Position Based Fluids

Intro

Much bigger field than expected

Agenda:

- Background
- Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics
- Navier-Stokes equations
- Position Based Dynamics
- Combining SPH and PBD (the paper)
- Video
- Questions?

Background

What is a fluid?

- Liquids (e. g. water)
- Gasses (e. g. air)
- Plasmas

Fluids continually deforms (flows) from high pressure to low pressure

Background

Ways of representing fluids



Lagrangian (particles)



Eulerian (grids)

Background

Macklin and Müller's approach (the paper):

"Combines Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamic (SPH) with Position Based Dynamics (PBD)"

So, what is SPH and PBD?

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH)

SPH is a computational method for simulating fluid flows. SPH uses the Lagrangian representation (particles)

Introduced by Monoghan 1992 using smoothing kernels and approximations to the Navier-Stokes equations

The Navier-Stokes equations describe how flows in fluids behave.

The Navier-Stokes equations were derived by Navier, Poisson, Saint-Venant, and Stokes between 1827 and 1845.

There are no analytical solutions, only numerical or simplified solutions. The Navier-Stokes equations is one of the Millennium Prize Problems

The incompressible N-S equation:

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \bullet \nabla v \right] = \rho g - \nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 v$$

ǫ: density g: gravity μ: viscosity

p: pressure
v: velocity

$$\rho(\nabla \bullet v) = 0$$

Always solved together with the continuity equation

The incompressible N-S equation:

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \bullet \nabla v \right] = \rho g - \nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 v$$

Inertial forces

The incompressible N-S equation:

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \bullet \nabla v \right] = \rho g - \nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 v$$
gravity

The incompressible N-S equation:

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \bullet \nabla v \right] = \rho g - \nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 v$$

pressure forces

The incompressible N-S equation:

$$\rho \left[\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \bullet \nabla v \right] = \rho g - \nabla p + \mu \nabla^2 v$$

Viscous forces

N-S equation for one particle:

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = g - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \nabla p + \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \nabla^2 v$$

The derivative of the velocity of particle i, with respect to time (acceleration)

The SPH framework:

Evaluate a field anywhere by weighted sum

$$A(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} A_{i} \frac{m_{i}}{\rho_{i}} W(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{i})$$

Examples of smoothing kernels:





$$W_{poly6}(\mathbf{r},h) = \frac{315}{64\pi h^9} (h^2 - |\mathbf{r}|^2)^3$$



$$\nabla W_{spiky}(\mathbf{r},h) = \frac{45}{\pi h^6} (h - |\mathbf{r}|)^2 \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|}$$

$$\nabla^2 W(r-r_b,h) \equiv \frac{45}{\pi h^6} \left(h - \left\| r - r_b \right\| \right)$$

Approximate the N-S equations using SPH framework

$$\rho_i \approx \sum_j m_j W(r-r_j,h)$$

$$\frac{\nabla p_i}{\rho_i} \approx \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{p_i}{\rho_i^2} + \frac{p_j}{\rho_j^2}\right) \nabla W(r - r_j, h)$$

$$\frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \nabla^2 v_i \approx \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{v_j - v_i}{\rho_j}\right) \nabla^2 W(r - r_j, h)$$

Plug the equations into the original equation

 $\frac{\nabla p_i}{\rho_i} \approx \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{p_i}{\rho_i^2} + \frac{p_j}{\rho_j^2}\right) \nabla W(r - r_j, h)$

$$\frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \nabla^2 v_i \approx \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \sum_j m_j \left(\frac{v_j - v_i}{\rho_j} \right) \nabla^2 W(r - r_j, h)$$

$$\frac{dv_i}{dt} = g - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \nabla p + \frac{\mu}{\rho_i} \nabla^2 v$$

Updating SPH

Each time step:

- Compute acceleration **a** of each particle
- Update velocities: $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{a} \, dt$ Update positions: $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{v} \, dt$

Leapfrog integration

Introduced by Müller et al. (NVIDIA) At the same time by Jos Stam (Maya)

} in 2006

Popular because of generality, simplicity, robustness and efficiency

Used in PhysX, Havok Cloth, Maya nCloth and Bullet





Main idea:

- Instead of forces constraints
- Instead of integration constraint projection

Mass points: mass m_i, position x_i, and velocity v_i Constraints: E.g. distance constraint

A constraint consists of:

a cardinality n_j a function C_j a set of indices {i₁,...i_n} a stiffness parameter k_j a type: *equality* or *inequality* Example (distance constraint):

cardinality: 2 function: $C(p_1, p_2) = ||p_1 - p_2|| - d^2 = 0$ set of indices: [1, ..., N]stiffness parameter: range from 0-1 type: **equality**

Main PBD algorithm

(1) forall vertices i initialize $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^0, \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_i^0, w_i = 1/m_i$ (2)endfor (3)(4) loop **forall** vertices *i* **do** $\mathbf{v}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{v}_i + \Delta t w_i \mathbf{f}_{ext}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ (5)dampVelocities($\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_N$) (6)**forall** vertices *i* **do** $\mathbf{p}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i$ (7)forall vertices *i* do generateCollisionConstraints($\mathbf{x}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{p}_i$) (8) loop solverIterations times (9) (10)projectConstraints($C_1, \ldots, C_{M+M_{coll}}, \mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N$) endloop (11)forall vertices i (12) $\mathbf{v}_i \leftarrow (\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{x}_i)/\Delta t$ (13)(14) $\mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{p}_i$ endfor (15)velocityUpdate($\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_N$) (16)(17) endloop

(1)-(3)

initialize the state variables

(7)

estimates **p**_i for new locations of the vertices. Computed using an explicit Euler integration step (9)-(11)

Manipulates position estimates such that they satisfy the constraints using Gauss-Seidel

(13)-(14)

The positions of the vertices are moved to the optimized estimates and the velocities are updated accordingly

$$C(\mathbf{p_1}, \mathbf{p_2}) = \|\mathbf{p_1} - \mathbf{p_2}\| - d^2 = 0$$



$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_1 = -(|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2| - d) \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}{|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_2 = +(|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2| - d) \frac{\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2}{|\mathbf{p}_1 - \mathbf{p}_2|}$$

to satisfy the constraint, we project the particle in the valid position

Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Rest position

Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



The particles are displaced by external forces

Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Gauss-Seidel** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



The particles are displaced by external forces

Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



The constraints are solved in parallel

Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



The constraints are solved in parallel

Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



Approximate solution to a system of constraints using **Jacobi** method



Gauss-Seidel vs Jacobi

Gauss-Seidel converges much faster than Jacobi ... but it is inherently serial

Jacobi is trivially parallelizable
 ... but the convergence rate is slow

Position Based Fluids

Finally, we have reached the actual paper by Macklin and Müller.

We want to have the nice looking results of SPH, but with the robustness and efficiency of PBD. Macklin and Müller do this by combining the two methods.

Position Based Fluids

In the paper:

- 1. Modify the PBD algorithm
- 2. Postulate constraint using SPH definitions
- 3. (Apply surface tension)
- 4. (Apply vorticity)
- 5. (Apply viscosity)
- 6. Rendering
- 7. Result

Modify the algorithm

PBD algorithm

- (1) forall vertices i
- (2) initialize $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_i^0, \mathbf{v}_i = \mathbf{v}_i^0, w_i = 1/m_i$
- (3) endfor
- (4) **loop**
- (5) **forall** vertices i **do** $\mathbf{v}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{v}_i + \Delta t w_i \mathbf{f}_{ext}(\mathbf{x}_i)$
- (6) dampVelocities($\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_N$)
- (7) **forall** vertices i do $\mathbf{p}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i$
- (8) forall vertices *i* do generateCollisionConstraints($\mathbf{x}_i \rightarrow \mathbf{p}_i$)
- (9) loop solverIterations times
- (10) projectConstraints($C_1, \ldots, C_{M+M_{coll}}, \mathbf{p}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{p}_N$)
- (11) endloop
- (12) forall vertices i
- (13) $\mathbf{v}_i \leftarrow (\mathbf{p}_i \mathbf{x}_i)/\Delta t$
- (14) $\mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{p}_i$
- (15) endfor
- (16) velocityUpdate($\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_N$)
- (17) endloop

PBF algorithm

1: for all particles i do apply forces $\mathbf{v}_i \Leftarrow \mathbf{v}_i + \Delta t \mathbf{f}_{ext}(\mathbf{x}_i)$ 2: predict position $\mathbf{x}_i^* \Leftarrow \mathbf{x}_i + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i$ 3: 4: end for 5: for all particles i do find neighboring particles $N_i(\mathbf{x}_i^*)$ 6: 7: end for 8: while iter < solverIterations do for all particles i do 9: 10: calculate λ_i 11: end for for all particles i do 12: calculate $\Delta \mathbf{p}_i$ 13: 14: perform collision detection and response end for 15: for all particles i do 16: update position $\mathbf{x}_{i}^{*} \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_{i}^{*} + \Delta \mathbf{p}_{i}$ 17: 18: end for 19: end while 20: for all particles i do update velocity $\mathbf{v}_i \Leftarrow \frac{1}{\Delta t} (\mathbf{x}_i^* - \mathbf{x}_i)$ apply vorticity confinement and XSPH viscosity 21: 22: update position $\mathbf{x}_i \leftarrow \mathbf{x}_i^*$ 23: 24: end for

The density constraint on the *ith* particle:

$$C_i(\mathbf{p}_1,...,\mathbf{p}_n)=\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_0}-1,$$

Where ρ_0 is the rest density and ρ_i is given by the SPH density:

$$\rho_i = \sum_j m_j W(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j, h).$$

PBD tries to find a particle position correction Δp that satisfy the constraint

 $C(\mathbf{p} + \Delta \mathbf{p}) = 0$

Doing some further math we end up with the position delta:

$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_i = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \sum_j \left(\lambda_i + \lambda_j \right) \nabla W(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j, h).$$

Where lambda is the contstraint force:

$$\lambda_i = -\frac{C_i(\mathbf{p}_1, ..., \mathbf{p}_n)}{\sum_k \left| \nabla_{\mathbf{p}_k} C_i \right|^2}$$

Projection of the density constraint compared to the lenght constraint:

$$C_i(\mathbf{p}_1,\ldots,\mathbf{p}_n)=\frac{\rho_i}{\rho_0}-1,$$

$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_i = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \sum_j \left(\lambda_i + \lambda_j \right) \nabla W(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j, h).$$



$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_{1} = -(|\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}| - d) \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}}{|\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}|}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_{2} = +(|\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}| - d) \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}}{|\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}|}$$
$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_{2} = +(|\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}| - d) \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}}{|\mathbf{p}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{2}|}$$

Artificial surface tension

Projection of the density constraint compared to the lenght constraint:

$$s_{corr} = -k \left(\frac{W(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j, h)}{W(\Delta \mathbf{q}, h)} \right)^n,$$

Where Δq is a fixed constant inside the smoothing kernel

$$\Delta \mathbf{p}_i = \frac{1}{\rho_0} \sum_j \left(\lambda_i + \lambda_j + s_{corr} \right) \nabla W(\mathbf{p}_i - \mathbf{p}_j, h).$$

Vorticity and viscosity

Won't go into details

- Vorticity:

PBD introduces damping which is unwanted. Macklin and Müller solves this by applying SPH vorticity.

Viscosity:

Apply SPH viscosity parameter to make the speed of the particles more coherent.

Rendering

1: Anisotropic kernels [Yu and Turk 2013]



2: Screen space curvature flow [van der Laan et al. 2009]



(a) Gaussian smoothing



(b) Screen-space curvature flow

Rendering



Result

Implemented using:

- 10 CUDA kernels
- GPU Hash Grid [Green 2008]
- Parallel Jacobi iteration
- 128k particles, 6 iterations, 10ms/frame on GTX680

Result





Sources

Papers:

- Screen Space Fluid Rendering with Curvature Flow [van der Laan et al.]
- Position-Based Simulation Methods in Computer Graphics [Bender et al.]
- <u>Reconstructing Surfaces of Particle-Based Fluids Using Anisotropic</u> <u>Kernels</u> [Yu and Turk]
- Position Based Fluids [Macklin and Müller]
- <u>A Survey on Position-Based Simulation Methods in Computer</u> <u>Graphics</u> [Bender et al.]

Slides:

Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics - Application Example [Alan Heirich]
Fluids in Games [Jim Van Verth]
Introduction to liquid animation and rendering [Marco Fratarcangeli]
Position based dynamics [Marco Fratarcangeli]
Position Based Fluids [Macklin and Müller]