0;
  for (v : a)
    sum += v;
  return sum; }
```

Specification:

method `sum` takes a non-null reference `a` to an array of integers, and returns the sum of all values in `a`

Method specifications

Let us focus on **input/output** specifications of individual **methods**.
Such specifications consist of two parts:

1. **precondition**: a constraint that defines the method's **valid inputs**
2. **postcondition**: a functional description of the **output** after executing the method

Implementation:

```
int sum(int[] a)
{ int sum = 0;
  for (v : a)
    sum += v;
  return sum; }
```

Specification:

1. **precondition**: $a \neq \text{null}$
2. **postcondition**:

$$\text{sum} == \sum_{0 \leq k < a.\text{length}} a[k]$$

Method specifications in object-oriented programs

In object-oriented programs, the **input** and **output** of a method also include the object state before and after executing the method.

Implementation:

```
class BankAccount {  
    int balance;  
  
    void deposit(int amount)  
    { balance += amount; }  
}
```

Specification:

1. **precondition:** amount ≥ 0 , no constraint on balance
2. **postcondition:**
"after" balance == "before" balance + amount

Pre/postconditions in Java

Java does not have support for writing pre/postcondition specifications in the source file.

JML is a system for annotating Java programs in special comments.

```
class BankAccount {  
    int balance;  
  
    //@ requires amount >= 0;  
    //@ ensures balance == \old(balance) + amount;  
    void deposit(int amount)  
    { balance += amount; }  
}
```

Assertions

Even if Java does not have support for writing pre/postcondition specifications in the source file, it supports **assertions**, which are a more primitive way of expressing specifications in the source file of a program.

When execution reaches the statement:

```
assert condition;
```

the Boolean `condition` is evaluated on the current program state:

1. if `condition == true`, execution continues
(the assertion **passes**: no effects)
2. if `condition == false`, an exception `AssertionError` is thrown
(the assertion **fails**)

Important: assertion checking is **disabled by default** (**assert** statements are skipped during execution). To **enable** it run your program with `java -ea MyProgram`.

Assertions and invariants

Assertions encode **invariants**: conditions on the program state that a correct program should satisfy whenever execution reaches the location of the assertion.

- assertions encode the assumptions the program relies on
- in a **correct** program, assertions always evaluate to true (and thus **no effect**)
- an assertion evaluating to false indicates that there is a mismatch between assumptions and actual execution (probably an **error**)

```
void deposit(int amount) {  
    assert amount >= 0;  
    int old_balance = balance;  
    balance += amount;  
    assert balance >= old_balance;  
}
```

```
account = new BankAccount();  
assert account.balance == 0;  
int square = x * x;  
assert square >= 0;  
account.deposit(square);  
assert account.balance >= 0;
```

Assertions and exceptions

Both assertions and exceptions are means to deal with **unwanted** behavior. In Java, failing assertions throw exceptions, so there is a clear connection between the two.

- **exceptions** should signal **exceptional but possible** behavior
 - the exceptional behavior requires a special handling
 - but exceptions may occur even in a perfectly correct program: for example, invalid user input, or I/O errors
- **assertions** should encode the **specification of correct** behavior
 - when the specification is satisfied, nothing special happens
 - assertions should never fail in a correct program

In practice, however, since Java does not check assertions by default and uses exceptions extensively, **exceptions** are used also in cases where an **assertion** would be more appropriate.

Verification

Verification is the process of **checking** that a program is **correct**. This means that, in addition to the implementation, there is also **some** form of **specification** (possibly only informal).

Two main techniques to do verification:

- **testing**: **run** the program using many different inputs, **check** that every run satisfies the specification
- **formal verification**: mathematically **prove** that every possible execution of the program satisfies the specification

Unit testing

Testing in a nutshell:

- run the program using many different inputs
- check that every run satisfies the specification

Unit testing: testing one method in isolation.

Method `deposit` under test:

```
class BankAccount {  
    int balance;  
  
    void deposit(int amount)  
    { balance += amount; }  
}
```

Testing code:

```
BankAccount ba = new BankAccount();  
ba.deposit(0);  
assert ba.balance == 0;  
ba.deposit(121);  
assert ba.balance == 121;  
ba.deposit(3);  
assert ba.balance == 121 + 3;
```

How many inputs can we test?

If we could check **all valid inputs** of a method, testing would be equivalent to proving correctness. But is this feasible in practice?

- Test all possible **input arguments** to deposit: $\simeq 2^{31} \simeq 2.1 \cdot 10^9$
// runs in 0.05 seconds

```
for (int v = 0; v < Integer.MAX_VALUE; v++) {  
    BankAccount ba = new BankAccount();  
    ba.deposit(v);  
    assert ba.balance == v;  
} // must test Integer.MAX_VALUE separately: why?
```

- Test all possible **input states** to deposit: $\simeq 2^{32} \cdot 2^{31} \simeq 9.2 \cdot 10^{18}$
// runs in > 500 days

```
for (int u = Integer.MIN_VALUE; u < Integer.MAX_VALUE; u++)  
    for (int v = 0; v < Integer.MAX_VALUE - ((u > 0) ? u : 0); v++)  
        { BankAccount ba = new BankAccount();  
          ba.balance = u;  
          ba.deposit(v);  
          assert ba.balance == u + v; } }
```

Testing in practice

Testing cannot realistically try out all possible inputs. Instead, its main purpose is to try out a good number of **varied** inputs in a way that has a good chance of **exposing errors**.

Testing heuristics for selecting inputs:

- **boundary values**
 - for **int**: `Integer.MAX_VALUE`, `Integer.MIN_VALUE`
 - for `String`: `null`, `""`
- **partition** the input values, and pick one element per partition
 - for **int**: $n < 0$, $n == 0$, $n > 0$
 - for `String`: string of digits, string of alphabetic characters, string of non-alphanumeric characters, ...
 - partition according to the **conditions** in the method under test
- pick some inputs at **random**
- **regression** testing: pick inputs that **triggered errors previously** (and now should have been fixed)

Thou shall test your code!

Systematically testing your code is a **good practice** that every programmer should follow.

- Test **extensively**: try to write unit tests for all **public** methods of all your classes
- Test **early**: as soon as a class has a public interface, you can write tests for it, which reflect the specification (the tests will fail until you have implementations)
- Test **often**: as soon as you change anything in a class implementation, rerun the tests for it to check that everything works
- Test for **regressions**: for every error that you discover, add a test that exposes the error to your collection of tests

Unit testing example

```
class Account {
    int balance;
    List<String> owners;

    // add amount to balance
    void deposit(int amount) {
        balance += amount;
    }

    // add account owner if not null
    void addOwner(String name) {
        if (name != null)
            owners.add(name);
    }
}
```

```
class AccountTest {
    static void testDeposit() {
        Account ba = new Account();
        ba.deposit(10);
        assert ba.balance == 10;
        ba.deposit(-10);
        assert ba.balance == 0;
    }

    static void testAddOwner() {
        Account ba = new Account();
        ba.addOwner("Jane Doe");
        assert ba.owners.size() == 1;
        ba.addOwner(null);
        assert ba.owners.size() == 1;
    }
}
```