

Software Engineering using Formal Methods

Java Modeling Language

Wolfgang Ahrendt, Moa Johansson

1 October 2013

Specifications as Contracts

to stress the different roles – obligations – responsibilities in a specification:

widely used analogy of the *specification as a contract*

“Design by Contract” methodology

Specifications as Contracts

to stress the different roles – obligations – responsibilities in a specification:

widely used analogy of the *specification as a contract*

“Design by Contract” methodology

contract between *caller* and *callee* of method

callee guarantees certain outcome provided caller guarantees prerequisites

Running Example: ATM.java

```
public class ATM {  
  
    // fields:  
    private BankCard insertedCard = null;  
    private int wrongPINCounter = 0;  
    private boolean customerAuthenticated = false;  
  
    // methods:  
    public void insertCard (BankCard card) { ... }  
    public void enterPIN (int pin) { ... }  
    public int accountBalance () { ... }  
    public int withdraw (int amount) { ... }  
    public void ejectCard () { ... }  
  
}
```

very informal Specification of 'enterPIN (**int** pin)':

Enter the PIN that belongs to the currently inserted bank card into the ATM. If a wrong PIN is entered three times in a row, the card is confiscated. After having entered the correct PIN, the customer is regarded as authenticated.

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
pin is correct

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
pin is correct

postcondition user is authenticated

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
pin is correct

postcondition user is authenticated

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
`wrongPINCounter < 2` and pin is incorrect

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
pin is correct

postcondition user is authenticated

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
`wrongPINCounter < 2` and pin is incorrect

postcondition `wrongPINCounter` is increased by 1
user is not authenticated

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
pin is correct

postcondition user is authenticated

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
`wrongPINCounter < 2` and pin is incorrect

postcondition `wrongPINCounter` is increased by 1
user is not authenticated

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
`wrongPINCounter >= 2` and pin is incorrect

Getting More Precise: Specification as Contract

Contract states **what is guaranteed** under **which conditions**.

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
pin is correct

postcondition user is authenticated

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
`wrongPINCounter < 2` and pin is incorrect

postcondition `wrongPINCounter` is increased by 1
user is not authenticated

precondition card is inserted, user not yet authenticated,
`wrongPINCounter >= 2` and pin is incorrect

postcondition card is confiscated
user is not authenticated

Meaning of Pre/Post-condition pairs

Definition

A **pre/post-condition** pair for a method m is **satisfied by the implementation** of m if:

*When m is called in any state that satisfies the **precondition** then in any terminating state of m the **postcondition** is true.*

Meaning of Pre/Post-condition pairs

Definition

A **pre/post-condition** pair for a method m is **satisfied by the implementation** of m if:

*When m is called in any state that satisfies the **precondition** then in any terminating state of m the **postcondition** is true.*

1. No guarantees are given when the precondition is not satisfied.
2. Termination may or may not be guaranteed.
3. Terminating state may be reached by normal or by abrupt termination (cf. exceptions).

Meaning of Pre/Post-condition pairs

Definition

A **pre/post-condition** pair for a method m is **satisfied by the implementation** of m if:

*When m is called in any state that satisfies the **precondition** then in any terminating state of m the **postcondition** is true.*

1. No guarantees are given when the precondition is not satisfied.
2. Termination may or may not be guaranteed.
3. Terminating state may be reached by normal or by abrupt termination (cf. exceptions).

non-termination and abrupt termination \Rightarrow next lecture

What kind of Specifications

Natural language specs are very important.

What kind of Specifications

Natural language specs are very important.

but this course's focus:

“formal” specifications:

Describing contracts of units in a mathematically precise language.

What kind of Specifications

Natural language specs are very important.

but this course's focus:

“formal” specifications:

Describing contracts of units in a mathematically precise language.

Motivation:

- ▶ higher degree of precision

What kind of Specifications

Natural language specs are very important.

but this course's focus:

“formal” specifications:

Describing contracts of units in a mathematically precise language.

Motivation:

- ▶ higher degree of precision
- ▶ eventually: *automation* of program analysis of various kinds:
 - ▶ static checking
 - ▶ **program verification**

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General JML Philosophy

Integrate

- ▶ specification
- ▶ implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General JML Philosophy

Integrate

- ▶ specification
- ▶ implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JAVA

JML
is

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General JML Philosophy

Integrate

- ▶ specification
- ▶ implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML
is
JAVA + FO Logic

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General JML Philosophy

Integrate

- ▶ specification
- ▶ implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML

is

JAVA + **FO Logic** + **pre/post-conditions, invariants**

Java Modeling Language (JML)

JML is a **specification language** tailored to **JAVA**.

General JML Philosophy

Integrate

- ▶ specification
- ▶ implementation

in **one single language**.

⇒ JML is not external to JAVA

JML

is

JAVA + **FO Logic** + **pre/post-conditions, invariants** + more. . .

JML annotations are attached to JAVA programs
by
writing them directly into the JAVA source code files

JML annotations are attached to JAVA programs
by
writing them directly into the JAVA source code files

not to confuse JAVA compiler:

JML annotations live in in special comments,
ignored by JAVA, recognized by JML tools.

JML by Example

from the file ATM.java

```
⋮  
/*@ public normal_behavior  
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;  
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;  
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;  
   @*/  
public void enterPIN (int pin) {  
    if ( ...  
  
⋮
```

JML by Example

from the file ATM.java

```
⋮  
/*@ public normal_behavior  
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;  
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;  
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;  
   @*/  
public void enterPIN (int pin) {  
    if ( ...  
⋮
```

Everything between `/*` and `*/` is invisible for JAVA.

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
it is *not* a comment for JML tools.

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
it is *not* a comment for JML tools.

JML annotations appear in JAVA comments starting with @.

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

But:

A JAVA comment with '@' as its first character
it is *not* a comment for JML tools.

JML annotations appear in JAVA comments starting with @.

How about “//” comments?

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated; */
```

equivalent to:

```
//@ public normal_behavior
//@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
//@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
//@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
```

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

What about the intermediate '@'s?

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

What about the intermediate '@'s?

Within a JML annotation, a '@' is ignored:

- ▶ if it is the first (non-white) character in the line
- ▶ if it is the last character before '*/'.

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

What about the intermediate '@'s?

Within a JML annotation, a '@' is ignored:

- ▶ if it is the first (non-white) character in the line
- ▶ if it is the last character before '*/'.

⇒ The blue '@'s are not *required*, but it's a *convention* to use them.

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This is a **public** specification case:

1. it is accessible from all classes and interfaces
2. it can only mention public fields/methods of this class

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This is a **public** specification case:

1. it is accessible from all classes and interfaces
 2. it can only mention public fields/methods of this class
2. Can be a problem. Solution later in the lecture.

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

Each keyword ending with **behavior** opens a 'specification case'.

normal_behavior Specification Case

The method guarantees to *not* throw any exception

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

Each keyword ending with **behavior** opens a 'specification case'.

normal_behavior Specification Case

The method guarantees to *not* throw any exception (on the top level),

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

Each keyword ending with **behavior** opens a 'specification case'.

normal_behavior Specification Case

The method guarantees to *not* throw any exception (on the top level), *if the caller guarantees all preconditions of this specification case.*

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This specification case has two **preconditions** (marked by **requires**)

1. !customerAuthenticated
2. pin == insertedCard.correctPIN

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This specification case has two **preconditions** (marked by **requires**)

1. !customerAuthenticated
2. pin == insertedCard.correctPIN

here:

preconditions are *boolean JAVA expressions*

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This specification case has two **preconditions** (marked by **requires**)

1. !customerAuthenticated
2. pin == insertedCard.correctPIN

here:

preconditions are *boolean JAVA expressions*

in general:

preconditions are *boolean JML expressions* (see below)

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
```

specifies only the case where **both** preconditions are true in pre-state

the above is equivalent to:

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (      !customerAuthenticated
   @             && pin == insertedCard.correctPIN );
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
```

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This specification case has one **postcondition** (marked by **ensures**)

- ▶ `customerAuthenticated`

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This specification case has one **postcondition** (marked by **ensures**)

- ▶ `customerAuthenticated`

here:

postcondition is *boolean JAVA expressions*

JML by Example

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

This specification case has one **postcondition** (marked by **ensures**)

- ▶ `customerAuthenticated`

here:

postcondition is *boolean JAVA expressions*

in general:

postconditions are *boolean JML expressions* (see below)

JML by Example

different specification cases are connected by 'also'.

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ ensures customerAuthenticated;
   @
   @ also
   @
   @ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ requires wrongPINCounter < 2;
   @ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter) + 1;
   @*/

public void enterPIN (int pin) {
    if ( ...
```

JML by Example

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also
   @
   @ public normal_behavior
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ requires wrongPINCounter < 2;
   @ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter) + 1;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

for the first time, JML expression not a JAVA expression

\old(*E*) means: *E* evaluated in the pre-state of enterPIN.

E can be any (arbitrarily complex) (JML) expression.

JML by Example

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also
   @
   @ public normal_behavior
   @ requires insertedCard != null;
   @ requires !customerAuthenticated;
   @ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
   @ requires wrongPINCounter >= 2;
   @ ensures insertedCard == null;
   @ ensures \old(insertedCard).invalid;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

two postconditions state that:

'Given the above preconditions, enterPIN guarantees:

`insertedCard == null` and `\old(insertedCard).invalid`'

Question:

could it be

```
@ ensures \old(insertedCard.invalid);
```

instead of

```
@ ensures \old(insertedCard).invalid;
```

??

Specification Cases Complete?

consider spec-case-1:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
```

what does spec-case-1 *not* tell about post-state?

Specification Cases Complete?

consider spec-case-1:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
```

what does spec-case-1 *not* tell about post-state?

recall: fields of class ATM:

```
insertedCard
customerAuthenticated
wrongPINCounter
```

Specification Cases Complete?

consider spec-case-1:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
```

what does spec-case-1 *not* tell about post-state?

recall: fields of class ATM:

```
insertedCard
customerAuthenticated
wrongPINCounter
```

what happens with `insertCard` and `wrongPINCounter`?

Completing Specification Cases

completing spec-case-1:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
@ ensures insertedCard == \old(insertedCard);
@ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter);
```


Completing Specification Cases

completing spec-case-2:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ requires wrongPINCounter < 2;
@ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter) + 1;
@ ensures insertedCard == \old(insertedCard);
@ ensures customerAuthenticated
@      == \old(customerAuthenticated);
```

Completing Specification Cases

completing spec-case-3:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires insertedCard != null;
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ requires wrongPINCounter >= 2;
@ ensures insertedCard == null;
@ ensures \old(insertedCard).invalid;
@ ensures customerAuthenticated
@      == \old(customerAuthenticated);
@ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter);
```

Assignable Clause

unsatisfactory to add

```
@ ensures loc == \old(loc);
```

for all locations *loc* which *do not* change

Assignable Clause

unsatisfactory to add

```
@ ensures loc == \old(loc);
```

for all locations *loc* which *do not* change

instead:

add **assignable clause** for all locations which *may* change

```
@ assignable loc1, ..., locn;
```

Assignable Clause

unsatisfactory to add

```
@ ensures loc == \old(loc);
```

for all locations *loc* which *do not* change

instead:

add **assignable clause** for all locations which *may* change

```
@ assignable loc1, ..., locn;
```

Meaning: **No location other than loc_1, \dots, loc_n can be assigned to.**

Assignable Clause

unsatisfactory to add

```
@ ensures loc == \old(loc);
```

for all locations *loc* which *do not* change

instead:

add **assignable clause** for all locations which *may* change

```
@ assignable loc1, ..., locn;
```

Meaning: **No location other than loc_1, \dots, loc_n can be assigned to.**

Special cases:

No location may be changed:

```
@ assignable \nothing;
```

Assignable Clause

unsatisfactory to add

```
@ ensures loc == \old(loc);
```

for all locations *loc* which *do not* change

instead:

add **assignable clause** for all locations which *may* change

```
@ assignable loc1, ..., locn;
```

Meaning: **No location other than loc_1, \dots, loc_n can be assigned to.**

Special cases:

No location may be changed:

```
@ assignable \nothing;
```

Unrestricted, method allowed to change anything:

```
@ assignable \everything;
```

Specification Cases with Assignable

completing spec-case-1:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin == insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ ensures customerAuthenticated;
@ assignable customerAuthenticated;
```


Specification Cases with Assignable

completing spec-case-2:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ requires wrongPINCounter < 2;
@ ensures wrongPINCounter == \old(wrongPINCounter) + 1;
@ assignable wrongPINCounter;
```

Specification Cases with Assignable

completing spec-case-3:

```
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires insertedCard != null;
@ requires !customerAuthenticated;
@ requires pin != insertedCard.correctPIN;
@ requires wrongPINCounter >= 2;
@ ensures insertedCard == null;
@ ensures \old(insertedCard).invalid;
@ assignable insertedCard,
@           insertedCard.invalid,
```

Assignable Groups

You can specify groups of locations as assignable, using '*'.

example:

```
@ assignable o.*, a[*] ;
```

makes all fields of object o and all locations of array a assignable.

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by additional modifiers.

The most important ones are:

- ▶ `spec_public`
- ▶ `pure`

Aim: admitting more class elements to be used in JML expressions.

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

in enterPIN example, pre/post-conditions made heavy use of class fields

But: **public** specifications can only talk about **public** fields.

Not desired: make all fields public.

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

in `enterPIN` example, pre/post-conditions made heavy use of class fields

But: `public` specifications can only talk about `public` fields.

Not desired: make all fields public.

one solution:

- ▶ keep the fields `private/protected`
- ▶ make those needed for specification `spec_public`

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

in `enterPIN` example, pre/post-conditions made heavy use of class fields

But: `public` specifications can only talk about `public` fields.

Not desired: make all fields `public`.

one solution:

- ▶ keep the fields `private/protected`
- ▶ make those needed for specification `spec_public`

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ BankCard insertedCard = null;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter = 0;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated
    = false;
```

JML Modifiers: `spec_public`

in `enterPIN` example, pre/post-conditions made heavy use of class fields

But: `public` specifications can only talk about `public` fields.

Not desired: make all fields public.

one solution:

- ▶ keep the fields `private/protected`
- ▶ make those needed for specification `spec_public`

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ BankCard insertedCard = null;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter = 0;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated
    = false;
```

different solution: use specification-only fields (not covered in this course)

JML Modifiers: pure

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

- ▶ `o1.equals(o2)`
- ▶ `li.contains(elem)`
- ▶ `li1.max() < li2.min()`

allowed if, and only if method is guaranteed to have no side effects.

JML Modifiers: pure

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

- ▶ `o1.equals(o2)`
- ▶ `li.contains(elem)`
- ▶ `li1.max() < li2.min()`

allowed if, and only if method is guaranteed to have no side effects.

In JML, you can specify methods to be 'pure':

```
public /*@ pure @*/ int max() { ...
```

'pure' puts obligation on implementer, not to cause side effects,
but allows to use method in annotations

JML Modifiers: pure

It can be handy to use method calls in JML annotations.

Examples:

- ▶ `o1.equals(o2)`
- ▶ `li.contains(elem)`
- ▶ `li1.max() < li2.min()`

allowed if, and only if method is guaranteed to have no side effects.

In JML, you can specify methods to be 'pure':

```
public /*@ pure @*/ int max() { ...
```

'pure' puts obligation on implementer, not to cause side effects, but allows to use method in annotations

'pure' similar to 'assignable \nothing;', but global to method

JML Expressions \neq JAVA Expressions

boolean JML Expressions (to be completed)

- ▶ each **side-effect free** **boolean** JAVA expression is a **boolean** JML expression
- ▶ if **a** and **b** are **boolean** JML expressions, and **x** is a variable of type **t**, then the following are also **boolean** JML expressions:
 - ▶ **!a** (“not a”)
 - ▶ **a && b** (“a and b”)
 - ▶ **a || b** (“a or b”)

JML Expressions \neq JAVA Expressions

boolean JML Expressions (to be completed)

- ▶ each **side-effect free** **boolean** JAVA expression is a **boolean** JML expression
- ▶ if a and b are **boolean** JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t, then the following are also **boolean** JML expressions:
 - ▶ !a (“not a”)
 - ▶ a && b (“a and b”)
 - ▶ a || b (“a or b”)
 - ▶ a ==> b (“a implies b”)
 - ▶ a <==> b (“a is equivalent to b”)
 - ▶ ...
 - ▶ ...
 - ▶ ...
 - ▶ ...

Beyond boolean JAVA expressions

How to express the following?

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

Beyond boolean JAVA expressions

How to express the following?

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2
- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

Beyond boolean JAVA expressions

How to express the following?

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2
- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`
- ▶ all created instances of class `BankCard` have different `cardNumbers`

First-order Logic in JML Expressions

JML `boolean` expressions extend JAVA `boolean` expressions by:

- ▶ implication
- ▶ equivalence

First-order Logic in JML Expressions

JML `boolean` expressions extend JAVA `boolean` expressions by:

- ▶ implication
- ▶ equivalence
- ▶ **quantification**

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

boolean JML Expressions

- ▶ each side-effect free **boolean** JAVA expression is a **boolean** JML expression
- ▶ if a and b are **boolean** JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t , then the following are also **boolean** JML expressions:
 - ▶ $!a$ (“not a ”)
 - ▶ $a \ \&\& \ b$ (“ a and b ”)
 - ▶ $a \ || \ b$ (“ a or b ”)
 - ▶ $a \ ==> \ b$ (“ a implies b ”)
 - ▶ $a \ <==> \ b$ (“ a is equivalent to b ”)
 - ▶ $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; \ a)$ (“for all x of type t , a holds”)
 - ▶ $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; \ a)$ (“there exists x of type t such that a ”)

boolean JML Expressions

boolean JML expressions are defined recursively:

boolean JML Expressions

- ▶ each side-effect free **boolean** JAVA expression is a **boolean** JML expression
- ▶ if a and b are **boolean** JML expressions, and x is a variable of type t , then the following are also **boolean** JML expressions:
 - ▶ $!a$ (“not a ”)
 - ▶ $a \ \&\& \ b$ (“ a and b ”)
 - ▶ $a \ || \ b$ (“ a or b ”)
 - ▶ $a \ ==> \ b$ (“ a implies b ”)
 - ▶ $a \ <==> \ b$ (“ a is equivalent to b ”)
 - ▶ $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; \ a)$ (“for all x of type t , a holds”)
 - ▶ $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; \ a)$ (“there exists x of type t such that a ”)
 - ▶ $(\backslash\text{forall } t \ x; \ a; \ b)$ (“for all x of type t fulfilling a , b holds”)
 - ▶ $(\backslash\text{exists } t \ x; \ a; \ b)$ (“there exists an x of type t fulfilling a , such that b ”)

JML Quantifiers

in

```
(\forallall t x; a; b)
```

```
(\existsexists t x; a; b)
```

a called “range predicate”

JML Quantifiers

in

```
(\forallall t x; a; b)
```

```
(\exists t x; a; b)
```

a called “range predicate”

those forms are redundant:

```
(\forallall t x; a; b)
```

equivalent to

```
(\forallall t x; a ==> b)
```

```
(\exists t x; a; b)
```

equivalent to

```
(\exists t x; a && b)
```

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

`(\forall t x; a; b)` and `(\exists t x; a; b)`

widely used

pragmatics of range predicate:

`a` used to restrict range of `x` further than `t`

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

`(\forall t x; a; b)` and `(\exists t x; a; b)`

widely used

pragmatics of range predicate:

`a` used to restrict range of `x` further than `t`

example: “arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9”:

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

`(\forall t x; a; b)` and `(\exists t x; a; b)`

widely used

pragmatics of range predicate:

`a` used to restrict range of `x` further than `t`

example: “arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9”:

```
(\forall int i,j;
```

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

`(\forall t x; a; b)` and `(\exists t x; a; b)`

widely used

pragmatics of range predicate:

`a` used to restrict range of `x` further than `t`

example: “arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9”:

```
(\forall int i,j; 0<=i && i<j && j<10;
```

Pragmatics of Range Predicates

`(\forall t x; a; b)` and `(\exists t x; a; b)`

widely used

pragmatics of range predicate:

`a` used to restrict range of `x` further than `t`

example: “arr is sorted at indexes between 0 and 9”:

```
(\forall int i,j; 0<=i && i<j && j<10; arr[i] <= arr[j])
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

```
(\forall int i;
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length;
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ an array `arr` only holds values ≤ 2

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; arr[i] <= 2)
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

is this enough?

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

```
(\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m == arr[i])
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ the variable `m` holds the maximum entry of array `arr`

```
(\forall int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m >= arr[i])
```

```
arr.length > 0 ==>
```

```
(\exists int i; 0 <= i && i < arr.length; m == arr[i])
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class `BankCard` have different `cardNumbers`

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
  \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
  p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
    \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
    p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

note:

- ▶ JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
    \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
    p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

note:

- ▶ JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- ▶ same for quantifiers in Key!

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
    \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
    p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

note:

- ▶ JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- ▶ same for quantifiers in Key!
- ▶ in JML, **restrict to created objects** with **\created**

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
    \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
    p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

note:

- ▶ JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- ▶ same for quantifiers in KeY!
- ▶ in JML, **restrict to created objects** with **\created**
- ▶ in KeY?

Using Quantified JML expressions

How to express:

- ▶ all created instances of class BankCard have different cardNumbers

```
(\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
    \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
    p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)
```

note:

- ▶ JML quantifiers range also over non-created objects
- ▶ same for quantifiers in KeY!
- ▶ in JML, **restrict to created objects** with **\created**
- ▶ in KeY? (\Rightarrow coming lecture)

Generalized Quantifiers

JML offers also **generalized quantifiers**:

- ▶ `\max`
- ▶ `\min`
- ▶ `\product`
- ▶ `\sum`

returning the **maximum**, **minimum**, **product**, or **sum** of the values of the expressions given, where the variables satisfy the given range.

Examples (all these expressions are true):

```
(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4
```

Generalized Quantifiers

JML offers also **generalized quantifiers**:

- ▶ `\max`
- ▶ `\min`
- ▶ `\product`
- ▶ `\sum`

returning the **maximum**, **minimum**, **product**, or **sum** of the values of the expressions given, where the variables satisfy the given range.

Examples (all these expressions are true):

```
(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4
```

```
(\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; i) == 1 * 2 * 3 * 4
```

Generalized Quantifiers

JML offers also **generalized quantifiers**:

- ▶ `\max`
- ▶ `\min`
- ▶ `\product`
- ▶ `\sum`

returning the **maximum**, **minimum**, **product**, or **sum** of the values of the expressions given, where the variables satisfy the given range.

Examples (all these expressions are true):

```
(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4
```

```
(\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; i) == 1 * 2 * 3 * 4
```

```
(\max int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 4
```

Generalized Quantifiers

JML offers also **generalized quantifiers**:

- ▶ `\max`
- ▶ `\min`
- ▶ `\product`
- ▶ `\sum`

returning the **maximum**, **minimum**, **product**, or **sum** of the values of the expressions given, where the variables satisfy the given range.

Examples (all these expressions are true):

```
(\sum int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 0 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 4
```

```
(\product int i; 0 < i && i < 5; i) == 1 * 2 * 3 * 4
```

```
(\max int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i) == 4
```

```
(\min int i; 0 <= i && i < 5; i-1) == -1
```

Example: Specifying LimitedIntegerSet

```
public class LimitedIntegerSet {
    public final int limit;
    private int arr[];
    private int size = 0;

    public LimitedIntegerSet(int limit) {
        this.limit = limit;
        this.arr = new int[limit];
    }
    public boolean add(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    public void remove(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    public boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    // other methods
}
```


Prerequisites: Adding Specification Modifiers

```
public class LimitedIntegerSet {
    public final int limit;
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[];
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int size = 0;

    public LimitedIntegerSet(int limit) {
        this.limit = limit;
        this.arr = new int[limit];
    }

    public boolean add(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    public void remove(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    // other methods
}
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure */ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }
```

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure */ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }
```

has no effect on the state, incl. no exceptions

Specifying contains()

```
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }
```

has no effect on the state, incl. no exceptions

how to specify result value?

Result Values in Postcondition

In postconditions,
one can use '`\result`' to refer to the **return value of the method**.

```
/*@ public normal_behavior  
   @ ensures \result ==
```

Result Values in Postcondition

In postconditions,
one can use '**\result**' to refer to the **return value of the method**.

```
/*@ public normal_behavior  
  @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;  
  @
```

Result Values in Postcondition

In postconditions,
one can use '**\result**' to refer to the **return value of the method**.

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;
   @           0 <= i && i < size;
   @
```

Result Values in Postcondition

In postconditions,
one can use '**\result**' to refer to the **return value of the method**.

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;
   @           0 <= i && i < size;
   @           arr[i] == elem);
   @*/
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }
```


Specifying add() (spec-case1) – new element can be added

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires size < limit && !contains(elem);
   @ ensures \result == true;
   @ ensures contains(elem);
   @ ensures (\forall int e;
              @           e != elem;
              @           contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   @ ensures size == \old(size) + 1;
   @
   @ also
   @
   @ <spec-case2>
   @*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying add() (spec-case2) – new element cannot be added

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @
   @ <spec-case1>
   @
   @ also
   @
   @ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (size == limit) || contains(elem);
   @ ensures \result == false;
   @ ensures (\forall int e;
   @           contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   @ ensures size == \old(size);
   @*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying remove()

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ ensures !contains(elem);
   @ ensures (\forall int e;
              @           e != elem;
              @           contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   @ ensures \old(contains(elem))
   @           ==> size == \old(size) - 1;
   @ ensures !\old(contains(elem))
   @           ==> size == \old(size);
   @*/
public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying Data Constraints

So far:

JML used to specify **method specifics**.

Specifying Data Constraints

So far:

JML used to specify **method specifics**.

How to specify **constraints on class data**?

Specifying Data Constraints

So far:

JML used to specify **method specifics**.

How to specify **constraints on class data**, e.g.:

- ▶ consistency of redundant data representations (like indexing)
- ▶ restrictions for efficiency (like sortedness)

Specifying Data Constraints

So far:

JML used to specify **method specifics**.

How to specify **constraints on class data**, e.g.:

- ▶ consistency of redundant data representations (like indexing)
- ▶ restrictions for efficiency (like sortedness)

data constraints are global:

all methods must preserve them

Consider LimitedSortedIntegerSet

```
public class LimitedSortedIntegerSet {
    public final int limit;
    private int arr[];
    private int size = 0;

    public LimitedSortedIntegerSet(int limit) {
        this.limit = limit;
        this.arr = new int[limit];
    }

    public boolean add(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    public void remove(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    public boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }

    // other methods
}
```


Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

method add

- ▶ searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

method add

- ▶ searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- ▶ thereby tries to **establish sortedness** in post-state

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

method add

- ▶ searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- ▶ thereby tries to **establish sortedness** in post-state
- ▶ why is that sufficient?

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

method add

- ▶ searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- ▶ thereby tries to **establish sortedness** in post-state
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

Consequence of Sortedness for Implementations

method contains

- ▶ can employ binary search (logarithmic complexity)
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

method add

- ▶ searches first index with bigger element, inserts just before that
- ▶ thereby tries to **establish sortedness** in post-state
- ▶ why is that sufficient?
- ▶ it **assumes sortedness** in pre-state

method remove

- ▶ (accordingly)

Specifying Sortedness with JML

recall class fields:

```
public final int limit;  
private int arr[];  
private int size = 0;
```

sortedness as JML expression:

Specifying Sortedness with JML

recall class fields:

```
public final int limit;  
private int arr[];  
private int size = 0;
```

sortedness as JML expression:

```
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;  
    arr[i-1] <= arr[i])
```

Specifying Sortedness with JML

recall class fields:

```
public final int limit;  
private int arr[];  
private int size = 0;
```

sortedness as JML expression:

```
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;  
    arr[i-1] <= arr[i])
```

(what's the value of this if `size < 2`?)

Specifying Sortedness with JML

recall class fields:

```
public final int limit;  
private int arr[];  
private int size = 0;
```

sortedness as JML expression:

```
(\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;  
    arr[i-1] <= arr[i])
```

(what's the value of this if `size < 2`?)

but where in the specification does the red expression go?

Specifying **Sorted** contains()

can **assume sortedness** of pre-state

Specifying **Sorted** contains()

can **assume sortedness** of pre-state

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
   @           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;
   @           0 <= i && i < size;
   @           arr[i] == elem);
   @*/
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }
```

Specifying **Sorted** contains()

can **assume sortedness** of pre-state

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
              @           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   @ ensures \result == (\exists int i;
                          @           0 <= i && i < size;
                          @           arr[i] == elem);
   @*/
public /*@ pure @*/ boolean contains(int elem) { /*...*/ }
```

contains() is *pure*

⇒ sortedness of post-state trivially ensured

Specifying **Sorted** remove()

can **assume sortedness** of pre-state
must **ensure sortedness** of post-state

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
   @           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   @ ensures !contains(elem);
   @ ensures (\forall int e;
   @           e != elem;
   @           contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   @ ensures \old(contains(elem))
   @           ==> size == \old(size) - 1;
   @ ensures !\old(contains(elem))
   @           ==> size == \old(size);
   @ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
   @           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   @*/

public void remove(int elem) {/*...*/}
```


Specifying **Sorted** add() (spec-case1) – can add

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
   @ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
   @           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   @ requires size < limit && !contains(elem);
   @ ensures \result == true;
   @ ensures contains(elem);
   @ ensures (\forall int e;
   @           e != elem;
   @           contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
   @ ensures size == \old(size) + 1;
   @ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
   @           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
   @
   @ also <spec-case2>
   @*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Specifying **Sorted** add() (spec-case2) – cannot add

```
/*@ public normal_behavior
@
@ <spec-case1> also
@
@ public normal_behavior
@ requires (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
@                               arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
@ requires (size == limit) || contains(elem);
@ ensures \result == false;
@ ensures (\forall int e;
@           contains(e) <==> \old(contains(e)));
@ ensures size == \old(size);
@ ensures (\forall int i; 0 < i && i < size;
@           arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);
@*/
public boolean add(int elem) {/*...*/}
```

Factor out Sortedness

so far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

Factor out Sortedness

so far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

we can do better, using

JML Class Invariant

construct for specifying data constraints centrally

Factor out Sortedness

so far: 'sortedness' has swamped our specification

we can do better, using

JML Class Invariant

construct for specifying data constraints centrally

1. delete **blue** and **red** parts from previous slides
2. add 'sortedness' as JML class invariant instead

JML Class Invariant

```
public class LimitedSorted IntegerSet {  
  
    public final int limit;  
  
    /*@ public invariant (\forall int i;  
        @                               0 < i && i < size;  
        @                               arr[i-1] <= arr[i]);  
    @*/  
  
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int arr[];  
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int size = 0;  
  
    // constructor and methods,  
    // without sortedness in pre/post-conditions  
}
```

JML Class Invariant

- ▶ JML **class invariant** can be placed anywhere in class
- ▶ (contrast: **method contract** must be in front of its method)
- ▶ custom to place **class invariant** in front of fields it talks about

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

can refer to instance fields of this object

(unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: **instance invariant**

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

can refer to instance fields of this object

(unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: **instance invariant**

static invariants

cannot refer to instance fields of this object

JML syntax: **static invariant**

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

can refer to instance fields of this object

(unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: **instance invariant**

static invariants

cannot refer to instance fields of this object

JML syntax: **static invariant**

both

can refer to

- static fields
- instance fields via explicit reference, like 'o.size'

Instance vs. Static Invariants

instance invariants

can refer to instance fields of this object

(unqualified, like 'size', or qualified with 'this', like 'this.size')

JML syntax: **instance invariant**

static invariants

cannot refer to instance fields of this object

JML syntax: **static invariant**

both

can refer to

- static fields
- instance fields via explicit reference, like 'o.size'

in classes: **instance is default** (static in interfaces)

if **instance** or **static** is omitted \Rightarrow instance invariant!

Static JML Invariant Example

```
public class BankCard {  
  
    /*@ public static invariant  
       @ (\forall BankCard p1, p2;  
         @   \created(p1) && \created(p2);  
         @   p1 != p2 ==> p1.cardNumber != p2.cardNumber)  
       @*/  
  
    private /*@ spec_public @*/ int cardNumber;  
  
    // rest of class follows  
  
}
```

Recall Specification of enterPIN()

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ BankCard insertedCard = null;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter = 0;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated
    = false;

/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3>
    @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

Recall Specification of enterPIN()

```
private /*@ spec_public @*/ BankCard insertedCard = null;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ int wrongPINCounter = 0;
private /*@ spec_public @*/ boolean customerAuthenticated
    = false;

/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3>
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

last lecture:

all 3 *spec-cases* were **normal_behavior**

Specifying Exceptional Behavior of Methods

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

Specifying Exceptional Behavior of Methods

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
requires method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

Specifying Exceptional Behavior of Methods

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
requires method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

keyword **signals** specifies *post-state*, depending on thrown exception

Specifying Exceptional Behavior of Methods

normal_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
forbids method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

exceptional_behavior specification case, with preconditions P ,
requires method to throw exceptions if pre-state satisfies P

keyword **signals** specifies *post-state*, depending on thrown exception

keyword **signals_only** limits types of thrown exception

Completing Specification of enterPIN()

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3> also
@
@ public exceptional_behavior
@ requires insertedCard==null ;
@ signals_only ATMException;
@ signals (ATMException) !customerAuthenticated ;
@*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

Completing Specification of enterPIN()

```
/*@ <spec-case1> also <spec-case2> also <spec-case3> also
   @
   @ public exceptional_behavior
   @ requires insertedCard==null ;
   @ signals_only ATMException;
   @ signals (ATMException) !customerAuthenticated ;
   @*/
public void enterPIN (int pin) { ...
```

in case `insertedCard==null` in pre-state

- ▶ an exception *must* be thrown ('`exceptional_behavior`')
- ▶ it can only be an ATMException ('`signals_only`')
- ▶ method must then ensure `!customerAuthenticated` in post-state ('`signals`')

signals_only Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have one clause of the form

```
signals_only E1, ..., En;
```

where E_1, \dots, E_n are exception types

signals_only Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have one clause of the form

```
signals_only E1, ..., En;
```

where E_1, \dots, E_n are exception types

Meaning:

if an exception is thrown, it is of type E_1 or ... or E_n

signals Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have several clauses of the form

```
signals (E) b;
```

where E is exception type, b is boolean expression

signals Clause: General Case

an exceptional specification case can have several clauses of the form

signals (E) b;

where E is exception type, b is boolean expression

Meaning:

if an exception of type E is thrown, b holds in post condition

Allowing Non-Termination

by default, both:

- ▶ `normal_behavior`
- ▶ `exceptional_behavior`

specification cases **enforce termination**

Allowing Non-Termination

by default, both:

- ▶ `normal_behavior`
- ▶ `exceptional_behavior`

specification cases **enforce termination**

in each specification case, non-termination can be permitted via the clause

diverges true;

Allowing Non-Termination

by default, both:

- ▶ `normal_behavior`
- ▶ `exceptional_behavior`

specification cases **enforce termination**

in each specification case, non-termination can be permitted via the clause

`diverges true;`

Meaning:

given the precondition of the specification case holds in pre-state,
the method may or **may not** terminate

Further Modifiers: `non_null` and `nullable`

JML extends the JAVA modifiers by further modifiers:

- ▶ class `fields`
- ▶ method `parameters`
- ▶ method `return types`

can be declared as

- ▶ `nullable`: may or may not be `null`
- ▶ `non_null`: must not be `null`

non_null: Examples

```
private /*@ spec_public non_null */ String name;
```

implicit invariant

```
'public invariant name != null;'
```

added to class

```
public void insertCard(/*@ non_null */ BankCard card) {..
```

implicit precondition

```
'requires card != null;'
```

added to each specification case of insertCard

```
public /*@ non_null */ String toString()
```

implicit postcondition

```
'ensures \result != null;'
```

added to each specification case of toString

non_null is default in JML!

⇒ same effect even without explicit `'non_null's`

```
private /*@ spec_public */ String name;
```

implicit invariant

```
'public invariant name != null;'
```

added to class

```
public void insertCard(BankCard card) {..
```

implicit precondition

```
'requires card != null;'
```

added to each specification case of insertCard

```
public String toString()
```

implicit postcondition

```
'ensures \result != null;'
```

added to each specification case of toString

nullable: Examples

To prevent such pre/post-conditions and invariants: 'nullable'

```
private /*@ spec_public nullable @*/ String name;
```

no implicit invariant added

```
public void insertCard(/*@ nullable @*/ BankCard card) {..
```

no implicit precondition added

```
public /*@ nullable @*/ String toString()
```

no implicit postcondition added to specification cases of toString

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

In JML this means:

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

In JML this means:

- ▶ all elements in the list are **non_null**

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

In JML this means:

- ▶ all elements in the list are **non_null**
- ▶ **the list is cyclic, or infinite!**

LinkedList: non_null or nullable?

Repair:

```
public class LinkedList {  
    private Object elem;  
    private /*@ nullable */ LinkedList next;  
    ....  
}
```

⇒ Now, the list is allowed to end somewhere!

Final Remarks on `non_null` and `nullable`

`non_null` as default in JML only since a few years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the `non_null` by default semantics.

Final Remarks on `non_null` and `nullable`

`non_null` as default in JML only since a few years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the `non_null` by default semantics.

Pitfall!

Final Remarks on `non_null` and `nullable`

`non_null` as default in JML only since a few years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the `non_null` by default semantics.

Pitfall!

```
/*@ non_null @*/ Object[] a;
```

is not the same as:

```
/*@ nullable @*/ Object[] a; //@ invariant a != null;
```

Final Remarks on `non_null` and `nullable`

`non_null` as default in JML only since a few years.

⇒ Older JML tutorial or articles may not use the `non_null` by default semantics.

Pitfall!

```
/*@ non_null @*/ Object[] a;
```

is not the same as:

```
/*@ nullable @*/ Object[] a; //@ invariant a != null;
```

because the first one also implicitly adds

```
(\forall int i; i >= 0 && i < a.length; a[i] != null)
```

i.e. extends `non_null` also to the **elements of the array!**

JML and Inheritance

All JML contracts, i.e.

- ▶ specification cases
- ▶ class invariants

are inherited down from superclasses to subclasses.

A class has to fulfill all contracts of its superclasses.

in addition, the subclass may add further specification cases, *starting with also*:

```
/*@ also
   @
   @ <subclass-specific-spec-cases>
   @*/
public void method () { ...
```


Many tools support JML (see <http://www.jmlspecs.org>).

On the course website:

web interface, implemented by Bart van Delft, to **OpenJML**.

Many thanks to Bart!

Literature for this Lecture

essential reading:

in KeY Book A. Roth and Peter H. Schmitt: Formal Specification.
Chapter 5 **only sections 5.1, 5.3**, In: B. Beckert, R. Hähnle, and
P. Schmitt, editors. *Verification of Object-Oriented Software: The
KeY Approach*, vol 4334 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2006.
(e-version via Chalmers Library)

further reading, all available at

<http://www.eecs.ucf.edu/~leavens/JML/documentation.shtml>:

JML Reference Manual Gary T. Leavens, Erik Poll, Curtis Clifton,
Yoonsik Cheon, Clyde Ruby, David Cok, Peter Müller, and
Joseph Kiniry.

JML Reference Manual

JML Tutorial Gary T. Leavens, Yoonsik Cheon.

Design by Contract with JML

JML Overview Gary T. Leavens, Albert L. Baker, and Clyde Ruby.

JML: A Notation for Detailed Design