Parsing Expressions

Meng Wang

With thanks to Koen Lindström Claessen

Expressions

- Such as
 - -5*2+12
 - -17+3*(4*3+75)
- Can be modelled as a datatype

```
data Expr
= Num Int
| Add Expr Expr
| Mul Expr Expr
```

Showing and Reading

We have seen how to write

built-in show function produces ugly results

```
showExpr :: Expr -> String
```

```
Main> showExpr (Add (Num 2) (Num 4))
"2+4"

Main> showExpr (Mul (Add (Num 2) (Num 3)) (Num 4)
(2+3)*4
```

• This lecture: How to write

readExpr :: String -> Expr

built-in read function does not match showExpr


Parsing

- Transforming a "flat" string into something with a richer structure is called *parsing*
 - expressions
 - programming languages
 - natural language (swedish, english, dutch)
 - **—** ...
- Very common problem in computer science
 - Many different solutions

Expressions

```
data Expr
= Num Int
| Add Expr Expr
| Mul Expr Expr
```

- Let us start with a simpler problem
- How to parse



but we keep in mind that we want to parse real expressions...

```
number :: String -> Int
```

```
Main> number "23"
23
Main> number "apa"
?
Main> number "23+17"
?
```

- Parsing a string to a number, there are cases:
 - -(1) the string is a number, e.g. "23"
 - (2) the string is not a number at all, e.g. "apa"
 - (3) the string *starts* with a number, e.g. "17+24"

how to model these?

type Parser a = String -> Maybe (a, String)

Case (1) and (3) are similar...

```
number :: Parser Int
```

```
Main> number "23"
Just (23, "")
Main> number "117junk"
Just (117, "junk")
Main> number "apa"
Nothing
Main> number "23+17"
Just (23, "+17")

how to implement?
```

a helper function

with an extra argument

```
number :: Parser Int
number (c:s) | isDigit c = Just (digits 0 (c:s))
number _ = Nothing
```

```
digits :: Int -> String -> (Int,String)
digits n (c:s) | isDigit c = digits (10*n + digitToInt c) s
digits n s = (n,s)
```

import Data.Char

at the top of your file

```
number :: Parser Int
                                      a case expression
num :: Parser Expr
num s = case number s of
          Just (n, s') -> Just (Num n, s')
          Nothing -> Nothing
Main> num "23"
Just (Num 23, "")
Main> num "apa"
Nothing
Main> num "23+17"
Just (Num 23, "+17")
```

Expressions

```
data Expr
= Num Int
| Add Expr Expr
```

- Expressions are now of the form
 - **-** "23"
 - "3+23"
 - **-** "17+3+23+14+0"

a *chain* of numbers with "+"

Parsing Expressions

expr :: Parser Expr

```
Main> expr "23"

Just (Num 23, "")

Main> expr "apa"

Nothing

Main> expr "23+17"

Just (Add (Num 23) (Num 17), "")

Main> expr "23+17mumble"

Just (Add (Num 23) (Num 17), "mumble")
```

Parsing Expressions

```
start with a
                        number?
                                          is there a +
                                                            can we parse
                                             sign?
expr :: Parser Expr
                                                            another expr?
expr s1 = case num s1 of
            Just (a,s2) -> case s2 of
                            '+':s3 -> case expr s3 of
                                       Just (b,s4) -> Just (Add a b, s4)
                                       Nothing -> Just (a,s2)
                                  -> Just (a,s2)
                      -> Nothing
            Nothing
```

Expressions

```
data Expr
= Num Int
| Add Expr Expr
| Mul Expr Expr
```

a chain of terms

with "+"

a chain of factors with

Expressions are now of the form

```
- "23"

- "3+23*4"

- "17*3+23*5*7+14"
```

Expression Grammar

• expr ::= term "+" ... "+" term

• term ::= factor "*" ... "*" factor

• factor ::= number

Parsing Expressions

```
expr :: Parser Expr
expr s1 = case term s1 of

Just (a,s2) -> case s2 of

'+':s3 -> case expr s3 of

Just (b,s4) -> Just (Add a b, s4)

Nothing -> Just (a,s2)

-> Just (a,s2)

Nothing -> Nothing
```

```
term :: Parser Expr
term = ?
```

Parsing Terms

```
term :: Parser Expr
term s1 = case factor s1 of
           Just (a,s2) \rightarrow case s2 of
                           **:s3 -> case term s3 of
                                      Just (b,s4) -> Just (Mul a b, s4)
                                      Nothing -> Just (a,s2)
                                 -> Just (a,s2)
                     -> Nothing
           Nothing
                                                     just copy the code
                                                     from expr and make
                                                       some changes!
```

NO!!

Parsing Chains

```
chain :: Parser a \rightarrow Char \rightarrow (a-\rightarrowa) \rightarrow Parser a
   chain p op f s1 =
                                                              recursion
                                      argument op
                                                                                argument f
      case p s1 of
        Just (a,s2) -> case s2 of
                         c:s3 \mid c == op -> case chain p op f s3 of
argument p
                                               Just (b,s4) -> Just (f a b, s4)
                                               Nothing -> Just (a,s2)
                                         -> Just (a,s2)
        Nothing
                   -> Nothing
                                                                          a higher-order
                                                                            function
   expr, term :: Parser Expr
   expr = chain term '+' Add
   term = chain factor '*' Mul
```

Factor?

factor :: Parser Expr

factor = num

Parentheses

- So far no parentheses
- Expressions look like
 - -23
 - -23+5*17
 - -23+5*(17+23*5+3)

a factor can be a parenthesized expression again

Expression Grammar

• expr ::= term "+" ... "+" term

• term ::= factor "*" ... "*" factor

factor ::= number| "(" expr ")"

Factor

```
factor :: Parser Expr
factor ('(':s) =

case expr s of

Just (a, ')':s1) -> Just (a, s1)

-> Nothing
factor s = num s
```

Reading an Expr

```
Main> readExpr "23"

Just (Num 23)

Main> readExpr "apa"

Nothing

Main> readExpr "23+17"

Just (Add (Num 23) (Num 17))
```

```
readExpr :: String -> Maybe Expr
readExpr s = case expr s of

Just (a,"") -> Just a

-> Nothing
```

Summary

- Parsing becomes easier when
 - Failing results are explicit
 - A parser also produces the *rest* of the string
- Case expressions
 - To look at an intermediate result
- Higher-order functions
 - Avoid copy-and-paste programming

The Code (1)

```
readExpr :: String -> Maybe Expr
readExpr s = case expr s of
                Just (a,"") -> Just a
                          -> Nothing
expr, term :: Parser Expr
expr = chain term '+' Add
term = chain factor '*' Mul
factor :: Parser Expr
factor ('(':s) =
  case expr s of
   Just (a, ')':s1) -> Just (a, s1)
                 -> Nothing
factor s = num s
```

The Code (2)

```
chain :: Parser a \rightarrow Char \rightarrow (a-\rightarrowa) \rightarrow Parser a
chain p op f s1 =
  case p s1 of
    Just (a,s2) -> case s2 of
                      c:s3 \mid c == op -> case chain p op f s3 of
                                            Just (b,s4) -> Just (f a b, s4)
                                            Nothing -> Just (a,s2)
                                      -> Just (a,s2)
    Nothing -> Nothing
number :: Parser Int
number (c:s) | isDigit c = Just (digits 0 (c:s))
number
                          = Nothing
digits :: Int -> String -> (Int,String)
```

digits n (c:s) | isDigit c = digits (10*n + digitToInt c) s

=(n,s)

digits n s

Testing readExpr

```
prop_ShowRead :: Expr -> Bool
prop_ShowRead a =
readExpr (show a) == Just a
```

```
Main> quickCheck prop_ShowRead Falsifiable, after 3 tests: -2*7+3
```

negative numbers?

Fixing the Number Parser

```
number :: Parser Int
number (c:s) | isDigit c = Just (digits 0 (c:s))
number ('-':s) = fmap neg (number s)
number _ = Nothing
```

```
fmap :: (a -> b) -> Maybe a -> Maybe b
fmap f (Just x) = Just (f x)
fmap f Nothing = Nothing
```

```
neg :: (Int,String) -> (Int,String)
neg (x,s) = (-x,s)
```


Testing again

Main> quickCheck prop_ShowRead Falsifiable, after 5 tests:

2+5+3


Testing again

Main> quickCheck prop_ShowRead Falsifiable, after 5 tests: 2+5+3



Testing again

Main> quickCheck prop_ShowRead Falsifiable, after 5 tests: 2+5+3



Fixing the Property (1)

The result does not have to be *exactly* the same, as long as the *value* does not change.

```
prop_ShowReadEval :: Expr -> Bool
prop_ShowReadEval a =
    fmap eval (readExpr (show a)) == Just (eval a)
```

Main> quickCheck prop_ShowReadEval OK, passed 100 tests.

Fixing the Property (2)

The result does not have to be *exactly* the same, only after rearranging associative operators

OK, passed 100 tests.

```
prop ShowReadAssoc :: Expr -> Bool
prop ShowReadAssoc a =
                                             non-trivial recursion
  readExpr (show a) == Just (assoc a)
                                             and pattern matching
assoc :: Expr -> Expr
assoc (Add (Add a b) c) = assoc (Add a (Add b c))
assoc (Add a b) = Add (assoc a) (assoc b)
assoc (Mul (Mul a b) c) = assoc (Mul a (Mul b c))
assoc (Mul a b)
                      = Mul (assoc a) (assoc b)
assoc a
                                                (study this definition
                      = a
                                                and what this function
                                                       does)
  Main> quickCheck prop ShowReadAssoc
```

Properties about Parsing

- We have checked that readExpr correctly processes anything produced by showExpr
- Is there any other property we should check?
 - What can still go wrong?
 - How to test this?

Very difficult!

Summary

- Testing a parser:
 - Take any expression,
 - convert to a String (show),
 - convert back to an expression (read),
 - check if they are the same
- Some structural information gets lost
 - associativity!
 - use "eval"
 - use "assoc"