

# Finite Automata and Formal Languages

TMV026/DIT321– LP4 2012

Lecture 9

Ana Bove

April 17th 2012

## Overview of today's lecture:

- Closure Properties for Regular Languages
- Decision Properties for Regular Languages

## More Closure Properties for Regular Languages

We shall now see that RL are also closed under the following operations:

- Reversal  
Recall that intuitively,  $\text{rev}(a_1 \dots a_n) = a_n \dots a_1$  (slide 13, lecture 3)  
and that  $\forall x, \text{rev}(\text{rev}(x)) = x$  (slide 14, lecture 3)  
Given  $\mathcal{L}$ , let  $\mathcal{L}^r = \{\text{rev}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{L}\}$ ;
- Homomorphism (substitution of string by symbols);
- Inverse homomorphism.

## Closure under Reversal

We define the following function over RE:

$$\begin{aligned}\emptyset^r &= \emptyset & \epsilon^r &= \epsilon & a^r &= a \\ (R_1 + R_2)^r &= R_1^r + R_2^r \\ (R_1 R_2)^r &= R_2^r R_1^r \\ (R^*)^r &= (R^r)^*\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem:** *If  $\mathcal{L}$  is regular so is  $\mathcal{L}^r$ .*

**Proof:** (See theo. 4.11, pages 139–140). Let  $R$  be a RE such that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(R)$ .

We need to prove by structural induction on  $R$  that  $\mathcal{L}(R^r) = (\mathcal{L}(R))^r$ . Hence  $\mathcal{L}^r = (\mathcal{L}(R))^r = \mathcal{L}(R^r)$  and  $\mathcal{L}^r$  is regular.

**Example:** The reverse of the language defined by  $(0 + 1)^*0$  can be defined by  $0(0 + 1)^*$ .

## Closure under Reversal

Another way to prove this result is by constructing a  $\epsilon$ -NFA for  $\mathcal{L}^r$ .

**Proof:** Let  $N = (Q, \Sigma, \delta_N, q_0, F)$  be a NFA such that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(N)$ . Define  $E = (Q \cup \{q\}, \Sigma, \delta_E, q, \{q_0\})$  with  $q \notin Q$  and  $\delta_E$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}r \in \delta_E(s, a) &\text{ iff } s \in \delta_N(r, a) \text{ for } r, s \in Q \\ r \in \delta_E(q, \epsilon) &\text{ iff } r \in F\end{aligned}$$

## Recall: Functions between Languages

(from slide 21, lecture 3)

**Definition:** A function  $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  between 2 languages should be such that it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}f(\epsilon) &= \epsilon \\f(xy) &= f(x)f(y)\end{aligned}$$

Intuitively,  $f(a_1 \dots a_n) = f(a_1) \dots f(a_n)$ .  
Notice that  $f(a) \in \Delta^*$  if  $a \in \Sigma$ .

**Definition:**  $f$  is called *coding* iff  $f$  is *injective*.

**Definition:**  $f(\mathcal{L}) = \{f(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .

## Languages are Monoids

**Definition:** A *monoid* is an algebraic structure with an associative binary operation and an identity element.

Let  $\Sigma$  be an alphabet.

Then  $\Sigma^*$  is a monoid if we consider the concatenation as binary operation and  $\epsilon$  as the identity element with respect to the binary operation.

### Recall:

- Concatenation is associative:  $(xy)z = x(yz)$
- $x\epsilon = \epsilon x = x$
- Concatenation is in general not commutative (but this is not required in the definition of a monoid)

## Homomorphisms

**Definition:** A *homomorphism* is a structure-preserving map between 2 algebraic structures.

**Note:** A function  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  satisfying

$$\begin{aligned}h(\epsilon) &= \epsilon \\h(xy) &= h(x)h(y)\end{aligned}$$

can be seen as a homomorphism between the monoids (languages)  $\Sigma^*$  and  $\Delta^*$ .

Recall we have then that  $h(a_1 \dots a_n) = h(a_1) \dots h(a_n)$ .

## Closure under Homomorphisms

**Theorem:** If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a RL over  $\Sigma$  and  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  is an homomorphism on  $\Sigma$  then  $h(\mathcal{L})$  is also regular.

**Proof:** We define the following function over RE:

$$\begin{aligned}f_h(\emptyset) &= \emptyset & f_h(\epsilon) &= \epsilon & f_h(a) &= h(a) \\f_h(R_1 + R_2) &= f_h(R_1) + f_h(R_2) \\f_h(R_1 R_2) &= f_h(R_1) f_h(R_2) \\f_h(R^*) &= (f_h(R))^*\end{aligned}$$

We need to prove by structural induction on  $R$  that  $\mathcal{L}(f_h(R)) = h(\mathcal{L}(R))$ .

Now, if  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(R)$  then we have that  $h(\mathcal{L})$  is regular since

$h(\mathcal{L}) = h(\mathcal{L}(R)) = \mathcal{L}(f_h(R))$ .

(See Theorem 4.14, pages 141–142.)

## Closure under Homomorphisms

Let  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  be a homomorphism and  $\mathcal{L}$  a RL over  $\Sigma$ .

By the previous theorem and the definition of RL, we know that there exists a DFA  $D$  over  $\Sigma$  and a DFA  $F$  over  $\Delta$  such that

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(D) \quad \text{and} \quad h(\mathcal{L}) = \mathcal{L}(F)$$

$F$  can be constructed from the RE for  $\mathcal{L}$  (via an  $\epsilon$ -NFA).

Often not obvious how to construct the DFA directly.

## Inverse Homomorphisms

**Definition:** If  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  is a homomorphism and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a language over  $\Delta$ ,  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$  (read  *$h$  inverse of  $\mathcal{L}$* ) is the set of strings  $w$  such that  $h(w) \in \mathcal{L}$ .

In other words,  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid h(w) \in \mathcal{L}\}$ .

**Note:**  $h^{-1}$  does not necessarily correspond to a function!

**Example:** Imagine we have that  $h(a) = c$ ,  $h(b) = c$  and  $\mathcal{L} = \{c\}$ . Then  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{L}) = \{a, b\}$  but  $h^{-1}$  itself is not a function.

## Closure under Inverse Homomorphisms

**Theorem:** Let  $h : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Delta^*$  be a homomorphism. If  $\mathcal{L}$  is a RL over  $\Delta$  then  $h^{-1}(\mathcal{L})$  is a RL over  $\Sigma$ .

**Proof:** Let  $D = (Q, \Delta, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a DFA such that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(D)$ . We define the DFA  $D' = (Q, \Sigma, \delta', q_0, F)$  over  $\Sigma$  such that

$$\delta'(q, a) = \hat{\delta}(q, h(a))$$

By induction on  $|w|$  we prove that  $\hat{\delta}'(q, w) = \hat{\delta}(q, h(w))$   
(Recall that  $\hat{\delta}(q, xy) = \hat{\delta}(\hat{\delta}(q, x), y)$ .)

Then  $D'$  accepts  $w$  iff  $D$  accepts  $h(w)$  (since the set of accepting states is the same in both DFA).

**Note:** Since  $h^{-1}$  might not be a function it seems difficult to directly define the RE that corresponds to the  $h$  inverse of  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## Example: $\mathcal{L}'$ from Slide 14 Lecture 8

**Example:** We know  $\mathcal{L} = \{b^m c^m \mid m \geq 0\}$  is not regular. Let us consider  $\mathcal{L}' = a^+ \mathcal{L} \cup (b + c)^*$ .

We will prove that  $\mathcal{L}'$  is not regular. Let us assume it is.

Then  $a^+ \mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}' \cap \overline{(b + c)^*}$  must be regular.

Then,  $\mathcal{L} = h(a^+ \mathcal{L})$  must also be regular, where  $h$  is the following homomorphism:  $h(a) = \epsilon$ ,  $h(b) = b$ ,  $h(c) = c$ .

We arrive at a contradiction, hence  $\mathcal{L}'$  cannot be regular.

## Decision Properties of Regular Languages

We want to be able to answer YES/NO to questions such as

- Is this language empty?
- Is string  $w$  in the language  $\mathcal{L}$ ?
- Are these 2 languages equivalent?

In general languages are infinite so we cannot do a “manual” checking.

Instead we should work with the finite description of the languages (DFA, NFA,  $\epsilon$ -NFA, RE).

Which description is the most convenient depends on the property and on the language.

## Testing Emptiness of Regular Languages

Given a FA for a language, testing whether the language is empty or not amounts to checking if there is a path from the start state to a final state.

Let  $D = (Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_0, F)$  be a DFA.

Recall the notion of accessible states from slide 22 in lecture 4:

**Definition:** The set  $\text{Acc} = \{\hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \mid x \in \Sigma^*\}$  is the set of *accessible* states (from the state  $q_0$ ).

**Proposition:** Given  $D$  as above, then  $D' = (Q \cap \text{Acc}, \Sigma, \delta', q_0, F \cap \text{Acc})$ , where  $\delta'$  is the function  $\delta$  restricted to the states in  $Q \cap \text{Acc}$ , is a DFA such that  $\mathcal{L}(D) = \mathcal{L}(D')$ .

In particular,  $\mathcal{L}(D) = \emptyset$  if  $F \cap \text{Acc} = \emptyset$ .

(Actually,  $\mathcal{L}(D) = \emptyset$  iff  $F \cap \text{Acc} = \emptyset$  since if  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \in F$  then  $\hat{\delta}(q_0, x) \in F \cap \text{Acc}$ .)

## Testing Emptiness of Regular Languages

A recursive algorithm to test whether a state is accessible/reachable is as follows:

**Base case:** The start state  $q_0$  is reachable from  $q_0$ .

**Recursive step:** If  $q$  is reachable from  $q_0$  and there is an arc from  $q$  to  $p$  (with any label, including  $\epsilon$ ) then  $p$  is also reachable from  $q_0$ .

(This algorithm is an instance of *graph-reachability*.)

If the set of reachable states contains at least one final state then the RL is NOT empty.

## Functional Representation of Testing Emptiness for FA

```
import List(union)

data Q = ... deriving Eq

data S = ...

final :: Q -> Bool

delta :: Q -> S -> Q

isIn :: [Q] -> Q -> Bool
isIn = flip elem

isSuperSet :: [Q] -> [Q] -> Bool
isSuperSet as bs = and (map (isIn as) bs)
```



## Functional Representation of Testing Emptiness for FA

The first argument in the functions below is a list with *all* symbols in the S.

```
closure :: [S] -> (Q -> S -> Q) -> [Q] -> [Q]
closure cs delta qs =
  let qs' = qs >>= (\q -> map (delta q) cs)
  in if isSuperSet qs qs' then qs
     else closure cs delta (union qs qs')
```

```
accessible :: [S] -> (Q -> S -> Q) -> Q -> [Q]
accessible cs delta q = closure cs delta [q]
```

```
notEmpty :: [S] -> (Q -> S -> Q) -> Q -> Bool
notEmpty cs delta q0 =
  or (map final (accessible cs delta q0))
```

## Functional Representation of Testing Emptiness for FA

The closure function can be optimised by not computing the closure of the same state twice.

```
closure :: [S] -> (Q -> S -> Q) -> [Q] -> [Q]
closure cs delta qs = clos [] qs
  where
    clos :: [Q] -> [Q] -> [Q]
    clos qs1 qs2 =
      if qs2 == [] then qs1
      else let qs = union qs1 qs2
            qs' = qs2 >>= (\q -> map (delta q) cs)
            qs'' = filter (\q -> not (isIn qs q)) qs'
            in clos qs qs''
```

## Testing Emptiness of Regular Languages (Again)

Given a RE for the language we can instead perform the following test:

**Base cases:**  $\emptyset$  denotes the empty language while  $\epsilon$  and  $a$  (any symbol from the alphabet) do not.

**Inductive step:** Let  $R$  be our RE.

- If  $R = R_1 + R_2$  then  $\mathcal{L}(R)$  is empty iff both  $\mathcal{L}(R_1)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(R_2)$  are empty;
- If  $R = R_1 R_2$  then  $\mathcal{L}(R)$  is empty iff either  $\mathcal{L}(R_1)$  or  $\mathcal{L}(R_2)$  is empty;
- If  $R = R_1^*$  is never empty since it always contains the word  $\epsilon$ .

## Functional Representation of Testing Emptiness for RE

```
data RExp a = Empty | Epsilon | Atom a |
            Plus (RExp a) (RExp a) |
            Concat (RExp a) (RExp a) |
            Star (RExp a)
```

```
isEmpty :: RExp a -> Bool
isEmpty Empty = True
isEmpty (Plus e1 e2) = isEmpty e1 && isEmpty e2
isEmpty (Concat e1 e2) = isEmpty e1 || isEmpty e2
isEmpty _ = False
```

## Testing Membership in Regular Languages

Given a RL  $\mathcal{L}$  and a word  $w$  over the alphabet of  $\mathcal{L}$ , is  $w \in \mathcal{L}$  ?

When  $\mathcal{L}$  is given by a FA we can simply run the FA with the input  $w$  and see if the word is accepted by the FA.

We have seen algorithms that simulate the running of a FA (see slides 10–11 in lecture 4 for DFA, slides 10–12 in lecture 5 for NFA, and slides 15, 18–19 in lecture 6 for  $\epsilon$ -NFA).

Using *derivatives* (see exercises 4.2.3 and 4.2.5) there is a nice algorithm checking membership on RE.

Let  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(R)$  and  $w = a_1 \dots a_n$ .

Let  $a \setminus R = D_a R = \{x \mid ax \in \mathcal{L}\}$  (in the book  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{da}$ ).

$D_w R = D_{a_n}(\dots(D_{a_1} R)\dots)$ .

It can then be shown that  $w \in \mathcal{L}$  iff  $\epsilon \in D_w R$ .

## Other Testing Algorithms on Regular Expressions

Tests if a RE contains  $\epsilon$ .

```
hasEpsilon :: RExp a -> Bool
hasEpsilon Epsilon = True
hasEpsilon (Star _) = True
hasEpsilon (Plus e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 || hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon (Concat e1 e2) = hasEpsilon e1 && hasEpsilon e2
hasEpsilon _ = False
```

## Other Testing Algorithms on Regular Expressions

Tests if  $\mathcal{L}(R) \subseteq \{\epsilon\}$ .

```
atMostEps :: RExp a -> Bool
atMostEps Empty = True
atMostEps Epsilon = True
atMostEps (Atom _) = False
atMostEps (Plus e1 e2) = atMostEps e1 && atMostEps e2
atMostEps (Concat e1 e2) = isEmpty e1 || isEmpty e2 ||
                           (atMostEps e1 && atMostEps e2)
atMostEps (Star e) = atMostEps e
```

## Other Testing Algorithms on Regular Expressions

Tests if a regular expression denotes an infinite language.

```
infinite :: RExp a -> Bool
infinite (Star e) = not (atMostEps e)
infinite (Plus e1 e2) = infinite e1 || infinite e2
infinite (Concat e1 e2) = (infinite e1 && notIsEmpty e2) ||
                           (notIsEmpty e1 && infinite e2)
  where notIsEmpty e = not (isEmpty e)
infinite _ = False
```