

# Malicious Code Defences

Slides a complement to DL:  
Attacking Malicious Code: A report to the Infosec Research  
Council\*

Gary McGraw (Reliable Software Technologies)  
and Greg Morrisett (Cornell University)

# Malicious Code – Basics

- ***Malicious code*** (malware) is any code added, changed or removed from a software system in order to intentionally cause harm or subvert the intended function of the system.
- The problems with malware is steadily increasing due to a number of trends:
  - the increased *networking*
  - the rising system *complexity*
  - system configurations are constantly *changing*

# Malicious Code – Defence Principles

There are four main approaches that the host can take to protect itself:

- 1. Analyze** the code and reject it - if it may cause harm. (pre-check and stop)
- 2. Rewrite** the code before executing it - so that it can do no harm. (pre-check and fix)
- 3. Monitor** the code execution and stop it - before it does harm. (supervise and stop)
- 4. Audit** the code during execution - and recover if it did harm. (check result and recover)

# Malicious Code – Defence Principles (cont'd)

Some details and examples:

- 1. Analyze** the code and reject it - if it may cause harm (pre-check and stop)
  - scanning for a known virus (and rejecting)
  - dataflow analysis (to detect novel malicious code)
  - analysis to find vulnerabilities (e.g. buffer limitations)
- **Rewrite** the code before executing it - so that it can do no harm. (pre-check and fix)
  - insert extra code to perform dynamic checks, e.g. checking array indices (Java compiler)

# Malicious Code – Defence Principles (cont'd)

3. **Monitor** the code execution and stop it - before it does harm. (supervise and stop)
  - using **reference monitors** (RM) is the traditional approach
  - is often done in hardware and included in the OS
  - an on-line RM is JVM interpreter that monitors the execution of applets
4. **Audit** the code during execution - and recover if it did harm. (check result and recover)
  - recovery is only possible if the damage can be properly assessed.
  - requires use of secure auditing tools (logging).

# Malicious Code – Today’s Defences

Traditionally, the security policy was enforced using the computer hardware and standard OS mechanisms. Such mechanisms are not easy to expand.

- Present defenses against malicious code are:
  - **scanning for “malicious” signatures**
    - used by anti-virus scanners
    - easy to implement
    - easy to circumvent by making small changes in signature
    - only works for previously known malware
  - **code signing** (cryptographic signing)
    - ensures transmission integrity, i.e. that nobody has changed the code during the transmission.
    - only means just that. Does not imply that the code is safe, robust or secure. You have to *trust the sender*.

# Malicious Code – Tomorrow's Defenses

Promising new defenses against malicious code are:

- ***software-based reference monitors***

- present methods to ensure memory safety, i.e. that all memory accesses are correct
- basic idea is to rewrite binary code so that it checks and validates all memory accesses and all control transfers.
- Available tools/methods are:
  - **SFI** = Software-Based Fault Isolation
  - **IRM** = In-line Reference Monitor

# Malicious Code – Tomorrow's Defenses

- **type-safe languages**

- ensure that operations are only applied to the appropriate type, i.e. preventing unauthorized code from applying the wrong operations to the wrong values.
- allows specification of new abstract types that could enforce application-specific access policies

- **proof-carrying code (PCC)**

- untrusted code is required to come with an explicit machine-checkable proof that the code is secure (wrt to a specific security policy.)