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[^0]1. (a) For grade 3: Give an example of a set $A$ for which $A \rightarrow B o o l$ is countable, and give an example of a set $B$ for which $B \rightarrow B o o l$ is not countable (where Bool is a set with two elements, true and false). You do not need to provide proofs.
(b) For grade 4: Either prove that the set Bool $\rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is countable, or that it is not countable.
2. Give concrete syntax for the $\chi$ expression $e$ for which the standard $\chi$ encoding (as presented in the lectures), given using concrete syntax, is

$$
\ulcorner e\urcorner=\operatorname{Rec}(\operatorname{Zero}(), \operatorname{Apply}(\operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Succ}(\operatorname{Zero}())), \operatorname{Var}(\operatorname{Zero}()))) .
$$

Assume that the number 0 corresponds to the variable $x$, and that the number 1 corresponds to the variable $f$.

3 . Is the following function $\chi$-decidable?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \in C E x p \rightarrow \text { Bool } \\
& f e=\text { if } \llbracket \text { apply } e\ulcorner 7\urcorner \rrbracket=\ulcorner 0\urcorner \text { then true else false }
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $C E x p$ is a set containing the abstract syntax of every closed $\chi$ expression, and $\ulcorner n\urcorner$ is the standard encoding of the natural number $n$.
For grade 3: Motivate your answer.
For grade 4: Provide a proof. You are allowed to make use of Rice's theorem, and the fact that the halting problem is undecidable, but not other results stating that some function is or is not computable (unless you provide proofs).
For grade 5: You may not use Rice's theorem (unless you provide a proof).
4. Is the following function $\chi$-decidable?

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \in C E x p \rightarrow \text { Bool } \\
& \left.f e=\text { if apply } e^{\ulcorner } 7\right\urcorner=\ulcorner 0\urcorner \text { then true else false }
\end{aligned}
$$

Note the difference between this function and the one in the previous exercise.
The grade criteria of the previous exercise apply to this one as well.
5. Consider the following Turing machine:

- Input alphabet: $\{0,1\}$.
- Tape alphabet: $\{0,1, \underline{1}, \sqcup\}$.
- States: $\left\{s_{0}, s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}, s_{4}, s_{5}\right\}$.
- Initial state: $s_{0}$.
- Transition function:

(a) For grade 3: What is the result of running this Turing machine with 110 as the input string? Does it halt successfully? In that case, what is the resulting string?
(b) For grade 4: Let us represent natural numbers ( $0,1,2 \ldots$...) in the following way: the number $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is represented by a string with $n$ ones followed by one zero $\left(1^{n} 0\right)$. Does this Turing machine witness the Turing-computability of some total function from $\mathbb{N}$ to $\mathbb{N}$ ? In either case you should provide a proof. If the answer is yes, then you should additionally give a simple description of the function that is witnessed, without any reference to Turing machines (no proof is needed for this part).

6. Prove that if rec is removed from PRF, then the following function is not computable:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { is-zero } \in \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \\
& \text { is-zero } n=\text { if } n=0 \text { then } 1 \text { else } 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hint: Prove that every $n$-ary function $f$ is monotone, in the sense that, for all $n$-ary vectors $\rho_{1}, \rho_{2}$, if $\rho_{1} \leq \rho_{2}$ (meaning that index $\rho_{1} i \leq$ index $\rho_{2} i$ for every $i$ satisfying $0 \leq i<n)$, then $\llbracket f \rrbracket \rho_{1} \leq \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho_{2}$.
The abstract syntax of PRF:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\text { zero } \in P R F_{0}} \quad \overline{\text { suc } \in P R F_{1}} \quad \frac{0 \leq i<n}{\text { proj } i \in P R F_{n}} \\
\frac{f \in P R F_{m} g s \in\left(P R F_{n}\right)^{m}}{\operatorname{comp} f g s \in P R F_{n}} \quad \frac{f \in P R F_{n} \quad g \in P R F_{2+n}}{\operatorname{rec} f g \in P R F_{1+n}}
\end{gathered}
$$

The denotational semantics of PRF:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\llbracket-\rrbracket \in P R F_{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{N}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}\right) \\
\llbracket \text { zero } \rrbracket \text { nil } & =0 \\
\llbracket \text { suc } \rrbracket(\text { nil }, n) & =1+n \\
\llbracket \text { proj } i \rrbracket \rho & =\text { index } \rho i \\
\llbracket \text { comp } f g s \rrbracket \rho & =\llbracket f \rrbracket\left(\llbracket g s \rrbracket^{\star} \rho\right) \\
\llbracket \text { rec } f g \rrbracket(\rho, \text { zero }) & =\llbracket f \rrbracket \rho \\
\llbracket \text { rec } f g \rrbracket(\rho, \text { suc } n)=\llbracket g \rrbracket(\rho, \llbracket \text { rec } f g \rrbracket(\rho, n), n) \\
\llbracket-\rrbracket^{\star} \in\left(P R F_{m}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{N}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^{n}\right) \\
\llbracket \text { nil } \rrbracket^{\star} \rho=\text { nil } & \\
\llbracket f s, f \rrbracket^{\star} \rho=\llbracket f s \rrbracket^{\star} \rho, \llbracket f \rrbracket \rho
\end{array}
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thanks to Daniel Schoepe for feedback.

