Code	Subcodes	Definition	Sentence
Notations & Concepts Overview	*Neat and Simple*	The participant's first impression of the language	P7 - "I think it looks pretty neat, Simple. At least this is the first time that I'm seeing it and I do understand what you're talking about. So it's simple."
	Missing parameters	The participant pointed out missing attributes in the notation	P2 - "But It wouldn't be for overview because you still don't have parameters and everything that you need to have for running and performing."
Notations & Concepts Improvement	*Understandability*	Notations and concepts that required further explanations or that caused confusion	P2 - "What is source?"
			P2 - "I'm still confused about the thresholds. Like I couldn't really imagine a scenario, where, for me everything is like hard thresholds."
	Label necessity	The participants' answers to the question: Who could or would not understand this template?	(Stakeholders) P1 - "I think they would be able to understand it. But just from the text, kind, they wouldn't know what the shapes are like intuitively. But uh, as long as you see a few of them, I guess you would kind of make the connection. Okay. This shape is always connected to this function, but I think you would always need to have like an image text underneath to describe the whole workflow."
			P3 - "Yeah. And I also think it's a huge difference. If it's within the groups, I mean, bioinformatics are looking at it, then I think they would understand what it is. But if it's a customer or someone…"
			P7 - "For instance, in my days the one that is called source for me is printing. So things like that, so you still need to have some text or explanation if even if it's not the people that the correct people to look at."
	Provided solution	The explanation provided by a participant to another participant to soft-condition	P1 - "I guess, soft threshold would be if you do it more manual, you make, you can make an interpretation, but if you want to have everything automatically, you have to have."
	Requested software features	The participants requested another software for the implementation of the library	P1 and 2 - "I would like to have a better like program to make them in not, not draw.io or PowerPoint."
			P1 - "Where you can adjust everything by pixel for example and have like most bands in each arrow."
			P1 - "Probably believe not online."
			P7 - "Online is not a necessity."
			P3 - "What if you could automate the workflow, the picture of it, so it's just the output will be the workflow picture. That would be nice."
Unnecessary notations	*Unneeded*	The participants do not see usage for these notations	P1 & P2 - "we don't think we're going to use the forks basically because normally we just have a box and then we draw like arrows from the box and then many arrows coming to another box, so maybe someone can use that sometimes but I couldn't think of when would I use it."
			P1- (swimlanes) "I don't know. I think it's enough with just the workflow and the boxes and everything. Yes. I mean it works okey if you have a few places I guess, but if you have too many places. It can work like an excel sheet, you have to place certain boxes in certain places."
			P5 - "I was thinking of standard reference. I don't think I would use it."
			P3 - "No, neither would I."
			P2- "It's kind of the same thing as inputs file. Only, it's a bit more descriptive and I think that would be very much depending on who you are. Maybe if you want to be very clear, you would use the standard reference, but a lot of people wouldn't I think."
Diagrams current state	*Generic usage of the notes*	How the participants are doing or which notation they are using for a specific concept.	P2 - "So they're like notes?" (Source)
	Boxes for all		(Swimlanes) P1 - "I usually just have one box and I'd just put something, ah, this is like on our server and this is not done or something."
	Documentation without purpose	The described problem of not knowing why they produce documentation and who is interested in it	P1 - "Which I don't know how someone looks at it one time. Maybe."
			P2 - "People don't look at them. They're just supposed to be there."
Test of the Library	*Test of the Library*	The participants said that by using the artefacts, they could provide better feedback	P2- "I think we need to test it, first."
			P7 - "Exactly."
Notations & Concepts Usage	*Secondary for final documentation*	The participants see it as a final documentation step, where they would sketch first and then change the notations	P1 - "I would probably, like, do like a basic one first with just boxes and then try to replace things like stilly to be more proper maybe after, so like that have like a draft and then replace them after."
			P7 - "Yes, I do totally agree with you. So I can see these kind of, whenever you have a project, you do a draft, what are the first steps that you are going to work with, the first step like that and then whenever you're working with it then then you add the different steps. So you can be seen like a, how to say that, overview of the things that you have to do. So it could be close, kind of a checklist of things. And of course whenever, it's documentation right, So whenever other people come instead of reading or looking at the scripts, step by step. This is, at least you know what they're doing and then you focus on specifics lead."

Code	Subcodes	Definition	Sentence
Library usage effect on the current state	*Increase Time-spend*	The participants' answer to the question: Would the template's usage affect the current way of documenting workflows at your facility? If so, why?	P1 - "Would increase the time spent on making them"
			P7 - "It will be a lot of time making them at the beginning until you really get the hang of it."
Notations & Concepts Usability	*Guide*	The participants' beliefs in how they could use the concrete syntax and which purpose	P6 - "It can be helpful if we have like a standard project that we do over and over again and then we put some effort and we make a good flowchart. And if somebody is doing, something else, gets the project or there's a new person coming into the group and do the same product, then it's kind of like a checklist to follow. So you know, then, they will know where to start, what's the next step and then, yeah. So you don't miss anything."
	Document standard projects		P7 - "However, I don't see it to be useful in projects that are not the standard, because since we are switching a lot done and adding a lot of things. I don't think that works only, only if it's the things we do all the time, which is extra work I think."
	Validation documents		P1 - "I mean we could put them in our validation documents."
			P2 - "Yes."
WDST Improvement	*Disliked*	The participants' answer to the question: What did you like and dislike in the documentation template?	P7 - "what I disliked, was the text that you really have to write a lot. Of course."
			P1 - "I think people who look at it would probably be confused by it, would be easier to just have free texts."
			P7 - "Yes. I think so too. if it is a really huge process. This would become really huge, and then just backtrace everything it would be, kind of nightmare, eh. It's too much."
			P5 - "Oh, I agree."
			P5 - "And I wouldn't be a fan of using it."
	Automation	Have the documentation generated by software, using to the diagram	P2 - "At least the parameter parts we have to use. I mean now when we document workflows for the hospital, we have to present like a table of the tools, and the parameters used and stuff. So if that could be automated as well and done from the graphics, that would be good. Would save a little bit of time, I guess."
			P1 - "I mean it would be good. We could just paste it in a document. I mean if it's automatically generated, I mean yeah."
			P2 - "If someone asks for it, then it would be good to generate it automatically. And then it would be cool if you could just right click on the tools and add the parameters."
			P3 - "Exactly or the command, they call, how did you run this."
			P5 - "Because everything is dig digital anyway, right? So It doesn't matter."
WDST complexity	*Incomprehensible*	The participants' answer to the question: Who could or would not understand this template?	All participants - "Everyone."
			P7 - "It is really hard to go through it."
			P2 - "I think it's because it's so thorough."
			P7 - "Yes."
			P2 - "We would, we wouldn't really want to put all of this information in the cells, when creating it. So it would be like, what's this for? And be confused."
WDST Usage	*Not useful*	The participants' perspective of how the WDST can be used.	P7 - "I mean these kinds of templates are nice to have them, but useful? I'm not really sure, at least not for us. Maybe for you two, did you have to have them for legal issues?"
			P1 - "No."
			P2 - "No. The only thing we would need, is like parameters, values and stuff from the tools, because the other thing is basically just describing what the graphics already doing, but instead in text so..."
			P3 - "More complicated."
WDST usage effect on the current state	*Increase Time-spend*	The participants' answer to the question: Would the template's usage affect the current way of documenting workflows at your facility? If so, why?	P7 - "So, we don't know if the time you spent filling this, would really be worth it, because probably the analysis that you do would take minutes and then you would still have to do that. So but not minutes, but you know what I mean, It would take longer to break it down"
			P3 - "So working hours."
			P7 - "If it's only clicking then would be fine. But if not it just increases the time, the working time a lot."
			P5 - "Yes."