## Sorting (Weiss chapter $8.1-8.3$ )

## Sorting

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8 & 7 & 3 & 2 & 1 & 4
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{llllllllll}
1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 7 & 8 & 9
\end{array}
$$

Zillions of sorting algorithms (bubblesort, insertion sort, selection sort, quicksort, heapsort, mergesort, shell sort, counting sort, radix sort, ...)

## Sorting

Why is sorting important? Because sorted data is much easier to deal with!

- Searching - use binary instead of linear search
- Finding duplicates - takes linear instead of quadratic time
- etc.

Most sorting algorithms are based on comparisons

- Compare elements - is one bigger than the other? If not, do something about it!
- Advantage: they can work on all sorts of data
- Disadvantage: specialised algorithms for e.g. sorting lists of integers can be faster


## Bubblesort

Go through the array, comparing adjacent elements

- If we find two that are in the wrong order, swap them
Once we reach the end of the array, go back and start again!


## Bubblesort

Compare $a[0]$ and $a[1]$ :


## Bubblesort

Compare a[1] and a[2]:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
3 & 5 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & \mathbf{5} & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## Bubblesort

Compare a[2] and a[3]:


## Bubblesort

Compare a[3] and a[4]:

| 3 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 9 |

## Bubblesort

## Back to the beginning!

## $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 5 & 2 & 8 & 9\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 5 & 2 & 8 & 9\end{array}$

## Bubblesort

Compare a[1] and a[2]:


## Bubblesort

Compare a[2] and a[3]:

## $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 9\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 9\end{array}$

## Bubblesort

Compare a[3] and a[4]:

## $\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 9\end{array}$

$\begin{array}{lllll}3 & 2 & 5 & 8 & 9\end{array}$

## Bubblesort

Back to the beginning!


## Bubblesort

How do we know when to stop going back to the beginning?

- When the array is sorted


## How many loops until that happens?

- Each time we loop through the array, at least one more element ends up in the right place: the biggest element that was in the wrong place before
So repeat as many times as there are elements in the input array
for $k=0$ to array.length-1
for i $=0$ to array.length-2
if array[i] < array[i+1]
swap array[i] and array[i+1]


## Insertion sort

Imagine someone is dealing you cards. Whenever you get a new card you put it into the right place in your hand:


This is the idea of insertion sort.

## Insertion sort

## $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Sorting } & 5 & 3 & 9 & 2\end{array}$

## 5

## Insertion sort

## $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Sorting } & 5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8 & :\end{array}$

Then insert the 3 into the right place:

$$
35
$$

## Insertion sort

## Sorting $\begin{array}{llllll}5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8 & \text { : }\end{array}$

Then the 9:
$3 \quad 5 \quad 9$

## Insertion sort

## Sorting 5 Then the 2:

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## Insertion sort

## Sorting $\begin{array}{llllll}5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8 & :\end{array}$

Finally the 8:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## Complexity of insertion sort

Insertion sort does n insertions for an array of size $n$
Does this mean it is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$ ? No! An insertion is not constant time.
To insert into a sorted array, you must move all the elements up one, which is O(n).
Thus total is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$.

## In-place insertion sort

This version of insertion sort needs to make a new array to hold the result
An in-place sorting algorithm is one that doesn't need to make temporary arrays

- Has the potential to be more efficient Let's make an in-place insertion sort! Basic idea: loop through the array, and insert each element into the part which is already sorted


## In-place insertion sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

The first element of the array is sorted:

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

White bit: sorted

## In-place insertion sort

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

Insert the 3 into the correct place:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 5 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## In-place insertion sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 5 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

Insert the 9 into the correct place:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 5 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## In-place insertion sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
3 & 5 & 9 & 2 & 8
\end{array}
$$

Insert the 2 into the correct place:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## In-place insertion sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 8
\end{array}
$$

Insert the 8 into the correct place:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## In-place insertion

One way to do it: repeatedly swap the element with its neighbour on the left, until it's in the right position

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 4
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## In-place insertion

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 4 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## $\begin{array}{lllll}2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 9\end{array}$

while $n>0$ and $\operatorname{array[n]~>~array[n-1]~}$ swap array[n] and array[n-1] $\mathrm{n}=\mathrm{n}-1$

## In-place insertion

An improvement: instead of swapping, move elements upwards to make a "hole" where we put the new value

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 4
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l|l}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## In-place insertion



## In-place insertion so

This notation
means
$0,1, \ldots, i-1$
for $i=1$ to $n$
insert array[i] into array[0..i-1)
An aside: we have the invariant that array[0..i) is sorted

- An invariant is something that holds whenever the loop body starts to run
- Initially, $\mathrm{i}=1$ and $\operatorname{array[0..1)~is~sorted~}$
- As the loop runs, more and more of the array becomes sorted
- When the loop finishes, $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}$, so array[0..n) is sorted - the whole array!


## Selection sort

Find the smallest element of the array, and delete it

Find the smallest remaining element, and delete it

And so on
Finding the smallest element is $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{n})$, so total complexity is $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$

## Selection sort

## $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Sorting } & 5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8 & :\end{array}$

The smallest element is 2:

2
We also delete 2 from the input array.

## Selection sort

## Sorting $\begin{array}{lllll}5 & 3 & 9 & 8 & \text { : }\end{array}$

Now the smallest element is 3 :

## 23

We delete 3 from the input array.

## Selection sort

## Sorting $5 \quad 9 \quad 8 \quad:$

Now the smallest element is 5 :

$$
2 \quad 3 \quad 5
$$

We delete 5 from the input array. (...and so on)

## In-place selection sort

Instead of deleting the smallest element, swap it with the first element!
The next time round, ignore the first element of the array: we know it's the smallest one.
Instead, find the smallest element of the rest of the array, and swap it with the second element.

## In-place selection sort

## Sorting $\begin{array}{lllllll}5 & 3 & 9 & 2 & 8 & :\end{array}$

The smallest element is 2 :

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 9 & 5 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## In-place selection sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 9 & 5 & 8
\end{array}
$$

The smallest element in the rest of the array is 3 :

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 9 & 5 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## In-place selection sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 9 & 5 & 8
\end{array}
$$

The smallest element in the rest of the array is 5:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 8
\end{array}
$$

## In-place selection sort

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 9 & 8
\end{array}
$$

The smallest element in the rest of the array is 8:

$$
\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 5 & 8 & 9
\end{array}
$$

## In-place selection sort

for $i=0$ to a.length-1
find the smallest element in a[i..a.length) swap it with a[i]

## Comparing the sorting algorithms

All the algorithms so far are $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ in the worst case
One of them is $O(n)$ in the best case ( a sorted array) - which?

## Comparing the sorting algorithms

All the algorithms so far are $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{n}^{2}\right)$ in the worst case
One of them is $O(n)$ in the best case (a sorted array) - which?

- Answer: insertion sort
- This makes insertion sort the best of our three algorithms - it's actually the fastest sorting algorithm in general for small lists
- The other two are bad, but selection sort is the basis for a better algorithm, heapsort


## A negative result

The algorithms so far as based on swapping adjacent elements
No sorting algorithm that works like this can be better than $O\left(n^{2}\right)$ !
See section 8.3 for details.
(Not part of the course - an extra for those who are interested)

