

David Sands, D&IT

Functional Programming TDA 452/451, DIT 142/141

2012-12-18 14.00 – 18.00 “Väg och vatten”-salar

David Sands, 0737 207663

- There are 4 Questions with maximum of $13 + 13 + 11 + 8 = 45$ points; a total of 22 points definitely guarantees a pass.
- Results: latest within 21 days.
- The examiner will visit the examination rooms at approximately 15.00–15.15 and again at around 16.15–16.30; at other times he will be available by phone to answer queries about the questions.
- **Permitted materials:**
 - Dictionary
- **Please read the following guidelines carefully:**
 - Read through all Questions before you start working on the answers.
 - Begin each Question on a new sheet.
 - Write clearly; unreadable = wrong!
 - Full points are given to solutions which are short, elegant, and correct. Fewer points may be given to solutions which are unnecessarily complicated or unstructured.
 - For each part Question, if your solution consists of more than a few lines of Haskell code, use your common sense to decide whether to include a short comment to explain your solution.
 - You can use any of the standard Haskell functions *listed at the back of this exam document*.
 - You are encouraged to use the solution to an earlier part of a Question to help solve a later part — even if you did not succeed in solving the earlier part.

Two bytes meet. The first byte asks, “Are you ill?” The second byte replies, “No, just feeling a bit off.”

Question 1. Consider the following function:

```
chat 0 f (x:xs) = f x : xs
chat _ _ []     = []
chat n f (x:xs) = x:chat (n-1) f xs
```

You may assume that the first argument to `chat` will be a non-negative Int.

- (a) (2 points) Give the type of `chat`.
- (b) (3 points) Give a definition for a function `chat'` which is equivalent to `chat` (under the assumption about the first argument), but which is defined using only the standard functions (as listed at the back).
- (c) (2 points) Define a quickCheck property that could be used to test the equivalence of `chat` and `chat'`. In your test you may use a specific function for the second parameter of `chat`.
- (d) (3 points) A function `findIn` tries to find the earliest index at which its first argument can be found as a sublist of the second argument. It satisfies the following property:

```
prop_findIn0 = findIn "Hell" "Hello"      == Just 0
              && findIn "ell" "Hello Jello" == Just 1
              && findIn "Hell" "Helan"     == Nothing
```

With the help of the function `isPrefixOf`, give a definition of `findIn`, including its most general type, using a tail-recursive helper function.

- (e) (0 points) Check that you remembered to include the type of the function in your answer to the previous question.
- (f) (3 points) Define a quickCheck property which checks that whenever a list `ys` definitely contains `xs` as a sublist, then `findIn xs ys` will not give `Nothing`. Note: it is not necessary to create a new generator for lists to answer this question.

Question 2. In this Question, you will design a Haskell datatype to model a journey. A journey is a non-empty list of *legs*. For example a journey from Halmstad to London might consist of three legs: a train from Halmstad to Gothenburg, a bus from Gothenburg to Landvetter Airport, and a flight from Landvetter to London. Suppose that we begin to model this by defining

```
type Journey = [Leg]
```

As in the example above leg consists of a *mode of transport*, which is either bus, train, or flight, the place of origin, and the destination. Here we will model places as strings:

```
type Place = String
```

(a) (2 points) Complete the definition of the data type for a Journey.

(b) (3 points) Define a function

```
connected :: Journey -> Bool
```

which computes whether the places in the journey are all connected (so that the destination of one leg will always be the origin for the next leg). *Your solution should not define any new recursive function, but should make use of standard functions.* Hint: you might find it useful to use the list

```
zip (init journey) (tail journey)
```

in your solution.

(c) (4 points) Define, *using recursion and none of the standard functions except for those in the Eq class*, a function

```
missingLegs :: Journey -> [(Place,Place)]
```

which computes the pairs of places that are not connected in the given Journey. This should satisfy:

```
prop_missingLegs j = not(null j) ==> connected j == null (missingLegs j)
```

(d) (4 points) Add appropriate instance declarations so that quickCheck can be run on prop_missingLegs.

Question 3. The map of a simple text-based adventure game is modelled as

```
data Map = Map PlaceName [(Dir,Map)]
data Dir = N | S | E | W deriving (Eq,Show)
type PlaceName = String
```

An example of a map consisting of three places is given below; the “Hogwarts” castle has a lake to the south and a forest to the north:

```
hogwarts = Map "Castle" [(N,forest),(S,lake)]
forest   = Map "Forest" [(S,hogwarts)]
lake     = Map "Lake"   [(N,hogwarts)]
```

In the questions that follow you may assume that a direction appears at most once in a list of direction-map pairs, and that every distinct place in a map has a unique place name.

(a) (4 points) Define a function

```
travel :: Map -> [Dir] -> Maybe Map
```

which returns the map (if there is one) obtained after following the given sequence of directions. So for example `travel hogwarts [N,S,S]` would give a result equivalent to `Just lake`, but `travel hogwarts [N,E]` or `travel hogwarts [N,N]` would both give `Nothing`. Hint: the function `lookup` can be useful here.

(b) (1 points) If we add `deriving Show` to the definition of `Map`, what happens when we try to print `hogwarts`?

(c) (6 points) Make `Map` an instance of class `Show` in a way that allows maps to be displayed in the following way:

```
Main> lake
```

```
You are at the Lake. Go N to Castle
Castle. Go N to Forest, Go S to Lake
Forest. Go S to Castle
```

```
Main> forest
```

```
You are at the Forest. Go S to Castle
Castle. Go N to Forest, Go S to Lake
Lake. Go N to Castle
```

Hints: the function `intersperse` could come in handy. As a wise man once said, to avoid going round in circles, it can be useful to remember where you’ve been.

Question 4. (a) (3 points) Rewrite the following definition without using do notation:

```
backup f = do
  a <- readFile f
  let backup = f ++ ".bac"
  putStrLn $ "Creating backup in " ++ backup
  writeFile backup a
```

(b) (2 points) For-loops found in typical imperative programs are not part of Haskell, but there is nothing to stop us from defining our own imperative-style control structures. In this question you should define a function `for_` of type

```
for_ :: [a] -> (a -> IO()) -> IO()
```

which can represent simple for loops. For example a (psudocode) for loop

```
for i = i to 10 {
  print i
}
```

could be written in Haskell as

```
for_ [1..10] $ \i ->
  print i
```

(c) (1 points) The above function assumes that the loop body does not produce any result. Give a definition for a more general function

```
for :: [a] -> (a -> IO b) -> IO [b]
```

which collects the results of each iteration.

(d) (2 points) Sometimes a large file (such as a video) needs to be split into a collection of smaller files. Suppose that these smaller files are named `f.part1`, `f.part2`, `...`. This question is about joining them back together again to get the original file `f`.

Use the function `for` to define the function `join :: FilePath -> Int -> IO()` such that `join f i`, when run, concatenates the contents of the `i` parts of file `f` together and writes them back into file `f`. You may assume that `f` and `i` are correctly specified. `FilePath` is equivalent to `String`.