Verifying Haskell Programs Using Constructive Type Theory

Andreas Abel Marcin Benke Ana Bove John Hughes Ulf Norell

Haskell Workshop, 2005

1 Example: Queues

A Specification of Queues

• A queue is simply a list.

type Queue a	=	[a]	
empty	=	[]	
add x q	=	d ++	[x]
isEmpty q	=	null	q
front (x:q)	=	х	
remove (x:q)	=	q	

- Enqueueing has linear time complexity.
- Implementation should have amortized constant time operations.

An Implementation of Queues

• A queue consists of a front list and a reversed back list.

type QueueI a = ([a],[a])
retrieve :: QueueI a -> Queue a
retrieve (f,b) = f ++ reverse b

• An data invariant:

If the front list is empty, then so is the back list.

Implementation of Queue Operations

• Auxiliary operation flipQ restores the invariant.

flipQ ([],b) = (reverse b,[])
flipQ q = q

• Queue operations:

```
emptyI = ([],[])
addI x (f,b) = flipQ (f,x:b)
isEmptyI (f,b) = null f
frontI (x:f,b) = x
removeI (x:f,b) = flipQ (f,b)
```

Soundness

• Diagram should commute:



• Example:

retrieve (addI x q) == add x (retrieve q)

2 From Haskell to Agda

Proofs about Haskell Programs

• We need a translation:



• But: Haskell is a rich language!

Translation Outline

• We use GHC Core as an intermediate language.



- (GHC) Core = System F_{ω} + data types + mutual recursion.
- Type classes and nested patterns are translated away by GHC.

Target: Agda

- Purely functional, dependently typed language.
- Propositions are sets (types): Prop = Set.
- Predicates are dependent types, e.g.:

Even : Nat \rightarrow Prop lemma : $(n : Nat) \rightarrow$ Even $n \rightarrow$ Even(n + 2)

Agda Programs Must Be...

- predicative,
- terminating,

• and total. Oops!

front (x:q) = x

• We need to translate each type A by Maybe A.

A Monadic Translation

- Partiality involved? Translate *A* by Maybe *A*.
- Everything total? Translate A by A.
- Maybe is a monad.
- Identity is a monad.
- We do a *monadic* translation.

Translation Outline (refined)



3 Monadic Translation

Monads in Agda

• An abstract monad:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{m} & : & \mathsf{Set} \to \mathsf{Set} \\ \mathsf{return} \left(\alpha {:} \mathsf{Set} \right) & : & \alpha \to \mathsf{m} \, \alpha \\ \left(\gg = \right) \left(\alpha, \beta {:} \mathsf{Set} \right) & : & \mathsf{m} \, \alpha \to \left(\alpha \to \mathsf{m} \, \beta \right) \to \mathsf{m} \, \beta \end{array}$

• Arguments to the right of (:) are implicit.

Translating the λ -Calculus

• Translation of types:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^{\dagger} &= \mathbf{m} \, \tau^* \\ (\alpha \, \vec{\tau})^* &= \alpha \, \vec{\tau}^* \\ (\tau_1 \to \tau_2)^* &= \tau_1^{\dagger} \to \tau_2^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$$

• Translation of programs (domain-free):

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x^{\dagger} &=& x \\ (\lambda x.e)^{\dagger} &=& \operatorname{return} \left(\lambda x.\,e^{\dagger}\right) \\ (f\,e)^{\dagger} &=& f^{\dagger} \gg = \lambda f'.\,f'\,e^{\dagger} \end{array}$$

Dealing with Polymophism

• In the literature (Barthe, Hatcliff, Thiemann 1997):

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\forall \alpha.\sigma)^{\dagger} & = & \mathsf{m}\left((\alpha:\mathsf{Set}) \to \sigma^{\dagger}\right) \\ (\Lambda \alpha.e)^{\dagger} & = & \mathsf{return}\left(\lambda \alpha.e^{\dagger}\right) \end{array}$$

- But Agda is predicative: $(\alpha: Set) \rightarrow \sigma$ is not in Set!
- However, we want to instantiate α with some m τ .
- So, m needs to be in Set \rightarrow Set.
- \implies Polytypes are translated non-monadically.

Translating Polymorphism

• Our approach:

$$\begin{array}{lll} (\forall \alpha.\sigma)^{\dagger} & = & (\alpha\!:\!\mathsf{Set}) \to \sigma^{\dagger} \\ (\Lambda \alpha.e)^{\dagger} & = & \lambda \alpha. \, e^{\dagger} \end{array}$$

- Consistent with Haskell semantics:
 - Type abstraction and applications are *not computations*, but information for the compiler.
 - $(\Lambda \alpha. \bot) = \bot.$
- We need to distinguish between monotypes and polytypes.

Translation Outline (revised)



Predicative Core

• Predicative F_{ω} (restriction of Leivant 1991):

κ	::=	$* \mid \kappa \to \kappa'$	kinds
au	::=	$\alpha \vec{\tau} \mid \tau \to \tau'$	monotypes
σ	::=	$\tau \mid \forall \alpha : \kappa. \sigma \mid \sigma \mapsto \sigma'$	polytypes

• Translation of poly-function types (arise from dictionaries):

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_1 \mapsto \sigma_2)^{\dagger} &= \sigma_1^{\dagger} \to \sigma_2^{\dagger} \\ (\lambda x : \sigma . e)^{\dagger} &= \lambda x : \sigma^{\dagger} . e^{\dagger} \\ (f^{\sigma_1 \mapsto \sigma_2} e)^{\dagger} &= f^{\dagger} e^{\dagger} \end{aligned}$$

Translating Datatypes

• Lists ...

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathsf{data}\;\mathsf{List}\,\alpha &=& \mathsf{Nil} \\ & | & \mathsf{Cons}\;\alpha\;(\mathsf{List}\,\alpha) \end{array}$$

• ... are translated as:

data List (
$$\alpha$$
:Set) = Nil
| Cons (mx :m α) (mxs :m(List α))

Demo

Conclusions

- New monadic translation.
- Pragmatic approach to Haskell program verification.
- Drawbacks:
 - Monads everywhere.
 - GHC Core designed as frontend for compiler, not theorem prover.
- But:
 - Lightweight translation (easy to get right).
 - "Core-ification" preserves most names.
 - Proofs about the *de-facto semantics* of Haskell programs.