#### **Security Policies**

# **Security Definitions**

Below are give some (relatively) formal definitions<sup>1</sup>:

- a *security policy* is a statement that partitions the states of a system into a set of *authorized*, or secure, states and a set of *unauthorized*, or non-secure, states.
- a *secure system* is a system that starts in an authorized state and cannot enter an unauthorized state.
- a breach of security occurs when a system enters an unauthorized state.
- a *security mechanism* is an entity or procedure that enforces some part of the security policy.
- a *security model* is a model that represents a particular policy or set of policies.

# The Military Security Policy (1)

- the **Military Security Policy** is based on protecting classified information with respect to *confidentiality*.
- each piece of information is *rank*ed at a particular *sensitivity* level:
  - unclassified
  - restricted
  - confidential
  - secret
  - top secret
- each piece of information may be associated with one (or more) projects, called *compartments*.
- The combination <rank; compartments> is called the classification or class of a piece of information.

# The Military Security Policy (2)

- a person has a clearance to access information up to a certain level of sensitivity.
- The clearance of a person has the same form as the classification of a piece of information: <rank; compartments>
- the need-to-know rule (principle of least privilege) means that individuals shall only have access to those data that they need in order to perform their jobs.
- the use of compartments helps to enforce the need-toknow rule.
- the user may *not* alter classifications, i.e. the policy requires Mandatory Access Control (MAC).



**Note**: Here an object has a single rank, but may belong to several compartments. Plans for Swedish jet-propelled snowshoes = <Confidential; {Snowshoe,Sweden}>



# **Commercial Security Policies (1)**

- commercial security policies generally have a broader scope than the military security policy.
- they may address issues such as industrial espionage, conflicts of interest and rules for how activities must be performed within a company. Also they *extend* the scope to *integrity* and *availability*.
- they are normally less formal. There is no formalized notion of clearance and consequently are the rules for allowing access less regularized.
- the degrees of sensitivity are normally (but variants exists):
  - public
  - proprietary
  - internal

# **Commercial Security Policies (2)**

- the Clark-Wilson security policy:
  - proposes a policy for *well-formed transactions*, which gives rules for the logistic process within the company, in terms of which steps must be performed by which person with a specified authority and in which order. Thus it addresses the *integrity aspect*.
- the Clark-Wilson security policy is defined in terms of access triples:

#### <UserID; TP; {CDI<sub>i</sub>,CDI<sub>k</sub>, ....}>,

which stands for

- User IDentification,
- Transformation Procedure and
- Constrained Data Items resp.



#### **Clark-Wilson security policy**



# **Commercial Security Policies (3)**

- Lee, Nash and Poland suggested an addition to the Clark-Wilson policy that involves separation of duty. The aim is to prevent abuse that can arise when the same person performs too many related actions in a company.
- the Chinese Wall policy [by Brewer and Nash] enforces rules that prevents flow of information between companies that may have conflicting interests, e.g. competing.
  - the policy is defined in terms of three primitives:
    - objects,
    - company groups, and
    - conflict classes.
  - and the same employee may not access information from different companies in the same conflict class. Thus it *addresses confidentiality*.

### Chinese Wall Policy Example



### Bell-La Padula Security Model Overview

- The BLP is a formalization of the Military Security Model (described a mathematical notation).
- The BLP model is a formal description of the allowable paths of information flow in a secure system.
- The BLP defines security requirements for systems that concurrently handles data at different sensitivity levels.
- The BLP addresses confidentiality.

### Bell-La Padula Security Model Formalism

- System is described as a set of subjects S and objects O
  - For each  $o \in O$ , there is a security class L(O) [classification]

– For each s∈S, there is a security class L(s) [clearance]

## Bell-La Padula Security Model Properties

• Simple Security Property:

A subject s may have read access to an object o only if  $L(o) \le L(s)$ .

#### • \*-Property:

A subject *s* who has *read* access to an object *o* may have *write* access to an object *p* only if  $L(o) \leq L(p)$ .

## Bell-La Padula Security Model Extension with categories

Adding the *need-to-know* property with categories (projects).

Each subject s have a security clearance, I<sub>s</sub>, and the need-to-know to access a number of categories, c<sub>s</sub>. CMP: Eve: <TS,{snowshoes, sweden}>

#### **Definition:**

The security level (L,C) dominates the security level (L',C') if and only if L' $\leq$ L and C' $\subseteq$ C.

## Bell-La Padula Security Model Properties revisited

• Simple Security Property:

A subject *s* may have *read* access to an object *o* only if *s dominates o*.

#### • \*-Property:

A subject *s* who has *read* access to an object *o* may have *write* access to an object *p* only if *p dominates o*.

# Bell- La Padula pros and cons

#### • Advantages:

A subject may not downgrade information

#### • Problems:

- "High" users can never talk to "low" users
- Addresses only confidentiality
- Anyone can create an object with a higher classification
- "Float-up" (i.e. down-grade needed)
- Does not address access control
- Does not address covert channels

#### • Principle of tranquility:

Subjects and objects may not change their security level once they are instantiated