TEMPORAL PROBABILITY MODELS

Chapter 15, Sections 1-3

Artificial Intelligence, spring 2013, Peter Ljunglöf; based on AIMA Slides ©Stuart Russel and Peter Norvig, 2004

Outline

- \Diamond Time and uncertainty
- \diamond Inference: filtering, prediction, smoothing
- \diamond Hidden Markov models

Time and uncertainty

The world changes; we need to track and predict it

Our basic idea is to copy state and evidence variables for each time step

- $\mathbf{X}_t = \text{set of unobservable state variables at time } t$ e.g., $BloodSugar_t$, $StomachContents_t$, etc.
- $\mathbf{E}_t = \text{set of observable evidence variables at time } t$ e.g., $MeasuredBloodSugar_t$, $PulseRate_t$, $FoodEaten_t$

This assumes **discrete time**; the step size depends on the problem

Notation: $\mathbf{X}_{a:b} = \mathbf{X}_a, \mathbf{X}_{a+1}, \dots, \mathbf{X}_{b-1}, \mathbf{X}_b$

We want to construct a Bayes net from these variables:

– what are the parents of \mathbf{X}_t and \mathbf{E}_t ?

Markov chains

A Markov chain has a single observable state X_t that obeys the Markov assumption: X_t depends on a **bounded** subset of $X_{0:t-1}$

First-order Markov process: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{0:t-1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-1})$



Second-order Markov process: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{0:t-1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-2}, \mathbf{X}_{t-1})$

(can be reduced to 1st order by using $\langle \mathbf{X}_{t-2}, \mathbf{X}_{t-1} \rangle$ as the state)

Hidden Markov models (HMM)

A HMM contains a Markov chain X_t , which is **not** observable.

Instead we observe the evidence variables \mathbf{E}_t , and assume that they obey the Sensor Markov assumption: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_{0:t}, \mathbf{E}_{0:t-1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_t)$

Both Markov chains and HMMs are stationary processes:

- the transition model $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-1})$ and
- the sensor model $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_t)$ are fixed for all t

Example



Neither the Markov assumption nor the sensor Markov assumtion are exactly true in the real world!

Possible fixes:

- 1. Increase the order of the Markov process
- 2. Augment the state, e.g., add $Temp_t$, $Pressure_t$

Inference tasks

Filtering: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{e}_{1:t})$

to compute the current belief state given all evidence better name: state estimation

Prediction: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+k}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ for k > 0

to compute a **future** belief state, given current evidence (it's like filtering without all evidence)

Smoothing: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_k | \mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ for $0 \le k < t$

to compute a better estimate of past states

Most likely explanation: $\arg \max_{\mathbf{x}_{1:t}} P(\mathbf{x}_{1:t} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t})$

to compute the state sequence that is most likely, given the evidence

Applications: speech recognition, decoding with a noisy channel, etc.

Filtering / state estimation

A useful filtering algorithm needs to maintain a current state and update it, instead of recalculating everything. I.e., we need a function f such that:

 $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) = f(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}, \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}))$

We compose the evidence $\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}$ into $\mathbf{e}_{1:t}$ and \mathbf{e}_{t+1} : $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}, \mathbf{e}_{t+1})$ (divide the evidence) $= \alpha \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1}, \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ (Bayes' rule) $= \alpha \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1})}_{\text{the sensor model}} \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})}_{\text{prediction}}$ (Sensor Markov assumption)

We obtain the one-step prediction by conditioning on the current state \mathbf{X}_t : $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})$ $= \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_t)}_{\text{the Markov model previous estimate}} \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})}_{\text{previous estimate}}$ (Markov assumption)

the Markov model previous estimate

Our final equation becomes this:

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t+1})}_{\text{current estimate} = \mathbf{f}_{1:k+1}} = \alpha \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1})}_{\text{the sensor model}} \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t})}_{\text{the Markov model}} \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t}|\mathbf{e}_{1:t})}_{\text{previous estimate} = \mathbf{f}_{1:k}}$$



The backward message $\mathbf{b}_{k+1:t}$ is computed by backwards recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_k) &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_k, \mathbf{X}_{k+1}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_k) \\ &= \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1:t}|\mathbf{X}_{k+1}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_k) \\ &= \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_{k+1})}_{\text{the sensor model}} \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{k+2:t}|\mathbf{X}_{k+1})}_{\mathbf{b}_{k+2:t}} \ \underbrace{\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{k+1}|\mathbf{X}_k)}_{\text{the Markov model}} \end{aligned}$$

Artificial Intelligence, spring 2013, Peter Ljunglöf; based on AIMA Slides ©Stuart Russel and Peter Norvig, 2004

Forward and backward

Forward algorithm is used to compute the current belief state

Backward algorithm is used to compute a previous belief state

Forward-backward algorithm: cache forward messages along the way, which can then be used when going backward

Most likely explanation

Most likely sequence \neq sequence of most likely states!

$$\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{1:t}, \mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}, \mathbf{e}_{t+1}) \\
= \alpha \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}, \mathbf{X}_{t+1}, \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{1:t}, \mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\
= \alpha \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}, \mathbf{X}_{t+1}, \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{1:t}, \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{1:t} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}) \\
= \alpha \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{t}) \ \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{t}) \\$$

Most likely path to each $\mathbf{x}_{t+1} = \text{most}$ likely path to some \mathbf{x}_t , plus one step. Since we don't care about the exact values, we can forget α .

$$\mathbf{m}_{1:t+1} = \max_{\mathbf{x}_{1:t}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{1:t}, \mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t}, \mathbf{e}_{t+1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \max_{\mathbf{x}_{t}} (\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{t}) \max_{\mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}} \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x}_{1:t-1}, \mathbf{X}_{t} | \mathbf{e}_{1:t})) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1} | \mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \max_{\mathbf{x}_{t}} (\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1} | \mathbf{x}_{t}) \mathbf{m}_{1:t})$$

 $\mathbf{m}_{1:t}$ is the probability distribution of the most likely path to each $\mathbf{x}_t \in \mathbf{X}_t$, and is calculated by the Viterbi algorithm:

 $\mathbf{m}_{1:t+1} = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{e}_{t+1}|\mathbf{X}_{t+1}) \max_{\mathbf{x}_t}(\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t+1}|\mathbf{x}_t) \mathbf{m}_{1:t})$

Hidden Markov models

 \mathbf{X}_t is a single, discrete variable X_t (and usually \mathbf{E}_t is too) Assume that the domain of X_t is $\{1, \ldots, S\}$

Transition matrix $\mathbf{T}_{ij} = P(X_t = j | X_{t-1} = i)$, e.g., the rain matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0.7 & 0.3 \\ 0.3 & 0.7 \end{pmatrix}$

Sensor matrix O_t for each time step t, consists of diagonal elements $P(e_t|X_t = i)$ e.g., with $U_1 = true$, $O_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.9 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.2 \end{pmatrix}$

Forward and backward messages can now be represented as column vectors:

 $\mathbf{f}_{1:t+1} = \alpha \mathbf{O}_{t+1} \mathbf{T}^{\top} \mathbf{f}_{1:t}$ $\mathbf{b}_{k+1:t} = \mathbf{T} \mathbf{O}_{k+1} \mathbf{b}_{k+2:t}$

The forward-backward algorithm needs time $O(S^2t)$ and space O(St)

Summary for HMMs

Temporal models use state X_t and sensor E_t variables replicated over time

To make the models tractable, we introduce simplifying assumptions:

- Markov assumption: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{0:t-1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-1})$
- sensor assumption: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_{0:t}, \mathbf{E}_{0:t-1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_t)$
- stationarity: $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-1}) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_{t'} | \mathbf{X}_{t'-1})$, $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_t) = \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_{t'} | \mathbf{X}_{t'})$

With the assumptions we only need the following models:

- the transition model $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{X}_t | \mathbf{X}_{t-1})$
- the sensor model $\mathbf{P}(\mathbf{E}_t | \mathbf{X}_t)$

Possible computing tasks:

- filtering/state estimation, prediction, smoothing, most likely sequence
- all can be done with constant cost per time step

HMMs and extensions

Hidden Markov models (HMMs) have a single **discrete** state variable

- the rain/umbrella world is an HMM
- used for speech recognition, part-of-speech tagging, etc.
- n discrete state variables can be combined into one "megavariable"

Kalman filters allow n continuous state variables

- the state and transition models are linear Gaussian distributions
- update complexity $O(n^3)$
- used for tracking of moving objects, etc.

Dynamic Bayes nets subsume HMMs, Kalman filters

- exact update intractable
- particle filtering is a good approximate filtering algorithm for DBNs