

Lecture 3: Semaphores (chap. 6)

K. V. S. Prasad
Dept of Computer Science
Chalmer University
5 Sep 2014

Questions?

- Anything you did not get
- Was I too fast/slow?

Interleaving

- Each process executes a sequence of atomic commands (usually called "statements", though I don't like that term).
- Each process has its own control pointer, see slide 2.1 of Ben-Ari
- For slide 2.2, see what interleavings are impossible

Why arbitrary interleaving?

- Multitasking (2.8 is a picture of a context switch)
 - Context switches are quite expensive
 - Take place on time slice or I/O interrupt
 - Thousands of process instructions between switches
 - But where the cut falls depends on the run
- Runs of concurrent programs
 - Depend on exact timing of external events
 - Non-deterministic! Can't debug the usual way!
 - Does different things each time!

Arbitrary interleaving (contd.)

- Multiprocessors (see 2.9)
 - If no contention between CPU's
 - True parallelism (looks like arbitrary interleaving)
 - Contention resolved arbitrarily
 - Again, arbitrary interleaving is the safest assumption

But what is being interleaved?

- Unit of interleaving can be
 - Whole function calls?
 - High level statements?
 - Machine instructions?
- Larger units lead to easier proofs but make other processes wait unnecessarily
- We might want to change the units as we maintain the program
- Hence best to leave things unspecified

Why not rely on speed throughout?

- Don't get into the train crash scenario
 - use speed and time throughout to design
 - everyday planning is often like this
 - Particularly in older, simpler machines without sensors
 - For people, we also add explicit synchronisation
- For our programs, the input can come from the keyboard or broadband
 - And the broadband gets faster every few months
- So allow arbitrary speeds

Atomic statements

- The thing that happens without interruption
 - Can be implemented as high priority
- Compare algorithms 2.3 and 2.4
 - Slides 2.12 to 2.17
 - 2.3 can guarantee $n=2$ at the end
 - 2.4 cannot
 - hardware folk say there is a "race condition"
- We must say what the atomic statements are
 - In the book, assignments and boolean conditions
 - How to implement these as atomic?
- What about arbitrary stretches as atomic?

What are hardware atomic actions?

- Setting a register
- Testing a register
- Is that enough?
- Think about it (or cheat, and read Chap. 3.10)

Obey the rules you make!

- 1 For almost all of this course, we assume single processor without real-time (so parallelism is only potential).
- 2 Real life example where it is dangerous to make time assumptions when the system is designed on explicit synchronisation – the train
- 3 And at least know the rules! (Therac).

Semaphores to solve Critical Sections

- We saw that the CS problem can be solved by
 - Test-and-set, Compare-and-swap, ...
 - Two things at once: minimal atomic actions
 - But these are low level machine instructions
 - Semaphores: same trick at language level
- So we expect semaphores to solve CS
 - Why is the CS problem so important?
 - It is how we restrict interleaving
- What else can they do? What problems in use?
- How do we implement them?

Processes revisited

- We didn't really say what "waiting" was
 - Define it as "blocked for resource"
 - If run will only busy-wait
 - If not blocked, it is "ready"
 - Whether actually running depends on scheduler
 - Running -> blocked transition done by process
 - Blocked -> ready transition due to external event
- Now see B-A slide 6.1
- Define "await" as a non-blocking check of boolean condition

Semaphore definition

- Is a pair $\langle \text{value}, \text{set of blocked processes} \rangle$
- Initialised to $\langle k, \text{empty} \rangle$
 - k depends on application
 - For a binary semaphore, $k=1$ or 0 , and $k=1$ at first
- Two operations. When proc p calls sem S
 - Wait (S) =
 - if $k > 0$ then $k := k - 1$ else block p and add it to set
 - signal (S)
 - If empty set then $k := k + 1$ else take a q from set and unblock it
- Signal undefined on a binary sem when $k=1$

Critical Section with semaphore

- See alg 6.1 and 6.2 (slides 6.2 through 6.4)
- Semaphore is like alg 3.6
 - The second attempt at CS without special ops
 - There, the problem was
 - P checks wantq
 - Finds it false, enters CS,
 - but q enters before p can set wantp
- We can prevent that by compare-and-swap
- Semaphores are high level versions of this

Correct?

- Look at state diagram (p 112, s 6.4)
 - Mutex, because we don't have a state (p2, q2, ..)
 - No deadlock
 - Of a set of waiting (or blocked) procs, one gets in
 - Simpler definition of deadlock now
 - Both blocked, no hope of release
 - No starvation, with fair scheduler
 - A wait will be executed
 - A blocked process will be released

CS problem for n processes

- See alg 6.3 (p 113, s 6.5)
 - The same algorithm works for n procs
 - The proofs for mutex and deadlock freedom work
 - We never used special properties of binary sems
 - But starvation is now possible
 - p and q can release each other and leave r blocked
- Exercise: If k is set to m initially, at most m processes can be in their CS's.

Mergesort using semaphores

- See p 115, alg 6.5 (s 6.8)
 - The two halves can be sorted independently
 - No need to synch
 - Merge, the third process,
 - has to wait for both halves
 - Note semaphores initialised to 0
 - Signal precedes wait
 - Done by process that did not do a wait
 - Not a Critical Section problem, but a synchronisation one

Producer - consumer

- Yet another meaning of "synchronous"
 - Buffer of 0 size
- Buffers can only even out transient delays
 - Average speed must be same for both
- Infinite buffer first. Means
 - Producer never waits
 - Only one semaphore needed
 - Need partial state diagram
 - Like mergesort, but signal in a loop
- See algs 6.6 and 6.7

Bounded buffer

- See alg 6.8 (p 119, s 6.12)
 - Two semaphores
 - Cons waits if buffer empty
 - Prod waits if buffer full
 - Each proc needs the other to release "its" sem
 - Different from CS problem
 - "Split semaphores"
 - Invariant
 - $\text{notEmpty} + \text{notFull} = \text{initially empty places}$