# Software Engineering using Formal Methods Proof Obligations

Wolfgang Ahrendt

15 October 2013

SEFM: Proof Obligations

### **This Part**

#### making the connection between

JML

 $\mathsf{and}$ 

Dynamic Logic / KeY

- generating,
- understanding,
- and proving

DL proof obligations from JML specifications

we follow 'KeY Quicktour for JML' (cited below as [KQJ])

paper + sources: see 'KeY Quicktour' on course page, under 'Links, Papers, and Software'

scenario: simple PayCard

# Inspecting JML Specification

inspect quicktour/jml/paycard/PayCard.java

follow [KQJ, 2.2]

## New JML Feature I: Nested Specification Cases

```
method charge() has nested specification case: X
@ public normal behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
0 {
0
    requires amount + balance < limit && isValid()==true;
    ensures \result == true:
0
    ensures balance == amount + \old(balance);
0
0
    assignable balance;
0
0
    also
0
0
    requires amount + balance >= limit;
0
    ensures \result == false;
0
    ensures unsuccessfulOperations
0
            == \old(unsuccessfulOperations) + 1;
0
    assignable unsuccessfulOperations;
```

SEFM: Proof Obligations

nested specification cases allow to factor out common preconditions

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires R;
0 {
0
    requires R1;
0
    ensures E1;
0
    assignable A1;
0
0
    also
0
    requires R2;
0
0
    ensures E2;
0
    assignable A2;
0
  1}
expands to ... (next page)
```

```
(previous page) ... expands to
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires R;
@ requires R1;
@ ensures E1:
@ assignable A1;
0
 also
0
0
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires R;
@ requires R2;
@ ensures E2;
@ assignable A2;
```

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
0 {
0
    requires amount + balance < limit && isValid()==true;
0
    ensures \result == true;
0
    ensures balance == amount + \old(balance);
0
    assignable balance;
0
0
    also
0
0
    requires amount + balance >= limit;
0
    ensures \result == false;
0
    ensures unsuccessfulOperations
             == \old(unsuccessfulOperations) + 1;
0
0
    assignable unsuccessfulOperations;
0
  1}
expands to ... (next page)
SEFM: Proof Obligations
                              CHALMERS/GU
                                                        131015
                                                              8 / 34
```

```
(previous page) ... expands to
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
@ requires amount + balance < limit && isValid()==true;</pre>
@ ensures \result == true;
@ ensures balance == amount + \old(balance);
@ assignable balance;
0
@ also
0
@ public normal behavior
@ requires amount > 0;
@ requires amount + balance >= limit;
@ ensures \result == false;
@ ensures unsuccessfulOperations
0
          == \old(unsuccessfulOperations) + 1;
  assignable unsuccessfulOperations;
SEFM: Proof Obligations
                              CHALMERS/GU
                                                        131015
```

### Recall: pure vs. assignable \nothing

method charge() has exceptional behavior case:

@ public exceptional\_behavior

```
@ requires amount <= 0;</pre>
```

@ assignable \nothing;

#### **assignable** \nothing prohibits side effects

difference to **pure**:

- ▶ pure is method-global, also prohibits non-termination & exceptions
- assignable clause is local to specification case
- pure not usable in this particular context

# Generating Proof Obligations (POs)

generate EnsuresPost PO for normal behavior of charge()

follow [KQJ, 3.1+3.2]

summary:

- start KeY prover
- in quicktour/jml, open paycard
- select paycard > PayCard > charge and EnsuresPost
- inspect Assumed Invariants assuming less invariants:
  - is fully sound
  - can compromise provability

sometimes invariants of other classes also needed (select class+inv.)

select contract which modifies balance

(in JML: modifies synonymous for assignable)

Current Goal pane displays proof obligation as DL sequent

## **Generating Proof Obligations**

for loading more proof obligations: re-open **Proof Obligation Browser** under **Tools** menu (or **Ctrl-B**)

generate EnsuresPost PO for normal behavior of isValid()

generate **EnsuresPost** PO for exceptional behavior of charge()

generate **PreservesOwnInv** PO for charge()

expressing that charge() preserves all invariants (of its own class)

follow [KQJ, 4.3.1+4.3.2]

# Translating JML to POs in DL

in the following:

principles of translating JML to proof obligations in DL

- issues in translating arithmetic expressions
- translating this
- identifying the method's implementation
- translating boolean JML expressions to first-order logic formulas
- translating preconditions
- translating class invariants
- translating postconditions
- storing \old fields prior to method invocation
- storing actual parameters prior to method invocation
- expressing that 'exceptions are (not) thrown'
- putting everything together

# Translating JML to POs in DL

### WARNING:

following presentation is

- incomplete
- not fully precise
- simplifying
- omitting details/complications
- deviating from exact implementation in KeY

aim of the following:

enable you to read/understand proof obligations

(notational remark: stick to ASCII syntax of KeY logic in this lecture)

### **Issues on Translating Arithmetic Expressions**

often:

- KeY replaces arithmetic JAVA operators by generalized operators, generic towards various integer semantics (JAVA, Math).
   example: "+" becomes "javaAddInt"
- KeY inserts casts like (jint), needed for type hierarchy among primitive types. example: "0" becomes "(jint)(0)"

(no need to memorize this)

# Translating this

both

- explicit
- implicit

this reference translated to self

```
e.g., given class
public class MyClass {
    ...
    private int f;
    ...
}
```

- f translated to self.f
- this.f translated to self.f

# Identifying the Method's Implementation

JAVA's dynamic dispatch selects a method's implementation at runtime

for a method call m(args),

KeY models selection of implementation from package.Class by m(*args*)@package.Class

example:

#### charge(x)@paycard.PayCard

executes class paycard.PayCard's implementation of method call charge(x)

## Translating Boolean JML Expressions

first-order logic treated fundamentally different in JML and KeY logic

JML

- formulas no separate syntactic category
- instead:

JAVA's **boolean** expressions extended with first-order concepts (i.p. quantifiers)

KeY logic

- formulas and expressions completely separate
- truth constants true, false are formulas,
   boolean constants TRUE, FALSE are expressions
- atomic formulas take expressions as arguments; e.g.:

▶ x - y < 5

 $\blacktriangleright$  b = TRUE

## ${\mathcal F}$ Translates <code>boolean JML</code> Expressions to Formulas

v/f/m() boolean variables/fields/pure methods b\_0, b\_1 boolean JML expressions e\_0, e\_1 JAVA expressions

 $\mathcal{T}$  may add 'self.' or '@ClassName' (see pp. 16, 17)  $\mathcal{E}$  may add casts, transform operators (see p. 15)

SEFM: Proof Obligations

CHALMERS/GU

### ${\mathcal F}$ Translates boolean JML Expressions to Formulas

$$\mathcal{F}((\langle \mathbf{forall } T x; e_{-}0)) = \langle \mathbf{forall } T x; \\ !x = \mathbf{null} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(e_{-}0)$$

$$\mathcal{F}((\langle \mathbf{exists } T x; e_{-}0; e_{-}1)) = \langle \mathbf{exists } T x; \\ !x = \mathbf{null} \& \mathcal{F}(e_{-}0)$$

$$\mathcal{F}((\langle \mathbf{exists } T x; e_{-}0; e_{-}1)) = \langle \mathbf{exists } T x; \\ !x = \mathbf{null} \& \mathcal{F}(e_{-}0)$$

$$\mathcal{F}(e_{-}1)$$

$$\mathcal{F}((\langle \mathbf{exists } T x; e_{-}0; e_{-}1)) = \langle \mathbf{exists } T x; \\ !x = \mathbf{null} \& \mathcal{F}(e_{-}0) \& \mathcal{F}(e_{-}1)$$

## **Translating Preconditions**

if selected contract Contr has preconditions

```
@ requires b_1;
@ ...
@ requires b_n;
```

they are translated to

$$\mathcal{PRE}(Contr) = \\ \mathcal{F}(b_1) \& \dots \& \mathcal{F}(b_n)$$

### **Translating Class Invariants**

```
the invariant
class C {
  . . .
  //@ invariant inv_i;
  . . .
}
is translated to
                            \mathcal{INV}(inv_i)
forall C o: ((o.<created> = TRUE & !o = null) ->
                                                {self:=o}F(inv_i))
```

# **Translating Postconditions**

```
if selected contract Contr has postconditions
@ ensures b_1;
@ ...
@ ensures b_n;
they are translated to
```

special treatment of expressions in post-condition: see next slide

## **Translating Expressions in Postconditions**

below, we assume the following assignable clause

```
@ assignable <assignable_fields>;
```

translating expressions in postconditions (interesting cases only):

$$\mathcal{E}(\texttt{\result}) = ext{result}$$

$$\mathcal{E}(\texttt{old}(e)) = \mathcal{E}_{old}(e)$$

 $\mathcal{E}_{\textit{old}}$  defined like  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{-}}$  with the exception of:

$\mathcal{E}_{old}(e.f)$	=	$fAtPre(\mathcal{E}_{old}(e))$
$\mathcal{E}_{old}(\mathtt{f})$	=	<pre>fAtPre(self)</pre>
for $f \in \langle ass \rangle$	ignab	le_fields>

'fAtPre' intuitively refers to field 'f' *in the pre-state* But the logic does not know. Must be expressed in formula (next slide).

# Storing Pre-State of a Field

```
given an assignable field f of class C
class C {
    ...
    private T f;
    ...
}
```

translation of postcondition replaces f in **\old**(...) by fAtPre (p. 24) left to do: store pre-state values of f in fAtPre

```
STORE(f)
=
\for C o; fAtPre(o) := o.f
```

note: not a formula, but a quantified update (more proper explanation next lecture) if selected contract Contr has assignable clause:

```
@ assignable f_1, ..., f_n;
```

then pre-state of *all* assignable fields can be stored by *one* parallel update:

STORE(Contr) = { STORE(f\_1) || ... || STORE(f\_n) }

# **Expressing Normal Termination**

```
how can you express in DL:
method call m() will not throw an exception
(if method body from class C in package p is executed)
```

```
<{ exc = null;

try {

    m()@p.C;

} catch (Throwable e) {

    exc = e;

}

}/> exc = null
```

note difference:

- JAVA assignments
- equation, i.e., formula

### **Expressing Exceptional Termination**

```
how can you express in DL:
method call m() will throw an exception
(if method body from class C in package p is executed)
```

```
\<{ exc = null;
   try {
      m()@p.C;
   } catch (Throwable e) {
      exc = e;
   }
}\> !exc = null & <exc has right type>
```

## **PO for Normal Behavior Contract**

PO for a normal behavior contract *Contr* for void method m(), with chosen assumed invariants inv\_1, ..., inv\_n

```
==>
       \mathcal{INV}(inv_1)
     & . . .
    & \mathcal{INV}(inv_n)
     & \mathcal{PRE}(Contr)
 -> STORE(Contr)
       < exc = null;
             try {
               m()@p.C;
             } catch (Throwable e) {
               exc = e;
             }
           \geq exc = null \& \mathcal{POST}(Contr)
```

### PO for Normal Behavior Allowing Non-Termination

```
PO for a normal behavior contract Contr for method m().
where Contr has clause diverges true;
==>
       \mathcal{INV}(inv_1)
    & . . .
    & \mathcal{INV}(inv_n)
    & PRE(Contr)
 \rightarrow STORE(Contr)
       [{ exc = null;}
            try {
              m()@p.C;
            } catch (Throwable e) {
              exc = e;
            }
         \left( = null \& POST(Contr) \right)
```

# PO for Normal Behavior of Non-Void Method

PO for a normal behavior contract *Contr* for non-void method m(), ==>  $\mathcal{INV}(inv_1)$ & . . . & *INV*(inv\_n) & PRE(Contr) -> STORE(Contr)  $\leq$  exc = null; trv { result = m()@p.C; } catch (Throwable e) { exc = e;}  $\rightarrow exc = null \& POST(Contr)$ 

recall:  $\mathcal{POST}(Contr)$  translates \result to result (p. 24)

# **PO for Preserving Invariants**

assume method m() has contracts *Contr*<sub>1</sub>, ..., *Contr<sub>j</sub>* PO stating that:

> Invariants inv\_1, ..., inv\_n are preserved in all cases covered by a contracts.

don't fit on slide: execute quicktour with KeY instead

### Literature for this Lecture

Essential

KeY Quicktour see course page, under 'Links, Papers, and Software'