

Concurrency and the real world

Richard Carlsson



Hardware and software



Modern hardware

- The frequency race is long since over
- Power consumption and heat is a big issue
- Many cores running on reasonable frequencies
- RAM is cheap, but coherence doesn't scale
- Disks are really slow, even SSD
- Lots of separate machines
 - Load balancers, SSL termination, ...
 - Front end servers, database servers, authentication, ...
 - Logging, backup, monitoring, ...



Modern software

- To do more work, you need to split up your code!
- Software has only just started to adapt
 - Desktop software only rarely uses multicore
 - Photo, video, sound processing, etc. easy to parallellize
 - Games (rendering, AI, physics, etc.), still much to do
 - Imagine running the AI for each NPC as a separate thread
 - Server software is easiest to parallellize
 - Requests from clients can be handled independently
 - One thread per client connection possibly more
 - The code that handles a request is often sequential



Legacy software

- You rarely get to start completely from scratch
 - When you do, you rarely realize that your code might live for 10-20 years and will need to scale 1000 times
- Code becomes legacy as soon as the person who wrote it has moved on to other things
- Parallelizing code can be hard but it's 10 times harder if you don't fully understand what it's doing
 - Side effects make code hard to understand/change
 - Code that relies on a shared memory space must be completely rewritten to run on separate machines



Erlang – our weapon of choice



Erlang design philosophy

- Isolation of processes, no shared memory, message passing, error handling via links
 - A process can't destroy the state of another process
- Mostly functional programming, few side effects (messages), easy to read and reason about
- Many small components, no shared state
- Service oriented software design



Erlang and multicore

- The Erlang philosophy is a great fit for multicore
 - No need for locks or synchronized sections
 - No shared memory a process can run anywhere
- Erlang gives you built-in support for multicore, but can also run the same concurrent code on a single-core machine
- Erlang lets you run processes on separate machines over the network just as easily as on a single machine, without rewriting any of the code



Erlang and performance

- "Erlang is slow, we need to use C++"
 - Let them believe it you'll have one less competitor
- Of course you can beat Erlang's performance
 - ...If you want to spend 5 years optimizing your C++, and reinvent half of Erlang while you're doing it
- There is no such thing as "fast", only "fast enough"
- Erlang lets you get close enough to the best possible performance in a very short time
 - The code is clean and easy to modify further



Understanding concurrency



What is time?

- You need to relearn how to think about time
 - It takes experience you have to build a new intuition
- Clocks are mostly useless; as in relativistic physics, there is no global "right now"
 - Only messages and replies can be relied on
 - Causal relationships: if B, then A must have happened
 - Logical time: discrete points, partial order
 - Even the smallest step in your program can take anything from a nanosecond to several hours



What is concurrency?

- If two things can potentially happen at the same time, they are said to be concurrent
 - Maybe they usually happen in a certain order, but if a delay happens, they may end up being simultaneous
- Scheduling and delays can happen at any time, and for any length of time
- The behaviour under real load will not be as in development if the program can fail, it will!
 - "Fixing" a timing problem by adding a pause is broken from start, and will come back to bite you later



Designing for concurrency

- Keep it simple!
 - Knowing that it works is always more important
- Think about your program as a set of services
 - Within each service, let each activity be a process
 - No process should know more than it needs to know
 - Always keep in mind that you may want to split the different parts to run on separate machines
 - Avoid traditional "object-oriented" design, which can lead to a spaghetti of shared data dependencies



Programming techniques



Fail fast and noisily

- The program should fail immediately on errors
 - The longer it continues running, the harder it will be to figure out what went wrong
- Check inputs at the borders of your program
 - Internally, assume that the values are correct
- Let it crash!
 - Don't try to catch errors and "autocorrect" them crash the program and let someone else restart it
- Write to logs, not stdout!



Separate all the things

- Traditional optimizations try to do as much as possible at the same time, in a single thread
 - For example, loop over all items in an array and do a whole bunch of different things to each item
 - This makes it impossible to utilize multicore, since you cannot easily split the code into separate processes
- "Optimizing" by doing several unrelated things in the same piece of code, just because you have the information available, is a really bad idea



Controlling your parallelism

- Handling a million requests in parallel is great. Making a million errors in parallel is bad!
 - Bugs, resource limits (file descriptors, memory)
 - If one worker fails, the others will probably fail too
 - For one thing, this can flood your logs
 - Circuit breaker pattern
- Make features configurable: runtime on/off switch
- Log Pids or session IDs so you can follow what a session has done, across services



Splitting shared resources

- When parallelizing, you will often find a few central things that all your processes need to access
 - Typical example: global counters (customer IDs, etc.)
 - Performance bottleneck everything gets serialized
 - Single point of failure if it goes offline, nothing works
- Must eliminate the sharing. Think outside the box.
 - Global server handing out number series to each front end server on demand, enough for hours or days
 - Does the application require guaranteed uniqueness or just very low probability of collisions? Use a hash!



Explicit sequencing

- You sometimes find that parts of your system need to be explicitly serialized to avoid race conditions
- Typically, when more than one part can make active decisions. E.g., a hotel booking site:
 - Customer sees room is available and presses "book"
 - Hotel staff corrects a mistake at the same time
 - As long as one of them gets an error message and has to try again, it's all right
- Often handled by database transactions, but you may need to do it all by yourself



Persistent storage



Most systems need a database

- Database = global shared memory = bad!
 - All of the code assumes it can access all of the data
 - Often leads to bad code with lots of dependencies
- Databases usually imply transactions
 - Transactions imply bottlenecks (locks or collisions)
 - You have to weigh consistency against bottlenecks
- A file system is no exception: it's a kind of database (hierarchical, good at "file-ish" things, bad at other things, usually no transactions)



Databases and distribution

- You need more than one machine, and more than one copy of your data, to guarantee availability
- The famous "CAP theorem"
 - Consistency, Availability, Partition tolerance
 - You can't have all 3 at the same time
 - You will get partitioning; in a real system, shit happens
 - You need availability, or you will be out of business
- Transactions need to (mostly) go away
 - Eventual consistency allow temporary inconsistency
 - No simple rules for writing transactionless systems



SLA levels

- Service Level Agreement: "we promise that..."
- Which of your services *must* always be available to keep customers happy, and which are "extras"?
 - Don't let non-critical stuff bring your system down
- You need to know which errors are critical, and which are just "bad" but can be fixed
 - Multiple entries with the same data. Maybe customer posted same order twice. Detect and remove duplicate.
 - Sold a book that was out of stock? Mail customer about delay, order more books from publisher.



Final words



Concurrency is hard – or not

- Most people aren't used to thinking about concurrent programming – it takes some practice
- On the other hand, as a human you deal with concurrency every day
 - Working, drinking coffee, answering a mail, talking to someone; not bumping into people in the corridor; biking to the gym without getting run over by a car; watching TV and eating cheetos while texting a friend...
- Erlang is great for experimenting and getting a feeling for concurrency – play around!



We live in exciting times

- Few textbook examples of how to solve typical problems without resorting to transactions
- Huge demand for systems that scale well and remain available despite partial failures
- Most situations need a combination of engineering and domain specific workarounds
- High performance parallel (scientific) computing, distributed web services, games, AI and robotics, etc., have very different requirements. Pick a language that suits the problem.



We're always hiring...

klarna.com/jobs