

Very Unlikely Very Incomprehensible - arduous

How understandable are the presented concepts and notations for you?



How easy is it to use the concepts and notations library?



How likely would you use the concepts and notations in a diagram?



How likely do you believe a stakeholder can understand the concepts and notations?



Very Likely Very understandable - easy

Very Unlikely Very incomprehensible-arduous

How understandable is the documentation template for you?

2

How easy is it to fill the documentation template?

1.7

How likely would you use the documentation template?

1.3

How likely do you believe a stakeholder can understand the documentation template?

1

Very Likely Very understandable - easy

- Concrete Syntax -

Would you add or remove anything? If yes, please describe

no

—

Not really

I would replace soft threshold by something like "manual inspection" or "manual evaluation" or something, with its own symbol to distinguish from hard threshold

Workflow Name table with places. Make it easier to add Several outputs. I would remove the fork-thing.

Remove forks and location boxes. Add software to automatically generate graphs

- WDST -

Would you add or remove any field? If yes, please describe

—

I would remove everything except the parameters for each step

Too complicated, not sure what to add or remove

Change it all and make it easier to use with just command line information such as how did I run this tool.

I would personally not use most of the fields. What I would like to use is a list of Tools, their parameters and settings and input files with some information about the files.

Fields that would have same values should be removed. It has to be automatically filled or it would be too much work. Need "command line command" box or similar