

# Security Evaluation - *Common Criteria*

*Presented by*

Erland Jonsson

Department of Computer Engineering  
CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

## CERTIFICATION ACCORDING TO A SECURITY STANDARD

- **Evaluation** is assessing whether a product has the *security properties* claimed for it
  - **Certification** is the formal assessment of the result of an *evaluation*.
  - **Accreditation** is deciding that a (certified) product *may be used* in a given application
  - Certification is made wrt to some established standard, such as the CC (“Common Criteria”).
  - The goal of the certification:
    - assess the trust of the system’s correctness.  
(How secure is it?)
    - assess the quality of the evaluation.  
(How do we know?)
- Document it!!

## EVALUATION STANDARDS

Earlier evaluation criteria:

- **TCSEC** (Trusted Computer Security Evaluation Criteria)
- **ITSEC** (Information Technology Security Evaluation Criteria)
- **FC** (Federal Criteria)
- Canadian, Japanese, etc

Evaluation criteria on the module level:

- In some cases we need to evaluate a specific security module. The **FIPS 140-2** is an **evaluation standard for cryptographic modules**.
- It provides four increasing, qualitative security levels.

## COMMON CRITERIA

- The **Common Criteria<sup>1</sup> (CC)** is aimed to be common to all countries. It defines a **security evaluation methodology**.
- It became the “official” evaluation standard in the USA in 1998. (TCSEC was discontinued in 2000.)
- The CC permits **comparability** between the results of independent security evaluations
- It provides a common **set of requirements** for the **security functionality** of IT products and for **assurance measures** applied to these products during a security evaluation.
- Observe that “the fact that an IT product has been evaluated has meaning **only in the context of the security properties that were evaluated.**”

---

1. Common Criteria for Information Technology Security Evaluation

## COMMON CRITERIA

### Central terms:

- **Target of Evaluation (TOE):**  
An IT product or system and its associated administrator and user guidance documentation that is the subject of an evaluation.
- **Protection Profile (PP):**  
An implementation-independent set of security requirements for a category of TOEs
- **Security Target (ST):**  
A set of security requirements and specifications to be used as the basis for evaluation of an identified TOE.
- **Evaluation Assurance Level (EAL):**  
A package consisting of assurance components that represent a point in the predefined assurance scale

## COMMON CRITERIA

### Central terms (cont'd):

- **Security Functional Requirements (SFR):**  
The translations of the security objectives for the TOE
- **Security Assurance Requirement (SAR):**  
A description of how assurance is to be gained that the TOE meets the SFR. (**Assurance** = ground for confidence that a TOE meets the SFRs.)
- **package:**  
A named set of either functional or assurance requirements
- **TOE Security Function (TSF):**  
A set consisting of all hardware, software and firmware of the TOE that must be relied upon for the correct enforcement of the SFR. (cp Trusted Computing Base - TCB)

The Common Criteria comes in three (plus 1) parts:

- 1. Introduction and general model** (79 pages)
  - general concepts, principles and evaluation model
- 2. Security functional requirements** (127 pages)
  - describe the desired security behaviour expected of a Target of Evaluation (TOE) in order to meet the security objectives as stated in a Protection Profile (PP) or a Security Target (ST)
- 3. Security assurance requirements** (242 pages):
  - defines a scale for measuring assurance - Evaluation Assurance Levels (EALs)
  - defines criteria for evaluation of Protection Profiles (PPs) and Security Targets (STs)

There is also a companion document to the Common Criteria:

**4. Common Methodology** for Information Technology Security Evaluation (**CEM**) (466 pages):

- describes the minimum actions to be performed by an evaluator in order to conduct a CC evaluation.

CC URL: <http://www.commoncriteriaportal.org/>



There are three types of evaluation:

### **1. PP evaluation**

- is carried out against evaluation criteria for PPs
- is to demonstrate that the PP is suitable as a statement of requirements for an evaluatable TOE

### **2. ST evaluation**

- is to demonstrate that the ST properly meets the requirements of the PP  
(But an ST does not have to be based on anything.)

### **3. TOE evaluation**

- is to demonstrate that the TOE meets the requirements contained in the ST

## COMMON CRITERIA

The CC defines three types of **requirements constructs**:

- **package, Protection Profile and Security Target**
- a **component**
  - describes a **specific set of security requirements**
  - is the smallest selectable set of security requirements
- a **package**
  - an intermediate **combination of components** is termed a package.
  - gives a set of functional or assurance requirements that **meet a subset of security objectives**
  - EALs are **predefined assurance packages**

## COMMON CRITERIA

There are seven predefined levels of assurance (EAL levels):

**EAL1.** Functionally tested

**EAL2.** Structurally tested

**EAL3.** Methodically tested and checked

**EAL4.** Methodically designed, tested and reviewed

**EAL5.** Semiformally designed and tested

**EAL6.** Semiformally verified design and tested

**EAL7.** Formally verified design and tested

An evaluation may also be carried out against a **user-defined level** of assurance