

## Logical rules for natural deduction

We describe when  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ , i.e.  $\psi$  is derivable from a finite set  $\Gamma = \psi_1, \dots, \psi_n$  by the following rules.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \frac{\psi \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \psi} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \psi \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \varphi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \rightarrow \varphi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \wedge \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \wedge \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \varphi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi \wedge \varphi} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi \vee \varphi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \varphi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi \vee \varphi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi \vee \varphi \quad \Gamma, \psi \vdash \delta \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \delta}{\Gamma \vdash \delta} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma, \psi \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \neg \psi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \neg \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \perp} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp}{\Gamma \vdash \psi} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi(x_0/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x \psi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \forall x \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \psi(t/x)} \\
 \\
 \frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi(t/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \exists x \psi} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \exists x \psi \quad \Gamma, \psi(x_0/x) \vdash \delta}{\Gamma \vdash \delta}
 \end{array}$$

In the rule of  $\forall$  introduction  $x_0$  should not occur free in the conclusion. This was essentially the rule found by Frege (1879).

In the rule of  $\exists$  elimination  $x_0$  should not occur free in  $\Gamma$  and  $\delta$  and  $\exists x \psi$ .

The rules for equality are.

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash t = t} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash t = u \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi(t/x)}{\Gamma \vdash \psi(u/x)}$$

We write  $\vdash \psi$  for  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$  if  $\Gamma$  is empty.

The following is a valid derivation: we have  $\vdash x_0 = x_0$  hence  $\vdash \exists x (x = x)$ . It corresponds to the fact that we want to describe the logic of *non empty* universes.